1	Ø <b>3Š</b> ÒÖ G€GÍÁT ŒĞ		Honorable Wyman Yip	
2	SŒ OÆOUW ÙWÚÒÜ Œ ÜÛWÚÔÜ Œ Û		Iay 20, 2025 at 8:30 AM With Oral Argument	
3	ÔŒÙÒĤĤŒŒ GÎÎËFÂÙÒŒ			
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR TH	ESTATE OF WAS	HINGTON	
9	IN AND FOR KING COUNTY			
10	In re: Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center Data	hoverning Case No.	. 23-2-24266-1 SEA	
11	Breach Litigation			
	<b> </b>	Consolidated Case N 3-2-24438-9 SEA	Numbers:	
12		3-2-24597-1 SEA		
13		3-2-24737-0 SEA		
		3-2-24742-6 SEA		
14		4-2-07697-2 SEA		
15		4-2-08260-3 SEA		
15		4-2-08166-6 SEA		
16		4-2-03365-3 SEA		
17		PROPOSEDJ FINA	AL APPROVAL	
18	1	RDER AND FINA		
	₩ I	OR FINAL APPRO	NTIFFS' MOTIONS OVAL AND FOR	
19		TTORNEYS' FEE		
20		ERVICE AWARD		
21				
22				
	[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL	ORDER AND JUI	<u>DGMENT</u>	
23	This matter is before the Court on Plaintiffs	Motion for Final A	approval of Class Action	
24	Settlement (the "Final Approval Motion") and Pla	ntiffs' Motion for	Attorneys' Fees Costs	
25	Expenses, and Service Awards (the "Fees, Costs, and Service Awards Motion").			
26				

[PROPERTY] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS FOR FINAL APPROVAL AND FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, AND SERVICE AWARDS - 1

TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC
1200 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1700
Seattle, Washington 98101
TEL 206 682 5600 • FAX 206 682 2992

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs Shawna Arneson, Jennifer Aspelund, Robert Ayers, Kayla Browne, Jennifer Clayton, Jane Doe, Gary Holz, and Arlene Rappaport Reed ("Plaintiffs") individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, and Defendants Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center ("Fred Hutch") and the University of Washington ("UW") (UW and Fred Hutch, collectively, "Defendants") have entered into a Settlement Agreement¹ that settles the above-captioned litigation and provides for a complete dismissal with prejudice of the claims asserted against Defendants on the terms and conditions set forth in the Settlement;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs made an application, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Washington Rules of Civil Procedure, for an order preliminarily approving the Settlement in accordance with the Settlement Agreement, certifying the Settlement Class for purposes of the Settlement only, appointing Plaintiffs as Class Representatives, appointing Interim Class Counsel as counsel for the Settlement Class, appointing Kroll Settlement Administration LLC as settlement administrator, and allowing notice to Settlement Class Members as more fully described herein;

WHEREAS, on January 7, 2025, the Court entered an order (the "Preliminary Approval Order") granting preliminary approval to the Settlement and approving the form and manner for providing notice to the Settlement Class;

WHEREAS, following the Court's Preliminary Approval Order, and in accordance with notice plan set forth in the Settlement Agreement and the Preliminary Approval Order, the Settlement Class was apprised of the nature and pendency of the Litigation, the terms of the settlement, and their rights to request exclusion, object, and/or appear and the Final Approval Hearing;

WHEREAS, on March 24, 2025, Plaintiffs' filed a Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Service Awards, with an accompanying declaration from Kim D. Stephens setting forth Class Counsel's time and expenses (the "Fee, Costs, and Service Awards Motion"):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The terms of the settlement are set forth in a Settlement Agreement with accompanying exhibits attached as Exhibit A to Plaintiffs' Renewed Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (ECF No. 61) (the "Settlement").

WHEREAS, on April 22, 2025, Plaintiffs filed their Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Final Approval Motion") and accompanying Declarations from Kim D. Stephens, Kroll Settlement Administration LLC, and CyEx in support of the motion;

WHEREAS, on May 20, 2025, the Court held a Final Fairness Hearing to determine whether the proposed settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate and whether judgment should be entered dismissing this Action with prejudice;

WHEREAS the Court has read and considered (a) Plaintiffs' Final Approval Motion and Plaintiffs' Fee, Costs, and Service Awards Motion (together, the "Motions") and all supporting materials, including but not limited to the Settlement Agreement and the exhibits thereto; (b) any objections filed with or presented to the Court; and (c) the Parties' responses to any objections; and

WHEREAS the Court, having given an opportunity to be heard to all requesting persons in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order; having heard the presentation of Class Counsel; having heard from any objectors appearing at the hearing and having reviewed all of the submissions presented with respect to the proposed Settlement; having determined that the settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate; having considered the application made by Class Counsel for attorneys' fees, costs, and service awards to the Class Representatives, and having reviewed the materials in support of that application; and finding good cause appearing in the record to grant the Motions,

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDED, AND DECREED that:

- 1. The Final Approval Motion and the Fees, Costs, and Service Awards Motion are **GRANTED** as stated herein;
- 2. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Litigation, all claims raised therein, and all Parties thereto, including the Settlement Class.
  - 3. The Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests

of Settlement Class Members. The Settlement Agreement was negotiated at arm's-length, in good faith and without collusion by capable and experienced counsel with full knowledge of the facts, the law, and the risks inherent in litigating the Action, and with the active involvement of the Parties. Moreover, the Settlement Agreement confers substantial benefits on the Settlement Class Members, is not contrary to the public interest, and will provide the Parties with repose from litigation. The Parties faced significant risks, expense, and uncertainty from continued litigation of this matter, which further supports the Court's conclusion that the settlement is fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of the Settlement Class Members;

- 4. The Court grants final approval of the Settlement Agreement in full, including but not limited to the releases therein and the procedures for effecting the Settlement. All Settlement Class Members who have not excluded themselves from the Settlement Class are bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment; and
- 5. The Parties shall carry out their respective obligations under the Settlement Agreement in accordance with its terms. The relief provided in the Settlement Agreement shall be made available to the various Settlement Class Members submitting valid Claim Forms, pursuant to the terms and conditions in the Settlement Agreement.

## **OBJECTIONS AND REQUESTS FOR EXCLUSION**

- 6. Ten objections to the settlement were received. The Court has considered each objection and finds that none of the objections counsel against settlement approval.
  - a. Objection from Robert Neel: This objection was withdrawn and the Court finds that it does not counsel against settlement approval.
  - b. Objection from Diane E. Mellem: Ms. Mellem's objection is invalid because she also requested exclusion from the Settlement. Her objection is overruled.
  - c. Objection from McClain High: Mr. High's objection is invalid because it does not contain his contact information, as required by the Court's Preliminary Approval Order. Regardless, the Court has considered the objection—which

fails to contain any substantive critique of the Settlement—and finds that it does not counsel against settlement approval. Mr. High's objection is overruled.

- d. Objections from Erika Haack, David Stoubaugh, Alexander Irvine, Barbara Twaddell, Kyler Endicott, Andrea Gribbon, and Alicia Mau: The Court has considered the objections submitted by these individuals and finds that the objections do not counsel against settlement approval. These objections are overruled.
- 7. All persons who did not object to the settlement in the manner set forth in the Settlement Agreement are deemed to have waived any objections, including but not limited to by appeal, collateral attack, or otherwise.
- 8. A list of putative members of the Settlement Class who have timely and validly elected to opt-out of the Settlement and the Settlement Class, in accordance with the requirements in the Settlement Agreement, has been submitted to the Court as an attachment to the Declaration of Frank Ballard filed in advance of the Final Approval Hearing. That list is attached as Exhibit A to this Order. The persons listed in Exhibit A are not bound by the Settlement Agreement or this Final Approval Order and Judgment, and they are not entitled to any of the benefits under the settlement.

#### CERTIFICATION OF THE SETTLEMENT CLASS

9. Solely for purposes of the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval and Order and Judgment, the Court hereby certifies the following Settlement Class:

25

26

#### **Settlement Class:**

All persons residing in the United States whose Private Information was potentially or actually compromised in the Data Security Incident, including all those who were sent Notice by Fred Hutch or its authorized representative. Class Members specifically excludes: (a) all individuals who are directors or officers of either Defendant or who are regents of UW, (b) any entity in which either Defendant has a controlling interest, (c) the affiliates, legal representatives, attorneys, successors, heirs, and assigns of Defendants, (d) the Judge assigned to the Litigation, and (e) that Judge's immediate family and Court staff. All members of the Settlement Class that do not opt-out of the settlement shall be referred to as Settlement Class Members.

- 10. The Court incorporates its preliminary conclusions in the Preliminary Approval Order regarding the satisfaction of Rule 23 of the Washington Rules of Civil Procedure. Because the Settlement Class is certified solely for purposes of settlement, the Court need not address any issues of manageability for litigation purposes.
- 11. The Court grants final approval to the appointment of Representative Plaintiffs Shawna Arneson, Jennifer Aspelund, Robert Ayers, Kayla Browne, Jennifer Clayton, Jane Doe, Gary Holz, and Arlene Rappaport Reed as Class Representatives and concludes that they have fairly and adequately represented the Settlement Class and shall continue to do so.
- 12. The Court grants final approval to the appointment of Kim D. Stephens, P.S. of Tousley Brain Stephens PLLC and M. Anderson Berry of Clayeo C. Arnold, P.C. as Settlement Class Counsel. Class Counsel has fairly and adequately represented the Settlement Classes and shall continue to do so.

### **NOTICE TO THE CLASS**

13. The Court finds that the Notice Program provided for in the Settlement Agreement and effectuated pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order: (i) was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; (ii) was reasonably calculated to provide, and did provide due and sufficient notice to the Settlement Class regarding the existence and nature of the Action, certification of the Settlement Class for settlement purposes only, the existence and terms of the Settlement Agreement, and the rights of Settlement Class Members to exclude themselves from

26

the settlement, to object and appear at the Final Fairness Hearing, and to receive benefits under the Settlement Agreement; and (iii) satisfied the requirements of the Washington Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution, and all other applicable law.

# **ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS, SERVICE AWARD**

- \$35,117.71 for reimbursement of costs and expenses, for a total award of \$3,868,451.04. The Court finds this amount of fees fair and reasonable under the percentage of recovery method and under a lodestar cross-check, given the exceptional results obtained for the Settlement Class in the form of significant monetary relief; the complexity of the issues presented in the Litigation; the risk of non-payment posed in the Litigation; the contingent nature of the fee; and the skill of Class Counsel. Payment shall be made pursuant to the procedures in the Settlement Agreement.
- 15. The Court awards a Service Award of \$2,500 to each of the Class Representatives: Shawna Arneson, Jennifer Aspelund, Robert Ayers, Kayla Browne, Jennifer Clayton, Jane Doe, Gary Holz, and Arlene Rappaport Reed. The Court finds such amounts are justified by their service to the Settlement Class. Payment shall be made from the Settlement Fund pursuant to the Settlement Agreement.

#### RELEASE

16. Each Settlement Class Member, including the Class Representatives, are deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged all Released Claims as defined in the Settlement Agreement and including Unknown Claims. The full terms of the release described in this paragraph are set forth in paragraphs 1.27-1.28 and 8.1 of the Settlement Agreement and are specifically approved and incorporated herein by this reference (the "Release"). Further, upon the Effective Date, and to the fullest extent permitted by law, each Settlement Class Member, including Plaintiffs, shall directly, indirectly, or in any representative capacity, be permanently barred and enjoined from commencing, prosecuting, or participating in any recovery in any action in this or any other

forum (other than participation in this Settlement Agreement as provided herein) in which any of the Released Claims is asserted.

17. The Settlement Agreement and this Final Judgment and Order apply to all claims or causes of action settled under the Settlement Agreement and binds Class Representatives and all Settlement Class Members who did not properly request exclusion. The Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall have maximum res judicata, collateral estoppel, and all other preclusive effects in any and all causes of action, claims for relief, suits, demands, petitions, or any other challenges or allegations that arise out of or relate to the subject matter of the Cases.

## **OTHER PROVISIONS**

- 18. The Court directs the Parties and their counsel to implement and consummate the Settlement Agreement and make available to Settlement Class Members the relief provided for therein, in accordance with the Settlement Agreement's terms and provisions.
- 19. The Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment, and all documents, supporting materials, representations, statements and proceedings relating to the settlement, are not, and shall not be construed as, used as, or deemed evidence of, any admission by or against Defendant of liability, fault, wrongdoing, or violation of any law, or of the validity or certifiability for litigation purposes of the Settlement Class or any claims that were or could have been asserted in the Action.
- 20. The Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment, and all documents, supporting materials, representations, statements and proceedings relating to the settlement shall not be offered or received into evidence, and are not admissible into evidence, in any action or proceeding, except that the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment may be filed in any action by any Defendant or the Settlement Class Members seeking to enforce the Settlement Agreement or the Final Approval Order and Judgment.
  - 21. If the Effective Date does not occur for any reason, the Action will revert to the

1	M. Anderson Berry (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
2	CLAYEO C. ARNOLD A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
3	865 Howe Avenue Sacramento, CA 95825
4	Tel: (916) 239-4778 Fax: (916) 924-1829
5	aberry@justice4you.com
6	Co-Chairs of Plaintiffs' Steering Committee
7	Raina C. Borrelli (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Samuel J. Strauss, WSBA #46971
8	STRAUSS BORRELLI PLLC
9	980 N. Michigan Avenue, Suite 1610 Chicago, IL 60611
10	Tel: (872) 263-1100 Fax: (872) 263-1109
11	sam@straussborrelli.com
12	raina@straussborrelli.com
	Brian C. Gudmundson (admitted pro hac vice)
13	ZIMMERMAN REED LLP 1100 IDS Center, 80 South 8th Street
14	Minneapolis, MN 55402 Tel: (612) 341-0400
15	brian.gudmundson@zimmreed.com
16	Ashley M. Crooks (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
17	HAUSFELD LLP
18	33 Whitehall Street, Fourteenth Floor New York, NY 10004
19	Tel: (646) 357-1100
20	acrooks@hausfeld.com
21	John J. Nelson (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) MILBERG COLEMAN BRYSON
22	PHILLIPS GROSSMAN LLC
23	402 West Broadway, Suite 1760 San Diego, CA 92101
	Tel: (858) 209-6941
24	jnelson@milberg.com
25	Plaintiffs Steering Committee

GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS FOR FINAL APPROVAL AND FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, AND SERVICE AWARDS - 10

26

# Exhibit A

# **Opt-Out List**

Count	ount Record Identification Number	
1	83118CDYYNDP6	
2	83118DRFBFWK4	
3	83118FB55SHR4	
4	83118F2WRXSVG	
5	83118FBTDF7BR	
6	83118D3ZZXYGK	
7	83118D5438XPB	
8	83118F74YMG37	
9	83118D5GSVHFS	
10	83118CKNNH5VC	
11	83118CZNKF9CJ	
12	83118DWRM3ZNW	
13	83118F8YC3KRD	
14	83118FG2NPD4X	
15	83118F21T22XG	
16	83118D12YCR5V	
17	83118FD4R3F49	
18	83118DNWH0B09	
19	83118FB2HRDWG	
20	83118DCHDHHG2	
21	83118CZ3JQJ97	
22	83118DTNGDDB1	
23	83118FDX80958	
24	83118CXNSF3N9	
25	83118DXVPVB5F	
26	83118CH9DDX1Y	
27	83118DCJ40QT7	
28	83118DQFGX88Y	
29	83118F91P7CXM	
30	83118FM6FTX60	
31	83118D4S7GSKC	
32	83118CY815Q0H	
33	83118FD5TBN8V	
34	83118DSCV2KZZ	
35	83118F80HNFKF	
36	83118F32VF80X	
37	83118F0QSXB0P	
38	83118CGBQM6N1	
39	83118CW65VTKD	

# **Opt-Out List**

Count	Record Identification Number		
40	83118CZZKFHR1		
41	83118FBM8Y3ST		
42	83118CF1KYX0H		
43	83118CXZ46S96		
44	83118D2JCHWFF		
45	83118D4RM137G		
46	83118F7F5FGW9		
47	83118DNFKQ471		
48	83118CJ2PZ8QM		
49	83118DT5328V1		
50	83118DT4Z0ZKP		
51	83118DT5J67C3		
52	83118D3NDTQ3C		
53	83118CH318Y81		
54	83118DXSTWWJ4		
55	83118DG9K4GCK		
56	83118CKX7CJJB		
57	83118DTSJ5910		
58	83118F8G47BDN		
59	83118DZ2D54M2		
60	83118CF3G4P6P		
61	83118DXSRXNPY		
62	83118F6H1F8GY		
63	83118DFNPZ87V		