				(	COMPI	LAINT				
*	* *	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Defenda	nt.			*					
	-				*					
Wasl	hington, D.	C. 20301-1	1000		*					
1000	Defense P	entagon			*					
DEP	ARTMEN	Γ OF DEF	ENSE		*					
					*					
	v.				*	Civi	l Action	n No. 22	2	
	•				*					
	Plaintiff,	•			*					
			011		*					
KAT	HERINE A	AKKINGT	$ON^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$		*					

This is an action under the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552, et seq., as amended, and Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552a, as amended, seeking the production of records responsive to requests submitted to the Defendant Department of Defense, and various sub-

## **JURISDICTION**

components it controls.

1. This Court has both subject matter jurisdiction over this action and personal jurisdiction over the defendant pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B), § 552a(d)(1), (g)(1)(B), (g)(3)(A) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

## **VENUE**

2. Venue is appropriate under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B), § 552a(g)(5) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

### **PARTIES**

3. Plaintiff Katherine Arrington ("Arrington") is a U.S. citizen, and a resident of the State of South Carolina. She joined the Department of Defense in 2019, as a highly qualified expert and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pursuant to LCvR 5.1(c)(1), as revised March 23, 2022, the Plaintiff's home address is being filed under seal with the Court in a separate Notice of Filing.

served as a civilian employee in the Senior Executive Service until February 2022 when she resigned to run for Congress.

4. Defendant Department of Defense ("DoD") is an agency within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552(f) and § 552a(a)(1) and is in possession and/or control of the records that were requested by Arrington. DoD controls – and consequently serves as the proper party defendant for litigation purposes for – the National Security Agency ("NSA"), the Office of Inspector General ("OIG"), Defense Counterintelligence and Security Agency ("DCSA"), the Consolidated Adjudications Facility ("CAF"), and the Department of Air Force's Office of Special Investigations ("AFOSI").

## **FACTS**

- 5. On Friday, May 7, 2021, at approximately 9:00 AM EST, Arrington was telephoned and notified that NSA had revoked her access to a certain level of classified information.

  Later that same day, at approximately 5:00 PM EST, Arrington was notified she was being placed on administrative leave effective immediately. No other details were provided at that time.
- 6. By Memorandum dated May 11, 2021, Arrington was formally notified by a security officer within the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Management that her security clearance for access to classified information was suspended. It was noted that this "action is being taken as a result of a reported Unauthorized Disclosure of Classified Information and subsequent removal of access" by the NSA. It also warned the Plaintiff that her actions might have constituted a federal crime.
- 7. By Memorandum dated May 12, 2021, Arrington was notified by Deputy Assistant Secretary for Industrial Policy, Jesse Salazar, a political appointee of President Joseph R. Biden's

Administration, in the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Management, that she was now in a paid, non-duty status in light of her clearance access suspension.

- 8. Despite repeated requests to DoD, NSA and DCSA for any information pertaining to NSA's actions and the reasoning for the clearance access suspension, no substantive information was provided.
- 9. Upon information and belief, and for informational background purposes, the NSA's action to allege Arrington committed any type of security violation was baseless and/or exaggerated and normally would not serve as the grounds to immediately suspend an individual's clearance access. The decision was designed to interfere with the cyber security activities that Arrington was running through DoD, which NSA did not support. Nor did certain high-ranking DoD officials want Arrington serving in a senior position within the Biden Administration due to her close previous ties with President Trump and they used NSA's decision as a pretext to remove her.
- 10. In fact, there was no reason for DoD to suspend Arrington's Top Secret security clearance simply because NSA had removed her access to a small subset of information that was not needed for her to continue her daily work. Upon information and belief, the decision to unnecessarily suspend her DoD security clearance was for the reasons stated above.
- 11. Since the suspension action of May 11, 2021, unknown individuals within DoD improperly, and possibly illegally, leaked privacy protected information concerning Arrington to unauthorized third parties for the purpose of further causing her harm. Some of the leaked information has, in fact, been completely false and defamatory, such as assertions that her security clearance had been revoked and that she had been fired. Neither of these events ever occurred.

- 12. By Memorandum dated October 28, 2021, the DoD CAF issued a Statement of Reasons ("SOR") proposing revocation of Arrington's eligibility for access to classified information based on Adjudicative Guideline K: Handling Protected Information.
- 13. The SOR noted that AFOSI had reviewed the details of the incident and concluded on May 13, 2021, that it "could not identify any nefarious intent which would warrant a criminal or counterintelligence investigation at this time."
- 14. But the SOR failed to provide any useful meaningful facts to allow Arrington to actually substantively respond to the allegations. For example, Arrington was not informed of the type or nature of the information, which was identified only as "Top Secret", that was disclosed. Nor was Arrington even told the date upon which the alleged incident occurred or provided specific identification of the person or entity to whom she allegedly released this classified information. In fact, not one additional substantive fact was provided beyond what was stated in the initial suspension memorandum dated May 11, 2021. No reference was made in the SOR that this information could not be provided, or that available binding due process procedures were not available, due to classification concerns.
- 15. Additionally, the SOR also alleged that Arrington failed to personally report the alleged security violation, "as required", but no supporting citation was identified to demonstrate what requirement was violated. The most basic facts underlying the SOR were completely withheld from Arrington in contravention of numerous applicable laws.
- 16. Section 5.2(a)(1) of Executive Order 12968 required the Defendant to provide "as comprehensive and detailed a written explanation of the basis for that conclusion as the national security interests of the United States and other applicable law permit." Intelligence Community Policy Guidance 704-3 (D)(1)(a) required the Defendant to provide a

"comprehensive written explanation of the basis" of the revocation as the "national security interests of the United States and other applicable laws permit."

17. Subject to the same caveat regarding the interests of the United States,
Paragraph 10.2 of DoDM 5200.02 further articulates that the written explanation must be both
"comprehensive" and "detailed". Paragraph 10.4 further articulates that a "summary of the
security concerns and supporting adverse information" must accompany the SOR. In fact,
Paragraph 10.4(2) required that the "SOR must explain each security concern, state the specific
facts that trigger each security concern, identify the applicable adjudicative guideline(s) for
each concern, and provide the disqualifying conditions and mitigating conditions for each
adjudicative guideline cited." The SOR fundamentally failed to meet these, and other, legal
standards in violation of binding law.

18. Although the circumstances surrounding the allegations against Arrington occurred in May 2021, and while AFOSI was able to sufficiently review the situation in just a matter of days in order to determine that no criminal or counterintelligence investigation was warranted, the Defendant suspiciously refused to conduct even a routine substantive investigation of the allegations. Not even Arrington was interviewed, much less asked. Indeed, upon information and belief, the entire matter could have been easily resolved within a week or two through a handful of interviews of key witnesses, all of whom are known to the Defendant.

19. Previous litigation addressed the perceived deficiencies in the SOR in <u>Arrington v. Dep't of Defense et al.</u>, Civil Action No. 21-2669 (D.D.C.)(JEB). As a result, the Defendant issued a supplemental SOR with additional details on December 20, 2021. The lawsuit was then settled, which included the payment of attorney's fees, and dismissed on January 29, 2022. Nothing within that lawsuit impacts the requests at issue in this matter.

20. Now having left federal employment in order to pursue her public service objective as an elected congressional representative of the State of South Carolina, this FOIA/Privacy Act lawsuit will nonetheless enable Arrington to expose the misconduct and inappropriate actions/omissions of the Defendant to improperly suspend her security clearance and its apparent deliberate failure to conduct a substantive investigation of the allegations.

# **COUNT ONE**

- 21. Arrington repeats and realleges paragraphs 5 through 20 above, inclusive.
- 22. By way of the NSA online portal, on June 30, 2021, Arrington submitted a FOIPA request to NSA for all records pertaining to her and "any and all communications within NSA or between NSA and other U.S. Government agencies regarding alleged security violations by Ms. Arrington; dating from April 1, 2021 until the date NSA begins conducting searches for responsive records." Her FOIA case was assigned number 112485 and her Privacy Act request was assigned case number 11241.
- 23. By way of the DoD online portal, on June 30, 2021, Arrington submitted a FOIPA request to DoD for all records pertaining to her and "any and all communications within NSA [sic](should have said DoD) or between NSA and other U.S. Government agencies regarding alleged security violations by Ms. Arrington; dating from April 1, 2021 until the date NSA [sic](should have said DoD) begins conducting searches for responsive records." Her FOIPA request was assigned number 21-F-1217.
- 24. By way of the FOIAOnline portal, on June 30, 2021, Arrington submitted a FOIPA request to DCSA. On November 1, 2021, Arrington also submitted a FOIPA request to DoD CAF. By way of a letter dated November 22, 2021, DCSA issued a consolidated final response

with respect to both FOIPA requests. The consolidated response was designated as case number 21-FP-1008. That same day, Arrington submitted an administrative appeal.

25. By way of the FOIAOnline portal, on September 15, 2021, Arrington submitted a FOIPA request to the Defendant's Office of Inspector General. The request was received on September 16, 2021, and assigned case number DODOIG-2021-001420. No further communications regarding this request have been received.

26. By way of the DoD online portal on November 22, 2021, Arrington requested copies of all DoD records discussing her security clearance, the suspension of her clearance, and/or the proposed revocation of her security clearance. The following individuals were identified as likely possessing responsive records: (1) Jesse Salazar – Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Industrial Policy ("ODASDIP"); (2) Jeremy Wall, Lead, A&S Security/Facilities; (3) Misty Cedano – Director, Acquisition Workforce and Talent Management at the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment; (4) Aissa Tovar – Acting Chief of Staff, ODASDIP; and (5) Antonio King – Director of Business Operations, ODASDIP. Additionally, it was noted that responsive records would be found in the possession of but not limited to the Office of General Counsel and the Office of Security.

27. By way of the DoD online portal on March 1, 2022, Arrington submitted the same request identified above in paragraph 23 but updated it to include all records dating from June 30, 2021, up until the date DoD begins conducting searches for responsive records.

28. By letter dated March 8, 2022, AFOSI acknowledged it had received Arrington's request dated November 1, 2021 (reference DCSA-M 21-FP-1008), and assigned it tracking number 2022-00942-F. The letter indicated certain information was withheld and an administrative appeal was submitted.

29. Arrington has constructively exhausted her administrative remedies with respect to all of the FOIPA requests (and/or appeals) listed in paragraphs 22 through 28.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Katherine Arrington prays that this Court:

- (1) Order DoD and its subcomponents to release copies of all non-exempt responsive records to her;
- (2) Award reasonable costs and attorney's fees as provided in 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(E), § 552a(g)(3)(B) and/or 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d);
  - (3) Expedite this action in every way pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1657(a); and
  - (4) Grant such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Date: April 5, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Mark S. Zaid

Mark S. Zaid, Esq.
D.C. Bar #440532
Bradley P. Moss, Esq.
D.C. Bar #975905
Mark S. Zaid, P.C.
1250 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 454-2809
(202) 330-5610 fax
Mark@MarkZaid.com
Brad@MarkZaid.com

Attorneys for the Plaintiff

Case 1:22-cv-00928-JEB Document 1-1 Filed 04/05/22 Page 1 of 2 CIVIL COVER SHEET JS-44 (Rev. 11/2020 DC) L (a) PLAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS Katherine Arrington Department of Defense (b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF DORCHESTER COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ON LY)

NOTE IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRA (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER) ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN) Mark S. Zaid, Esq. 1250 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Ste. 700 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 454-2809; Mark@MarkZaid.com II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (PLACEAN X IN ONE BOX FOR (PLACE AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY) PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) FOR DIVERSITY CASES ONLY! PTF DFT DET 1 U.S. Government 3 Federal Question Pla intiff' 01 01 (U.S. Government Not a Party) 04 Citizen of this State Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in This State 2 U.S. Government 4 Diversity Citizen of Another State 02 Incorporated and Principal Place 0 5 Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of of Business in Another State Parties in item III) Citizen or Subject of a O3 06 06 Foreign Country Foreign Nation IV. CASE ASSIGNMENT AND NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one category, A-N, that best represents your Cause of Action and one in a corresponding Nature of Suit) A. Antitrust O B. Personal Injury/ O C. Administrative Agency O D. Temporary Restraining Malpractice Order/Preliminary Review Injunction 310 Airplane 151 Medicare Act 315 Airplane Product Liability Any nature of suit from any category Social Security 320 Assault, Libel & Slander may be selected for this category of 861 HIA (1395ff) 330 Federal Employers Liability case assignment. 862 Black Lung (923) 340 Marine 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) "(If Antitrust, then A governs)" 345 Marine Product Liability 864 SSID Title XVI 350 Motor Vehicle 865 RSI (405(g)) 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability Other Statutes 360 Other Personal Injury 891 Agricultural Acts 362 Medical Malpractice 893 Environmental Matters 365 Product Liability 390 Other Statutory Actions (If 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Administrative Agency is Personal Injury Product Liability Involved) 368 Asbestos Product Liability OR F. Pro Se General Civil Federal Tax Suits Bankruptcy. 870 Taxes (US plaintiff or 422 Appeal 27 USC 158 465 Other Immigration Actions 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 defendant) 7470 Racketeer Influenced 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC & Corrupt Organization 7609 Prisoner Petitions 480 Consumer Credit 535 Death Penalty

#### 410 Antitrust O E. General Civil (Other) Real Property. 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent. Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 485 Telephone Consumer Forfeiture/Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other Protection Act (TCPA) 290 All Other Real Property 625 Drug Related Seizure of 550 Civil Rights 490 Cable/Satellite TV Property 21 USC 881 555 Prison Conditions Personal Property 850 Securities/Commodities/ 690 Other \_\_ 370 Other Fraud 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions Exchange of Confinement 371 Truth in Lending Other Statutes 896 Arbitration 380 Other Personal Property 375 False Claims Act 899 Administrative Procedure Property Rights Damage Act/Review or Appeal of 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 820 Copyrights 385 Property Damage Agency Decision 3729(a)) 830 Patent Product Liability 400 State Reapportionment 950 Constitutionality of State 835 Patent - Abbreviated New 430 Banks & Banking Statutes Drug Application 890 Other Statutory Actions 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc 840 Trademark (if not administrative agency 460 Deportation 880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of review or Privacy Act) 462 Naturalization 2016 (DTSA) Application

Case 1:22-cv-00928-JEB Document 1-1 Filed 04/05/22 Page 2 of 2							
O G. Habeas Corpus/ 2255	O H. Employment Discrimination	O I. FOIN/Privacy Act	O J. Student Loan				
530 Habeas Corpus – General 510 Motion/Vacate Sentence 463 Habeas Corpus – Alien Detaince	442 Civil Rights - Employment (criteria: race, gender/sex, national origin, discrimination, disability, age, religion, retaliation)	895 Freedom of Information Act  890 Other Statutory Actions  (if Privacy Act)	152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (excluding veterans)				
	*(If pro se, select this deck)*	"(If pro se, select this deck)"					
O K. Labor/ERISA (non-employment)  710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 740 Labor Railway Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	O L. Other Civil Rights (non-employment)  441 Voting (if not Voting Rights Act)  443 Housing/Accommodations  440 Other Civil Rights  445 Americans w/Disabilities – Employment  446 Americans w/Disabilities – Other  448 Education	O M. Contract  110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholder's Suits 190 Other Contracts 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	O N. Three-Judge Court  441 Civil Rights - Voting (if Voting Rights Act)				
V. ORIGIN							
O 1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from State Court	from Appellate or Reopened from	rict (specify) fr	Appeal to O 8 Multi-district Litigation – Direct File udge				
	e U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH Von-exempt records pursuant to Private Privat						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R. C.P. 23  DEMAN JI	D S Check URY DEMAND: YES [	YES only if demanded in complaint				
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY	(See instruction) YES	NO I Ifyes,	please complete related case form				
DATE: 4/5/22	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RE	CORD MAL / Ja	il				

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET JS-44
Authority for Civil Cover Sheet

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and services of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. Listed below a retips for completing the civil cover sheet. These tips coincide with the Roman Numerals on the cover sheet.

- I. COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF/DEFENDANT (b) County of residence: Use 11001 to indicate plaintiff if resident of Washington, DC, 88888 if plaintiff is resident of United States but not Washington, DC, and 99999 if plaintiff is outside the United States.
- III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES. This section is completed only if diversity of citizenship was selected as the Basis of Jurisdiction under Section II.
- IV. CASE ASSIGNMENT AND NATURE OF SUIT: The assignment of a judge to your case will depend on the category you select that best represents the <u>primary</u> cause of action found in your complaint. You may select only <u>one</u> category. You <u>must</u> also select <u>one</u> corresponding nature of suit found under the category of the case.
- VI. CAUSE OF ACTION. Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of the primary cause.
- VIII. RELATED CASE(S), IF ANY: If you indicated that there is a related case, you must complete a related case form, which may be obtained from the Clerk's Office.

Because of the need for accurate and complete information, you should ensure the accuracy of the information provided prior to signing the form.

FOR THE	E DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
Plaintiff	_ )
v.	) Civil Action No.
Defendant	
SUMM	IONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached	mmons on you (not counting the day you received it) you must d complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and
If you fail to respond, judgment by det complaint. You also must file your answer or	fault may be entered against you for the relief demanded in the r motion with the court.
	ANGELA D. CAESAR, CLERK OF COURT
Date:	
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No.

# PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (na	ame of individual and title, if any)			
was re	ceived by me on (date)				
	☐ I personally served	d the summons on the individual at (	place)		
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I left the summons	s at the individual's residence or usu	al place of abode with (name)		
		, a person of	suitable age and discretion who resid	les there,	
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to the	individual's last known address; or		
	☐ I served the summ	ons on (name of individual)		,	who is
	designated by law to	accept service of process on behalf	of (name of organization)		
			on (date)		
	☐ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because			; or
	☐ Other (specify):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$		
	I declare under penal	ty of perjury that this information is	true.		
Date:			Server's signature		
			Printed name and title		
			Server's address		

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

FOR THE	E DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
Plaintiff	_ )
v.	) Civil Action No.
Defendant	
SUMM	IONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached	mmons on you (not counting the day you received it) you must d complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and
If you fail to respond, judgment by det complaint. You also must file your answer or	fault may be entered against you for the relief demanded in the r motion with the court.
	ANGELA D. CAESAR, CLERK OF COURT
Date:	
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No.

# PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nan	ne of individual and title, if any)			
was re	ceived by me on (date)	·			
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual a	t (place)		
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or us			
		, a person o	f suitable age and discretion who resid	des there	,
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to the	he individual's last known address; or		
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)			, who is
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on behal	f of (name of organization)		_
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because			; or
	☐ Other ( <i>specify</i> ):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$		
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this information	is true.		
Date:			Server's signature		<u></u>
			Ü		
			Printed name and title		
			Server's address		

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

FOR THE	E DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
Plaintiff	_ )
v.	) Civil Action No.
Defendant	
SUMM	IONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached	mmons on you (not counting the day you received it) you must d complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and
If you fail to respond, judgment by det complaint. You also must file your answer or	fault may be entered against you for the relief demanded in the r motion with the court.
	ANGELA D. CAESAR, CLERK OF COURT
Date:	
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No.

# PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nar	me of individual and title, if any)			
was rec	ceived by me on (date)				
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individua	al at (place)		
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence of	r usual place of abode with (name)		
		, a perso	n of suitable age and discretion who resid	des there	,
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy t	o the individual's last known address; or		
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)			, who is
	designated by law to	accept service of process on be			_
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because			; or
	☐ Other ( <i>specify</i> ):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$		
	I declare under penalt	y of perjury that this information	on is true.		
Date:			Server's signature		
			server's signature		
			Printed name and title		
			Server's address		

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

CLERK:S OFFICE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

CO-932 Rev. 4/96

## NOTICE OF DESIGNATION OF RELATED CIVIL CASES PENDING IN THIS OR ANY OTHER UNITED STATES COURT

Civil Action No		
(To be supplied	by the	Clerk)

NOTICE TO PARTIE	S	ä	å	١							i	í	١	À	À	١	١	۱	١	۱	
------------------	---	---	---	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--

Pursuant to Rule 40.5(b)(2), you are required to prepare and submit this form at the time of filing any civil action which is related to any pending cases or which involves the same parties and relates to the same subject matter of any dismissed related cases. This form must be prepared in sufficient quantity to provide one copy for the Clerk-s records, one copy for the Judge to whom the cases is assigned and one copy for each defendant, so that you must prepare 3 copies for a one defendant case, 4 copies for a two defendant case, etc.

#### NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:

Rule 40.5(b)(2) of this Court requires that you serve upon the plaintiff and file with your first responsive pleading or motion any objection you have to the related case designation.

NOTICE TO ALL COUNSEL Rule 40.5(b)(3) of this Court requires that as soon as an attorney for a party becomes aware of the existence of a related case or cases, such attorney shall immediately notify, in writing, the Judges on whose calendars the cases appear and shall serve such notice on counsel for all other parties. The plaintiff, defendant or counsel must complete the following: L RELATIONSHIP OF NEW CASE TO PENDING RELATED CASE(S). A new case is deemed related to a case pending in this or another U.S. Court if the new case: [Check appropriate box(es) below.] (a) relates to common property involves common issues of fact grows out of the same event or transaction involves the validity or infringement of the same patent (d) is filed by the same pro se litigant (e) RELATIONSHIP OF NEW CASE TO DISMISSED RELATED CASE(ES) 2. A new case is deemed related to a case dismissed, with or without prejudice, in this or any other U.S. Court, if the new case involves the same parties and same subject matter. Check box if new case is related to a dismissed case:

NAME THE UNITED STATES COURT IN WHICH THE RELATED CASE IS FILED (IF OTHER THAN THIS 3. COURT):

CAPTION AND CASE NUMBER OF RELATED CASE(E=S). IF MORE ROOM IS NEED PLEASE USE OTHER SIDE.

Arrington	Department of Defense et. al.	C.A. No. 21-2669
April 5, 2022	Man A Jaid	

Signature of Plaintiff /Defendant/(or counsel) DATE