Daniel Low (Bar #218387) 1 KOTCHEN'& LOW LLP 1918 New Hampshire Avenue NW 2 Washington, DC 20009 Telephone: (202) 471-1995 3 Fax: (202) 280-1128 Email: dlow@kotchen.com 4 Attorney for Plaintiffs and Putative Class 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION 7 8 Deborah Howington, James Perry, Case No. 5:24-cv-5684 Elena Huizar, Lacey Bostick, Modupe 9 Adesemoye, Marc Popisteanu, Nicole FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT 10 Carrier, Michael Winn, Edward McKinley, Wendy Lara Prieto, Luis FOR EMPLOYMENT 11 Zepeda, Phillip Sterbinsky, and Samuel DISCRIMINATION AND HOSTILE 12 Langley. WORK ENVIRONMENT CLAIMS 13 CLASS ACTION Plaintiffs, 14 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL v. 15 16 Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Co. Ltd., TSMC North America, TSMC 17 Technology, Inc., TSMC Arizona Corporation and TSMC Washington, 18 LLC, 19 20 Defendants. 21 22 Plaintiffs Deborah Howington, James Perry, Elena Huizar, Lacey Bostick, 23 Modupe Adesemoye, Marc Popisteanu, Nicole Carrier, Michael Winn, Edward 24 25 McKinley, Wendy Lara Prieto, Luis Zepeda, Phillip Sterbinsky, and Samuel Langley 26

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

bring this action on behalf of themselves and two classes of similarly situated

individuals to remedy pervasive, ongoing race, national origin, and citizenship

27

28

North America ("TSMC North America"), TSMC Technology, Inc. ("TSMC Technology"), TSMC Arizona Corporation ("TSMC Arizona"), and TSMC Washington, LLC ("TSMC Washington") (collectively, "TSMC") and to redress the negative impact the company's hostile work environment has on employees who are not of East Asian race or Taiwanese or Chinese national origin. Plaintiffs allege as follows:

discrimination by Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Co. ("TSMC Ltd."), TSMC

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. TSMC is the world's leading manufacturer of semiconductors, the chips that power everything from smartphones to cars to satellites to weapons systems. TSMC manufactures over 90% of the world's leading-edge logic chips, supplying well-known customers such as Google, Nvidia, and Apple with the chips used in their technology. TSMC is headquartered in Taiwan, but has subsidiaries in the United States, Canada, Japan, China, South Korea, and Europe. TSMC employs over 76,000 employees worldwide, including 2,668 workers in North America as of December 31, 2023,¹ the vast majority of whom are from Taiwan or China, and many of whom require visas in order to work in the U.S. As discussed below, this grossly disproportionate workforce is the result of TSMC's intentional pattern and practice of employment discrimination against individuals who are not of East Asian race, not of Taiwanese or Chinese

¹ Form 20-F at 49 (Dec. 31, 2023), https://investor.tsmc.com/sites/ir/sec-filings/2023%2020F.pdf.

national origin, and who are not citizens of Taiwan or China, including discrimination in hiring, staffing, promotion, and retention/termination decisions.

- 2. In addition, TSMC routinely subjects non-East Asians (including those who are not of Taiwanese or Chinese descent) to a hostile work environment where verbal abuse, gaslighting, isolation, and humiliation is common, and oftentimes leads to the constructive discharge of these employees.
- 3. TSMC's employment practices violate the Civil Rights Act of 1866, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1981 ("§ 1981") and Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, *et seq.* ("Title VII"). Plaintiffs seek, on their own behalf, and on behalf of two classes of similarly situated individuals, declaratory, injunctive, and other equitable relief, compensatory and punitive damages, including pre- and post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees, and costs to redress TSMC's pervasive pattern and practice of discrimination and hostile work environment.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff Deborah Howington was born in the United States, is of American national origin and ancestry, and is Caucasian. She currently resides in California. Ms. Howington has exhausted her Title VII administrative remedies by filing a Charge of Discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") against TSMC North America, and receiving a Notice of Right to Sue.²

² Plaintiffs intend to timely file EEOC Charges on behalf of Plaintiffs Elena Huizar, Nicole Carrier, Michael Winn, Wendy Prieto, Luis Zepeda, Phillip Sterbinsky, and Samuel Langley and to amend

- 5. Plaintiff James Perry was born in the United States, is of American national origin and ancestry, and is Caucasian. He currently resides in Florida.
- 6. Plaintiff Elena Huizar was born in the United States, is of Mexican national origin, and is Caucasian. She currently resides in Arizona.
- 7. Plaintiff Lacey Bostick was born in the United States, is of American national origin and ancestry, and is Caucasian. He currently resides in Missouri.
- 8. Plaintiff Modupe Adesemoye was born in Nigeria, is of Nigerian national origin and citizenship, and is African American. She currently resides in California.
- 9. Plaintiff Marc Popisteanu is a naturalized U.S. citizen of European ancestry and is Caucasian. He currently resides in Arizona.
- 10. Plaintiff Nicole Carrier was born in the United States, is of American national origin and ancestry, and is Caucasian. She currently resides in Arizona.
- 11. Plaintiff Michael Winn was born in the United States, and is of Korean national origin and ancestry. He currently resides in California.
- 12. Plaintiff Edward McKinley was born in the United States, and is of African American race and American national origin. He currently resides in Texas.
- 13. Plaintiff Wendy Lara Prieto was born in the United States, is of Hispanic/Latino race and of American national origin. She currently resides in Arizona.
 - 14. Plaintiff Luis Zepeda was born in Mexico and is of Hispanic race and Mexican

the complaint to add their Title VII claims upon receipt of their Notices of Right to Sue.

in Arizona.

15. Plaintiff Phillip Sterbinsky was born in the United States, is of American

national ancestry and origin, and is Caucasian. He currently resides in Arizona.

national origin. He is a citizen of the United States and Mexico and currently resides

- 16. Plaintiff Samuel Langley was born in the United States, is of American national ancestry and origin, and is Caucasian. He currently resides in Texas.
- 17. Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Co., Ltd. is a Taiwanese company, and is located at No. 8, Li Hsin Road VI, Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu 300-78, Taiwan, R.O.C. It is the parent corporation of Defendants TSMC North America, TSMC Technology, TSMC Arizona, and TSMC Washington.
- 18. TSMC North America is a California corporation with its principal place of business at 2851 Junction Avenue, San Jose, California 95134. TSMC North America provides primarily sales, technical support, business operations, and customer service support in North America for its ultimate parent, Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Co., Ltd.
- 19. TSMC Technology is a Delaware corporation, with its principal place of business at 2851 Junction Avenue, San Jose, California 95134. TSMC Technology provides primarily technology support at customer sites and research and development support in North America for its ultimate parent, Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Co., Ltd.
 - 20. TSMC Arizona is an Arizona corporation, with its principal place of business

at 5088 W. Innovation Circle, Phoenix, AZ 85083. TSMC Arizona provides semiconductor manufacturing support to its ultimate parent, Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Co., Ltd.

21. TSMC Washington is a Delaware corporation. Its principal place of business is at 5509 N.W. Parker Street, Camas, Washington 98607. TSMC Washington provides semiconductor manufacturing support to its ultimate parent, Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Co., Ltd.

JURISDICTION

- 22. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 42 U.S.C. § 1981(a), and 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f).
- 23. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) as the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and is between a citizen of a state and a foreign corporation.
- 24. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) as this matter is a class action with an amount in controversy of greater than \$5 million, exclusive of interest and costs, and involves at least one class member who is a citizen of a state and is brought against a foreign corporation.
- 25. This Court has personal jurisdiction over TSMC because each Defendant engages in continuous and systematic business contacts within the State of California. Further, Defendants TSMC North America and TSMC Technology, subsidiaries of Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Co., Ltd., maintain a substantial physical

presence in the State, with their headquarters located in San Jose, California.

VENUE

26. Venue is proper in the Northern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)-(c) and 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(3) because TSMC resides in this District, conducts business in this District, engaged in discriminatory conduct in this District, and maintains and administers in this District employment records relevant to TSMC's pattern and practice of discrimination. Additionally, TSMC engages in continuous and systematic business contacts within this District, and maintains a substantial physical presence in this District, including TSMC North America and TSMC Technology's operation of offices in San Jose, California (their U.S. headquarters).

DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT

27. Assignment in this Division is proper pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-2(c) and (e) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to this matter's claims occurred in this Division given that Plaintiff Howington is employed by TSMC North America in San Jose, California. In addition, Plaintiff Winn worked for TSMC North America and TSMC Technology at its office in San Jose, California and applied to, but was denied, two roles with TSMC North America in San Jose.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Overview of TSMC's Business Model

28. Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Co., Ltd. ("TSMC Ltd.") is a manufacturer and supplier of semiconductors (or microchips), a key component of a

23

24 25

26

27 28

vast array of electronic devices. Unlike other major microchip manufacturers, TSMC Ltd. does not produce its own products—rather, it works with customers like Google and Apple to design and manufacture microchips for use in their products. This business has been enormously profitable for TSMC Ltd., generating a net revenue of over \$66 billion in 2023. While TSMC Ltd. is a Taiwanese company and its operations are centered in Taiwan, the majority (68%) of TSMC Ltd.'s customers are headquartered in North America.³ As such, TSMC Ltd. has also established a strong presence in the United States, including through the operation of its subsidiaries, TSMC North America and TSMC Technology, both of which are headquartered in San Jose, California, proximate to many of TSMC's key Silicon Valley-based customers. Those two entities employ approximately 600 individuals in the United States—mostly in San Jose, CA, but also in Austin, TX, San Diego, CA, Boston, MA, and Washington, D.C.—and focus primarily on research and development ("R&D") and sales, which entail working closely with customers to create and innovate chips to be manufactured at TSMC's facilities. TSMC North America is also the employment entity for TSMC's Human Resource ("HR") function that supports both TSMC North America and TSMC Technology in the U.S. and Canada.

29. TSMC Ltd. also maintains a factory for semiconductor device fabrication (or "fab") in Washington State (under the TSMC Washington employment entity) and has

³ Form 20-F at 15 (Dec. 31, 2023), https://investor.tsmc.com/sites/ir/sec-filings/2023%2020F.pdf.

plans to build three fabs in Phoenix, AZ (under the TSMC Arizona employment entity).

(the "CHIPS Act"), which designated \$53 billion to boost semiconductor

manufacturing in the U.S. Those applying for CHIPS Act funding committed to

"guarantee[ing] all workers access to a safe environment that is free of harassment,

discrimination, and retaliation,"⁵ and were required to submit a plan "demonstrat[ing]

appropriate investments and commitments to recruit, train, hire, retain, and upskill a

30. TSMC submitted a diversity plan in applying for CHIPS Act funding and, in

return, was awarded \$6.6 billion in direct funding under the Act to support TSMC's

Arizona facilities. TSMC's investment in Phoenix will create about 6,000 direct

1

9

1213

14

1516

17

18 19

20

21

22

26

27

28

23 | 4 *Id.* at 22.

skilled and diverse workforce."6

²⁴ SCHIPS for America Fact Sheet, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY (Feb. 28, 2023), https://shorturl.at/30u0N

⁶ Workforce Development Planning Guide, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY (Mar. 27, 2023), https://shorturl.at/nHfxo

⁷ TSMC Arizona and U.S. Department of Commerce Announce up to US\$6.6 Billion in Proposed CHIPS Act Direct Funding, the Company Plans Third Leading-Edge Fab in Phoenix, TSMC (Apr. 8, 2024), https://shorturl.at/INvtI.

6

8

1112

1314

16

15

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

28

manufacturing jobs and more than 20,000 construction jobs.⁸ As of December 31, 2023, TSMC employed 2,668 employees in North America, the vast majority of whom work in the U.S., with plans to drastically increase that number in the coming years as it completes construction on its Arizona fabs.

TSMC's Discriminatory Scheme and Hostile Work Environment

31. TSMC Ltd. and its subsidiaries have willfully disregarded diversity commitments TSMC made in the CHIPS Act application for which TSMC received \$6.6 billion in government funding. And while TSMC claims that it "believes strongly in the value of a diverse workforce,"9 its leaders have made clear that the company has a cultural preference for Taiwanese (and Chinese) employees. As Morris Chang (TSMC's former CEO who remains the company's public face) stated in a 2021 talk, "[c]omputers of different brands can often be hooked together, but not people of different culture." He also stated that, "[t]he fact that TSMC's top-flight executives can deliver top results in Taiwan is no guarantee of similar performance when they are posted overseas." Despite these reservations, TSMC Ltd. was motivated to grow its U.S. presence due to its proximity to TSMC's customers and the U.S. government's willingness to provide billions in funding. However, in growing its U.S. workforce, TSMC prefers to employ people of East Asian race and Taiwanese or Chinese national

⁸ TSMC Will Receive \$6.6 Billion to Bolster U.S. Chip Manufacturing, NEW YORK TIMES (Apr. 8, 2024), https://shorturl.at/dDJsR.

⁹ Diversity and Inclusion at TSMC, TSMC (Sept. 16, 2019), https://shorturl.at/Y05ub.

¹⁰ Viola Zhou, TSMC's debacle in the American desert, REST OF WORLD (Apr. 23, 2024), https://restofworld.org/2024/tsmc-arizona-expansion/.

origin, including by bringing workers over from Taiwan and China on visas rather than hiring locally. This preference is reflected in every aspect of TSMC's U.S.-based business—in sales, R&D, engineering/manufacturing, and even in HR and administrative support roles. For instance, in 2023, 80% of employees hired to TSMC North America and TSMC Technology (which primarily do R&D and sales) in the U.S. self-identified as Asian. Similarly, approximately half of the hires to TSMC Arizona that are slated to work at the Phoenix fabs have been deployed on visas from Taiwan.¹⁰

32. TSMC's discriminatory scheme originates with the parent corporation, Taiwan Ltd., and permeates throughout TSMC Ltd.'s U.S. subsidiaries, TSMC North America, TSMC Technology, TSMC Arizona, and TSMC Washington. TSMC Ltd. is comprised almost exclusively of Taiwanese nationals who encourage—and expect—the U.S.-based subsidiaries to obey TSMC's discriminatory policies and practices without pushback, despite the fact that these policies and practices violate U.S. law. As a result, TSMC's U.S. subsidiaries have systematically engaged in a pattern or practice of discrimination in favor of East Asians, who trace their national origin to Taiwan and China, and citizens of those countries, and have created a hostile work environment for those who are not within those preferred groups. The U.S. subsidiaries also engage in

5

7

11

10

13

12

15

16

14

17

18 19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

uniform policies and practices, originating from TSMC Ltd., that result in a disparate impact on non-East Asians and non-Taiwanese and non-Chinese nationals.

- 33. TSMC effectuates its preference for East Asians and Taiwanese and Chinese nationals (including citizens of those countries) in at least three ways.
- 34. First, East Asians and Taiwanese and Chinese nationals (including citizens of these countries) are preferred in hiring. In many instances, the HR team from TSMC Ltd. in Taiwan sends HR in the U.S. the resumes of Taiwanese/Chinese candidates in the United States that typically already have the ability to work in the U.S., who they have already vetted and found suitable for hire. The U.S. HR team then simply hires these Taiwanese/Chinese candidates without question, even if no open roles have been posted in the U.S. In the rare instances when TSMC posts the job roles that these candidates ultimately fill, the jobs are posted for only a very short period of time, and candidates who apply are typically not reviewed or interviewed. The job postings are then summarily closed and the preferred Taiwanese/Chinese candidates referred by TSMC Ltd. in Taiwan are hired. Hiring managers at TSMC America, TSMC Technology, TSMC Arizona, and TSMC Washington prefer to interview and hire Taiwanese and Chinese candidates, including those candidates who speak Mandarin, despite there being no foreign language requirement for the job openings in the U.S. Candidates are specifically asked to provide their national origin when applying to the company so that TSMC's recruiters and hiring managers can advance only members of its preferred groups in the hiring process.

35. Recruiters in the U.S. have also been explicitly instructed by the Taiwan Headquarters ("Taiwan HQ") Global Recruitment Program Leaders that the goal for recruiting new graduates from U.S. universities is to hire primarily Taiwanese and Chinese candidates, and to target only universities known to have higher populations of Taiwanese and Chinese students. Invitations to TSMC job fairs, per the instruction of Taiwan HQ, are in Chinese so as to only attract students of TSMC's preferred race and national origin. As a result of these practices, interview and hiring reports document a clear preference for Taiwanese/Chinese U.S. new graduate candidates and hires.

36. When U.S. roles are posted as available, TSMC North America, TSMC Arizona, TSMC Technology, and/or TSMC Washington add to the job posting that "Mandarin / Chinese" is either required, preferred, or "a plus." There is no legitimate business reason why such a requirement would be necessary—TSMC conducts business in English and requires proficiency in English not only for U.S. employees, but also for employees at Taiwan HQ and other countries in which TSMC operates. As such, a TSMC employee in the U.S. do not need proficiency in Mandarin / Chinese, even if communicating with colleagues abroad. Rather, the language requirement is used to attract TSMC's preferred candidates (Taiwanese and Chinese citizens) and to dissuade non-East Asian, non-Taiwanese, and non-Chinese candidates from applying to roles. When non-East Asia, non-Taiwanese, and/or non-Chinese candidates do secure an interview, the hiring managers, most of whom are East Asian, often make

excuses to reject them to effectuate TSMC's cultural preference for East Asian employees and employees of Taiwanese or Chinese national origin. The above practices allow TSMC to effectuate its preference for candidates that are East Asian, Taiwanese, and/or Chinese (including those on visas) without explicitly stating it and belie TSMC's claims that it hires East Asians, Taiwanese citizens, and/or Chinese citizens due to a lack of U.S. talent in the semiconductor industry.

37. Moreover, TSMC's bias towards East Asians and Taiwanese and Chinese citizens extends beyond HR, recruitment, and hiring. The company similarly discriminates in engineering and lower-end technician jobs, such as jobs inspecting, maintaining, and cleaning equipment. With respect to engineering and technician jobs, TSMC reportedly requires minimal experience or qualifications from applicants in Taiwan for jobs in the U.S. 11 Conversely, TSMC requires many U.S. hires to train in Taiwan for six months to a year (or longer) for these positions, a requirement that was designed to further TSMC's goal of culling the pool of non-East Asian and non-Taiwanese/Chinese prospective employees. During the training period in Taiwan, U.S. employees are routinely excluded by their Taiwanese colleagues, who oftentimes speak exclusively in Mandarin in their presences, are denigrated by management, and are subjected to a hostile work environment.

¹¹ Mark Tyson, TSMC's Arizona Fab Hiring Woes Prompt Calls for Willing Taiwanese Migrants, Tom's HARDWARE (Apr. 21, 2022), https://www.tomshardware.com/news/tsmc-arizona-taiwanese-workers.

17

18

19

20

21

2425

26

2728

38. TSMC's bias in favor of East Asians, Taiwanese, and Chinese citizens was even apparent when it was hiring construction workers to build its first Arizona fab (via TSMC affiliates United Integrated Services ("UIS") and Marketech International Corp.). TSMC chairman Mark Liu complained of "an insufficient amount of skilled workers" to build the facility and planned to fly workers in from Taiwan. 12 TSMC agreed to focus on local hiring for those positions only after a massive and public outcry from Arizona labor unions. 13 While TSMC's affiliates hired some U.S. workers for those construction roles, TSMC has subjected those workers to horrifying work conditions at a work site plagued with safety and environmental concerns and a general disregard for human life and safety. This unsafe environment is partially a result of TSMC Arizona having systematically replaced U.S. hires with responsibilities related to U.S. regulations (including Occupational Safety and Health Administration ("OSHA") standards) with visa workers from China or Taiwan who have minimal work experience and no knowledge of those regulations. One construction worker reported anonymously that when he/she started working at the site, all workers went through a safety training program, but out in the field, that worker never saw the people who ran the program, or its safety protocols enforced. 14 Other complaints included too

¹² Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing (TSM) Q2 2023 Earnings Call Transcript, THE MOTLEY FOOL (July 20, 2023), https://shorturl.at/lHDzl.

¹³ See n. 9, supra.

¹⁴ Michael Sainato, 'They would not listen to us:' inside Arizona's troubled chip plant,' The Guardian (Aug. 28, 2023), https://shorturl.at/9YXkg

few and improperly cleaned or stocked portable toilets, likely resulting in workers falling ill, and the anonymous worker reported that instead of calling 911 for safety emergencies, workers were instructed to call TSMC Arizona's safety hotline, which took a long time to respond to requests for medical services. *Id.* Complaints by employees of TSMC Arizona to the company's internal legal department about safety violations (including violations that resulted in serious injury and death) have gone ignored. Taiwanese construction managers also subject non-East Asian and non-Taiwanese/Chinese workers to a hostile work environment in which they are constantly berated and expected to work long hours without pay, resulting in low morale for this classification of worker. When American construction workers—who are unionized—pushed back on the long, unpaid hours, the message from Taiwanese management was "Are all union workers this slow?"

39. Second, employees not in the preferred groups are frequently denied opportunities to advance and succeed at TSMC's U.S. subsidiaries. They are frequently excluded from business discussions, as conversations are often conducted in Mandarin, and business documents are routinely written in Chinese. A related practice was acknowledged in the Q3 2023 U.S. HR Quarterly All-Hands meeting by Jen Kung, Head of Compensation, who casually commented on the use of "Chenglish" when East Asians wanted to limit information being shared with non-East Asians and/or to try to confuse them. Even though the entire US HR team was present (including the Head of HR, Judy Chiu), and there was widespread agreement that "Chenglish" was commonly

8

1011

12

1314

1516

17

18

1920

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

used in the organization, TSMC took no action to correct the practice.

40. In engineering positions, non-East Asians and non-Taiwanese/Chinese citizens are denied training opportunities that would allow them to thrive. For instance, in the 2021 time frame forward, TSMC Arizona required U.S. hires to its fab to train in Taiwan for up to 18 months, where they were, according to reports, excluded from "higher-level meetings conducted in Mandarin" and "rarely had a chance to handle problems themselves, and were mostly tasked with observing." Back at the fab in Arizona, it was reported that "managers trusted Taiwanese workers with important tasks, starving the Americans of hands-on experience." ¹⁶ For instance, a Process Integration Engineer in Arizona stated that "an employee may be hired as an engineer [by TSMC,] but only taught technician-level jobs unless they are Taiwanese." An employee at TSMC's Washington fab complained that upper management "tends to play favorites with Taiwanese workers," "favoring and assisting Taiwanese engineers." Americans training for engineering positions in Taiwan reported of a meeting at which "a manager said Americans were less desirable than Taiwanese and Indian workers, according to people who saw leaked notes, which [were] circulated among trainees."17

 $41. \ Employees \ have \ also \ complained \ that \ TSMC \ Ltd. \ and \ its \ U.S. \ subsidiaries \ seem$

¹⁵ See id.

¹⁶ See id.

¹⁷ See id.

7

1011

1213

1415

16

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28

to encourage animosity between Taiwanese and U.S. employees, and have spoken of memorandums from higher management having surfaced that contained disparaging remarks about U.S. employees, who "are constantly seen as sub par." Employees assert that TSMC has fostered a culture in which "Senior American leaders within the company are apprehensive about voicing concerns due to potential job repercussions from executives based in Taiwan."

42. Non-East Asian employees and non-Taiwanese/Chinese citizens are also reviewed more harshly than their East Asian colleagues (including those who are Taiwanese and Chinese citizens), which inhibits their advancement in the company. Employees at TSMC North American, TSMC Technology, TSMC Arizona, and TSMC Washington are evaluated by their managers, and the available rankings are: Outstanding (O), High Satisfactory (S+), Satisfactory (S), and Needs Improvement (I). East Asian employees and Taiwanese and Chinese citizens are consistently ranked higher than those not in the preferred groups. At TSMC, a rating of S or below has significant implications, as rating is a factor in calculating an employee's Annual Bonus payout (with higher bonuses being paid to those with ratings of S+ and O), and employees who receive ratings of S or below are less likely to be promoted (and receive lower bonuses) and more likely to be forced to leave the company (or are eventually terminated). For example, in 2023, Taiwanese "assignees"—visa workers from Taiwan—were particularly favored in ratings at TSMC North American and did not receive ratings of less than S in the 2023 review cycle, even if they performed poorly.

9

11

12

14

13

1516

17 18

19

2021

22 23

24

26

25

2728

In addition, these workers received their expected bonuses regardless of their performance ratings, and, if they were identified to be failing, were simply transferred to another role or another TSMC location. It is understood that East Asian employees (particularly Taiwanese and Chinese assignees/citizens) receive an extra boost in every aspect of their employment at TSMC, including in job assignments, performance ratings, and bonus payouts.

43. Third, non-East Asian employees and non-Taiwanese/Chinese citizens are either terminated or constructively discharged at much higher rates than their East Asian counterparts (including those of Taiwanese and Chinese citizenship), and are frequently replaced with East Asian employees, including assignees from Taiwan and China. For example, since 2022, TSMC Arizona and TSMC North America have removed the majority of non-East Asian managers and leaders hired by the subsidiaries and replaced them with East Asian employees, maintaining non-East Asians in management roles primarily only in a select number of customer- or public-facing positions. In addition, despite its promise to focus on local, U.S. hiring, approximately half of TSMC Arizona's 2,200 U.S. workforce is comprised of assignees from Taiwan. 18 TSMC's anti-American culture, practice of denying training and advancement opportunities to non-East Asian employees and non-Taiwanese/Chinese citizens, and practice of reviewing non-East Asians more harshly contribute to the

¹⁸ Jennifer Read. TSMC Arizona Struggles to Overcome Vast Differences Between Taiwanese and US Work Culture, EMS Now (Aug. 19, 2024), https://shorturl.at/tCHBq

1213

11

15

14

17

16

19

18

2021

2223

2425

26

27

28

higher termination rates for non-East Asian employees. TSMC Ltd. and its subsidiaries also create a hostile work environment for non-South Asian, non-Taiwanese, and non-Chinese employees that causes them to resign at higher rates (these resignations constitute acts of constructive discharge by TSMC). For instance, a Process Integration Engineer in Arizona stated that "the company has recently begun to bully some employees into resigning due to poor performance without proper training" and that "[t]hose who quit are often replaced by Taiwanese locals."

44. TSMC also routinely subjects non-East Asian, non-Taiwanese, and non-Chinese employees to a hostile work environment where American workers are constantly yelled at and referred to as "stupid" or "lazy." Non-East Asian, non-Taiwanese, and non-Chinese workers are also excluded from meetings or businessrelated conversations (which are often conducted in Mandarin). They are similarly denied trainings, as training materials are in written in Chinese, and critical information needed for work is withheld by Taiwanese management, which stymies American employees' ability to advance within TSMC. At TSMC, it is common for American workers' strong performances to be downplayed or discredited, and Americans are reviewed more harshly by TSMC, resulting in lower appraisal scores, and fewer promotions or opportunities for advancement. It is also common for American workers to be talked to in a condescending manner by management, for their opinions to be discredited, and for them to be publicly humiliated in front of coworkers. Employees who are not East Asian, Taiwanese, or Chinese are micromanaged by Taiwanese

management and many have their job responsibilities stripped away. As a result of TSMC's hostile work environment, many non-East Asian employees are forced to take protected leave under the Family Medical Leave Act ("FMLA") due to the incredible stress and anxiety caused by TSMC's conduct, and oftentimes are forced to resign from the company (i.e., they are constructively discharged).

45. In addition, TSMC Ltd. and its subsidiaries engage in employment practices that result in a disparate impact on non-East Asian and non-Taiwanese/Chinese applicants and employees alike. Specifically, TSMC maintains a program whereby employees are able to refer their friends and family members for employment with the company, and those referrals are then preferred in hiring decisions. Because a large percentage of TSMC's workforce is Taiwanese and Chinese, a disproportionate number of referrals—and ultimately hires—are of East Asian race and Taiwanese or Chinese national origin.

46. In addition, TSMC maintains work assignment practices that favor visa employees (referred to as assignees) in U.S. roles. Visas are expensive, costing thousands of dollars for each work permit. Because TSMC wants to ensure that all visas it applies for and receives are used, visa employees are preferentially staffed into U.S. roles, so that TSMC obtains the maximum return on its investment. As a result, U.S. roles overwhelmingly are assigned to visa workers, and visa workers are often used to replace local hires (including local hires in management roles). While these assignment practices are race and national origin neutral, because the vast majority of

employees for whom TSMC secures a visa are Taiwanese (as that is where the parent company, TSMC Ltd., and majority of TSMC's workforce is located), these practices result in the disproportionate staffing of East Asians and Taiwanese citizens in U.S. roles, to the detriment of non-East Asians and non-Taiwanese workers.

47. Further, TSMC's U.S. subsidiaries often include Chinese / Mandarin language requirements in job postings, despite the fact that fluency in English is a requirement for employment at TSMC (including TSMC Inc.) and business is supposed to be conducted by the company in English. When recruiting college graduates for U.S. roles, sends invitations for career fairs in Chinese. These language "requirements," while not expressing any preference for a certain race or national origin, dissuade non-East Asians and non-Taiwanese/Chinese applicants and employees from applying to job roles, and result East Asian candidates being hired at disproportionate rates as they more often meet this language requirement.

48. Finally, TSMC maintains an appraisal process whereby visa workers (i.e., assignees) are given more favorable ratings than non-visa employees. This is done to ensure that visa employees remain in the U.S. and maximize their time on their visas, given that visas are a costly expenditure for TSMC. As a result, visa employees, who are predominantly Taiwanese nationals, ultimately receive higher appraisal scores than non-Taiwanese workers, and therefore are promoted at higher rates and receive higher annual bonuses.

Ms. Howington's Experience

- 49. Deborah Howington is a Talent Acquisition Leader who has spent her career working in Human Resources. She specializes in all aspects of recruitment and is committed to diversity and inclusion. Prior to joining TSMC North America, Ms. Howington served as Global Talent Acquisition Leader at Western Union, a Director-level role. There, she was responsible for enterprise-wide design and execution of hiring for global Fintech skills, diversity, employer branding, university recruiting, and vendor management (among other job responsibilities).
- 50. Ms. Howington began her employment with TSMC on February 13, 2023 as Deputy Director, Talent Acquisition. Ms. Howington is employed by TSMC North America (in San Jose, California) and supported hiring at three TSMC entities: TSMC North America, TSMC Technology, and TSMC Canada.
- 51. At the time of her hire in February 2023, Ms. Howington reported to the Head of HR, Teressa Harnois, who is Caucasian, but within a few months, Ms. Harnois told Ms. Howington that she had been "squeezed out of her role" and was soon replaced by Judy Chiu, who was born in China and identifies as Taiwanese. Following Ms. Harnois' replacement in September 2023, Ms. Howington was the only non-East Asian U.S. member of the HR Leadership Team. And only 4 of 17 employees on the U.S. HR team were non-East Asian during Ms. Howington's employment with TSMC.
- 52. Ms. Howington's role involved developing and executing hiring programs, strategies, and processes for the 3 entities that she supported in the U.S. and Canada.

2

3

4

5

6

Ms. Howington initially excelled at her position under the management of Ms. Harnois, working collaboratively with others in U.S. and Global Talent Acquisition. She was requested to spearhead and participate in U.S. and global projects, aimed at, among other things, improving TSMC's employer reputation among prospective global candidates and increasing diversity hiring. Within the first 6 months of her tenure, Ms. Howington's performance was so strong that Ms. Harnois added Ms. Howington to the succession plan for the role of Head of HR. But afterwards, Ms. Harnois noted that she had received a "strong negative reaction and pushback" from members of the almost entirely East Asian local HR team, and that Ms. Harnois had been forced to "go around" local HR to add Ms. Howington's name to the succession list that was provided to Taiwan HQ. Ms. Howington also created and led an initiative to improve the company's employer brand which became a \$250,000+ 10-person global project, and was nominated by Taiwan HQ for the role of TSMC North America Functional Expert and Employer Brand Lead for the U.S. In addition, while not directly responsible for the TSMC Arizona manufacturing site, she created and presented to members of Taiwan HQ HR and the local Arizona Head of HR, a U.S. Military Veteran hiring initiative to help them meet the CHIPS Act diversity hiring goals. Ms. Howington received glowing feedback, including in her 90-day probation period review, in the form of verbal and written accolades from her previous manager (whom Ms. Chiu replaced), and in written feedback from co-workers, peers, and managers that Ms. Howington proactively requested in order to ensure continuous improvement. She

8 9

1112

10

13

14

15

1617

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

was praised as "strategically minded" with "[e]xcellent communication and presentation skills."

- 53. Ms. Howington learned from Anne Hu, Head of Executive Recruitment, that it is common practice at TSMC for HR manager and other high-level HR jobs/assignments not to be posted for internal or external job interest, and that selection for HR assignments and advancements are frequently determined by the Taiwan HQ HR leadership team behind closed doors.
- 54. Over time, Ms. Howington became very concerned about practices she witnessed at TSMC that appeared heavily skewed in favor of East Asians and Taiwanese citizens. For instance, when Ms. Harnois was removed from her role as Head of HR, Ms. Howington was assigned by the Hiring Manager, TSMC North America President David Keller, to schedule interviews for the three finalists to replace Ms. Harnois in the role. All three finalists were East Asian and had been referred to TSMC by an Asian headhunter who was engaged to confidentially recruit for this role. Ms. Howington also routinely witnessed and raised concerns that well-qualified, non-East Asian candidates (including non-Taiwanese citizens) were being routinely overlooked and/or ignored by hiring managers without proper justification. Often, global presentations and documents were provided by Taiwan HQ written in Chinese, despite proficiency in English being a requirement to work at TSMC. On one occasion, in December 2023, Judy Chiu held a meeting that included Ms. Howington, and East Asian members of the Business and HR team of which a significant portion was

9

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

2021

2223

24

26

27

25

28

conducted in Mandarin, including the provision of important HR-related information, despite Ms. Chiu knowing that Ms. Howington was the only person at the meeting who could not understand and participate. Afterwards, Ms. Howington told Ms. Chiu that she felt uncomfortable and excluded, but Ms. Chiu dismissed her concerns, stating that she was just trying to make the other participants in the meeting feel comfortable.

55. In addition, Ms. Howington witnessed discrimination at TSMC with respect to jobs that require Export Controls, for which additional steps are required for candidates who are considered "finalists" and who are from D119 or E120 countries. While TSMC's Export Control policy states that candidates can be asked to provide their nationality only once they are a "finalist" for an Export Control job, hiring managers at TSMC would often ask for nationality information in advance of determining the finalists for a role, and would historically reject or "ghost" candidates from a D1 or E1 countries, resulting in discrimination against employees from those countries (while the People's Republic of China is a D1 country, managers and leaders valued the candidate's ability speak Mandarin, typically resulting in positive hiring decisions for those candidates). When Ms. Howington reported this practice to TSMC North America's legal team, including to Senior Director Steven Schulman and Manager Chun-Lan Lim, Ms. Lim was dismissive of Ms. Howington's concerns and Ms.

¹⁹ This includes, among other countries, Albania, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Cambodia, Cuba, Georgia, Iraq, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Laos, Macau, Moldova, Mongolia, North Korea, Russia, Tajikstan, Turkmenistan, Ukraine, Uzbekistan, Vietnam, and the People's Republic of China.

²⁰ This includes, among other countries, Cuba, Iran, North Korea, Sudan, and Syria.

4

8

11

1213

1415

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

25

24

26

2728

https://shorturl.at/nK2vN.

Controls,

Howington's request that Export Control training be provided for the hiring managers. When Ms. Howington pressed further for the training, Ms. Lim agreed to "look into it" with Taiwan HQ. Ms. Howington ultimately learned that while the training was given, it was provided only to Mr. Shulman, and not to TSMC's hiring managers.

56. In August 2023, Ms. Howington and her three recruiters were approached by Nick Tsai, Director of Technology. Mr. Tsai requested that Ms. Howington and her team not interview any D1 candidates at the request of a major TSMC customer. Ms. Howington and her team were instructed to identify two finalists for each role servicing this customer, so that if one finalist was identified as being from a D1 country, they could simply drop him or her from consideration. When Ms. Howington expressed concern to Mr. Tsai and the Head of Human Resources, Teressa Harnois, regarding this instruction, Ms. Harnois shared that Mr. Schulman had approached her, and asked that all communications regarding export control be kept off email, as such communications could be discoverable. Mr. Schulman also instructed one of the recruiters, Jess Liu, to not provide D1 candidates updates with respect to their candidacy, in the hopes that they would look for other jobs instead of waiting for a response from TSMC. TSMC Ltd. is currently under investigation for concerns over U.S. export control violations.²¹

²¹ See Huawei's Latest AI Processors Allegedly Produced by TSMC, Raising Questions About U.S.

& PCB UNION NEWS AND TECHNOLOGY (Oct.

1011

1213

1415

1617

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

57. In February 2024, Ms. Howington received an email from an HR Manager in Taiwan HQ stating that making offers to East Asian candidates for U.S. positions should be done by "someone familiar with Asian culture in order to handle offer communication." That guidance was similar to what Ms. Howington had been told on multiple occasions by Jen Kung, who told Ms. Howington that she (Ms. Kung) "should make offers to U.S. candidates who are Asian so they can understand better in Chinese."

58. In addition to complaining to Ms. Chiu about the use of Mandarin during meetings where Ms. Howington was in attendance, Ms. Howington raised concerns about TSMC's preference for hiring East Asians (including Taiwanese citizens) and rejection of non-East Asian candidates on multiple occasions to both of her managers—initially to Ms. Harnois, and later to Ms. Chiu. Additionally, in December 2023, Ms. Howington raised concerns to Ms. Chiu about the circumstances under which TSMC hired an East Asian candidate in the U.S.—specifically that the candidate had been hired despite failing the technical interview and was given a higher rate of pay than nearly all others in the same job grade. (The details related to this candidate's hire were discussed at the meeting conducted in Mandarin described above (see ¶ 54, supra) such that Ms. Howington had been unable to participate in the discussion.) Ms. Chiu shut down Ms. Howington's complaints, reminding Ms. Howington that TSMC leaders valued "execution" to remain at TSMC and when considering promotions, which Ms. Howington perceived as a threat of retaliation for

voicing a complaint.

59. In January 2024, Ms. Howington submitted a formal whistleblower complaint on TSMC's internal employee website, voicing concerns that the East Asian hire potentially violated discrimination laws (as well as provisions of the TSMC Employee Handbook) and that Ms. Chiu had seemingly threatened retaliation in her handling of Ms. Howington's initial complaint. Two weeks later, Ms. Howington had a brief meeting regarding the whistleblower complaint with the Director of Legal, Steven Schulman, but he refused to provide any information about whether there would be an investigation. Ms. Howington received no further written or verbal follow-up thereafter from anyone at TSMC, in violation of TSMC's internal policies and procedures for investigating whistleblower complaints.

60. TSMC's internal policies also prohibit "retaliat[ion] against any employee in the terms and conditions of ongoing employment" for complaining about potential legal violations. But shortly after complaining about discrimination against non-East Asians (including non-Taiwanese citizens) in hiring and treatment, and submitting her whistleblower complaint, Ms. Howington began to experience just that. Specifically, despite having received no complaints from TSMC regarding her performance or otherwise, in February 2024, Ms. Howington received a lower-than-expected Performance Review Rating of "S" (Satisfactory) by Ms. Chiu, for work Ms. Howington performed during 2023. Ms. Chiu refused to provide any details in writing for this lesser performance rating, and when Ms. Howington requested examples and

specific details substantiating the rating, Ms. Chiu not only refused to provide them but responded by telling Ms. Howington that she would now either be placed on a Performance Improvement Plan ("PIP") or face other HR Intervention due to her "poor" performance (even though the performance rating, while unjustly low, was still an "S" (Satisfactory), not an "I" rating which indicates "needs improvement"). Ms. Howington was shocked by this reaction from Ms. Chiu, as none of Ms. Chiu's prior communications had included any of her purported concerns about Ms. Howington's performance (verbally or in writing), and Ms. Chiu's new critiques of Ms. Howington's performance were mostly vague and generalized. Following this performance review discussion, Ms. Howington realized that at least 50%—2 of the 4—non-East Asian members on the HR Leadership team in the U.S. received 'S' (Satisfactory) ratings in February 2024 for their performance in 2023.

- 61. After Ms. Chiu shared this unjustifiably low Performance Review Rating with Ms. Howington, Ms. Chiu began badmouthing Ms. Howington's performance to colleagues and peers publicly, insinuating that Ms. Howington appeared "confused," and making other disparaging comments during leadership meetings regarding Ms. Howington's capabilities when Ms. Howington asked for clarification or additional information. By comparison, when East Asian HR team members asked for clarification or additional information during leadership meetings, Ms. Chiu answered them without hesitation or comment.
 - 62. Shortly thereafter, Ms. Chiu and other team members began withholding

resources and support from Ms. Howington. For instance, Ms. Chiu refused Ms. Howington's request to add temporary staff after Ms. Howington lost 50% of her team in January 2024 and experienced a significant and extended increase in workload, while making baseless accusations that Ms. Howington was lying to Ms. Chiu about the need for support.

63. Ms. Howington complained about Ms. Chiu's treatment to Ms. Chiu's direct manager, TSMC North America President David Keller, and her dotted line manager, Lora Ho, the Global Head of HR in Taiwan HQ, but both individuals dismissed Ms. Howington's concerns and directed her back to Ms. Chiu. Ms. Howington later learned that it is common at TSMC to withhold resources and support from employees that the company wants to get rid of, with the goal of creating a workplace environment so severely burdened that the incumbent will decide to leave. Ms. Howington was made aware of multiple instances of this occurrence at TSMC North America and TSMC Arizona.

64. Ms. Chiu was similarly critical with other non-East Asian HR employees, but was never critical about the East Asian HR team members. For instance, Ms. Chiu told the HR Leadership Team ("HRLT") in a leadership meeting that the HR Program Manager, Kathryn Agarpao, who is not Asian, was "making mistakes, was not doing a good job, [and] needed help." Ms. Chiu said the same of a non-East Asian recruiter Nick Barroga-Trumbo, who directly reported to Ms. Howington. Specifically, Ms. Chiu told Ms. Howington that in preparing Mr. Barroga-Trumbo's performance rating,

7

5

1011

1213

1415

16 17

18

19 20

21

2223

2425

2627

2728

Ms. Howington should weigh feedback from the HRLT that he was "making mistakes, not doing a good job, [and] needed help," but Ms. Chiu also refused to provide examples of the alleged mistakes complained of by the HRLT. These examples are characteristic of the environment at TSMC, in which non-East Asian employees and non-Taiwanese/Chinese citizens are subjected to a stricter level of scrutiny than similarly situated East Asian employees (including Taiwanese citizens).

65. In April 2024, Ms. Howington became so distressed by the openly hostile and discriminatory work environment at TSMC that her health began to suffer, and she requested FMLA leave. Upon her return from FMLA leave to the company in May 2024, she was told by Ms. Chiu that her responsibilities would be significantly curtailed and her role had seemingly been minimized. Ms. Chiu informed Ms. Howington that Ms. Howington's direct reports would no longer be reporting to her and repeatedly referred to Ms. Howington's role as the "TA Lead"—which would be a demotion from the title of Deputy Director-but refused to answer when Ms. Howington asked whether her role had changed. Ms. Chiu also provided a number of directives to Ms. Howington, such as a requirement that Ms. Howington copy Ms. Chiu on all communications she had with members of her team and provide Ms. Chiu written summaries of what Ms. Howington discussed during team meetings. Ms. Chiu read these directives from her computer screen, indicating that she was maintaining, in writing, a list of new expectations for Ms. Howington, but refused to provide Ms. Howington with the written list when Ms. Howington requested it. During this

discussion, Ms. Howington repeatedly asked if she was being put on a PIP, but Ms. Chiu consistently ignored her questions and simply stated that, as the Head of HR, she was making the decision to implement these new directives. Following this meeting, Ms. Howington did not feel capable of continuing to work in such a hostile environment and informed TSMC on June 5, 2024 that she had retained counsel and would no longer be coming into the office. Ms. Howington remains on leave to-date.

Mr. Perry's Experience

66. James Perry is a Chief Human Resources Business Partner who specializes in learning, organizational development and organizational design, talent management and change and transformation. He holds a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration and a Master of Science in Industrial and Organizational Psychology. Mr. Perry has over twenty years of HR experience in global high tech and oil and gas companies, has served as a subject matter expert at translating business needs into talent management and organizational performance solutions, and has extensive experience leading global organization and people transformations that drive revenue growth, employee satisfaction, and positively impact business results.

67. Mr. Perry worked as the Head of Learning and Organization Development and Culture for TSMC Arizona from July 2022 to August 2023. He was recruited to the company by Scott Hollman, the Chief Human Resources Officer ("CHRO") for TSMC, who has since resigned from the company. Mr. Perry was hired by TSMC Arizona just as the company was starting to grow in the United States.

68. As part of his onboarding, Mr. Perry spent three months in Taiwan, undergoing mandatory training so that he understood TSMC's "business model." During that time, it became readily apparent that TSMC's "business model" was to work employees into the ground, to not respect employees' opinions or contributions, and for employees to follow any command issued by leadership, irrespective of whether it was safe or ethical.

69. When Mr. Perry returned to the United States, he began working at a temporary office in Phoenix, but later moved into TSMC's new office building in the same city. The new office building had all glass windows and overlooked the fab construction site. The construction site itself was plagued with safety and environmental concerns, and there was a general disregard by TSMC for construction workers' lives (who were predominantly non-East Asian, non-Taiwanese, and non-Chinese). Mr. Perry himself, who has a background in environmental health and safety and worked for Intel on construction-related jobs, had several conversations with Greg Jackson, the Construction Facilities Manager, concerning the dangerous fab construction site. During those conversations, Mr. Jackson informed Mr. Perry that the Taiwanese construction manager he reported to would constantly berate the non-Taiwanese construction workers, and that morale among this group was low as a result.

70. As the Head of Learning and Organization Development and Culture for TSMC Arizona, Mr. Perry was responsible for coaching the senior staff and leadership team to help integrate two diverse cultures—that of the Taiwanese staff who had traveled to

12

15

16

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

the U.S. for work, and that of the American staff who had been hired locally in the U.S. However, Mr. Perry's role was quickly diminished by TSMC. He was expected not to voice his opinion or challenge members of Taiwanese leadership, and any attempts to train Taiwanese U.S.-based leaders on U.S. culture were futile.

- 71. Mr. Perry would conduct workshops with the Taiwanese managers as part of his role. The subject of one such workshop was the current culture at TSMC and the culture the company wanted in the future, which was attended by approximately 150 employees. At that workshop, Taiwanese leadership expressed a desire for a militant and authoritative culture where employees obey commands without question and offer no pushback. One female, a frontline manager at the meeting who is Taiwanese, began crying and stated: "I'm so embarrassed; Americans are lazy, they don't work hard enough, they don't know enough, and they don't know commitment."
- 72. These discriminatory comments towards Americans were common at TSMC Arizona. During Mr. Perry's employment, he heard Americans being called "lazy" and "not hard working" by members of management (who were predominantly Taiwanese and Chinese). And employees who refused to consistently work twelve-hour days were considered poor performers.
- 73. While TSMC Arizona hired a number of non-Taiwanese and non-Chinese workers while Mr. Perry was employed by the company as part of its efforts to expand TSCM's presence in the U.S., the company quickly replaced all U.S. managers with individuals of Taiwanese descent. In fact, during Mr. Perry's tenure, approximately

10-15 Americans who had been hired to work in Human Resources for TSMC Arizona left the company due to discrimination and TSMC's hostile work environment. Mr. Perry personally witnessed a number of these female employees leaving meetings in tears, having been berated and denigrated by Taiwanese management. TSMC's preference for East Asians and those of Taiwanese or Chinese national origin is reflected in the demographics of the company's managers and executive leadership. In one of the offsite meetings led by Mr. Perry, all 160 front-line managers in attendance were of Taiwanese national origin, and TSMC's executive leadership team is exclusively made up of those of Taiwanese or Chinese descent.

74. Mr. Perry resigned from TSMC Arizona in August 2023, unable to further tolerate the hostile work environment and TSMC's refusal to accept American culture and legal norms. Mr. Perry filed a written complaint with TSMC's HR department during his exit interview, specifically noting that his resignation was being compelled by the discriminatory and hostile treatment from manufacturing leaders, and specifically naming Ted Chiang, Senior Director of HR (who is Chinese) as an individual who had expressed negative and hostile behavior towards Mr. Perry and other non-Taiwanese and non-Chinese members of HR.

75. On information and belief, TSMC Arizona has since retaliated against Mr. Perry following his constructive discharge. Mr. Perry joined Oracle after leaving TSMC, and within the first six months of his employment, he was notified by Oracle's legal department that it had received an anonymous complaint about him from someone at

TSMC. The complaint was ultimately investigated and unsubstantiated by Oracle, but it put Mr. Perry's employment with the company at risk.

Ms. Huizar's Experience

76. Elena Huizar is a Human Resources Business Partner with over fifteen years of relevant experience. She holds a Bachelor's Degree in Communications from Arizona State University. Ms. Huizar has spent her career in HR, and is skilled in recruitment, hiring, and onboarding, talent management, diversity and inclusion, and project management. Before joining TSMC Arizona, Ms. Huizar spent three years as an Executive Assistant and HR Business Partner for Onsemi, a semiconductor manufacturing company in Phoenix, Arizona.

77. Ms. Huizar joined TSMC Arizona in November 2021 where she worked as an HR Project Manager for approximately 2.5 years. Ms. Huizar was responsible for overseeing two employee experience programs, TSMC Arizona's canteen (cafeteria project) and its wellness center (medical clinic), and ensuring that employees were taken care of with respect to their meals and offering medical care in the Wellness Center. She reported to Naiyu "Clair" Hsu, who is Taiwanese. Ms. Hsu had no prior HR experience and was assigned to Ms. Huizar's team only because the Arizona fab was not yet up and running, and she had no actual work to do in the fab.

78. When Ms. Huizar joined TSMC Arizona, her HR team was comprised of about 10 members (three of whom were from Taiwan), and TSMC Arizona was approximately 80% American and 20% Taiwanese. It was understood that the

company was going to integrate with U.S. culture and strive to become a diverse, U.S. company, aligning to operate separately from TSMC's corporate headquarters in Taiwan. However, after Ms. Huizar had been with TSMC Arizona for approximately 1.5 years, there was a complete switch in the company's business model and attempts to localize. TSMC sent a number of employees from Taiwan to the U.S. and every time a non-Taiwanese worker left the company (either on their own volition or via constructive discharge by TSMC Arizona), a Taiwanese national replaced them. Many of these Taiwanese nationals were on visas. TSMC Arizona also leaned heavily on hiring employees' family members, resulting in a spike in the percentage of East Asian, Mandarin speaking employees in the United States. As TSMC Arizona increased its Taiwanese, Mandarin speaking workforce, it abandoned its efforts to integrate with U.S. culture and began operating in close alignment to TSMC's corporate headquarters in Taiwan—which was known for being oppressive and dictatorial. TSMC Arizona was approximately 80% Taiwanese when Ms. Huizar left the company in March 2024. 79. While at TSMC, Ms. Huizar witnessed first-hand the discrimination faced by non-Taiwanese employees. The Wellness Center, for instance, was designed by Banner Health, which provided concierge-like medical information and services for assignees from Taiwan—e.g., immunizations, children's school physicals, information on where to deliver a baby, etc. U.S. employees, many of whom had moved to Arizona from other states for work, were not provided with the same medical assistance, and mobile healthcare checkups—where Banner Health medical vans traveled to apartment

complexes where Taiwanese TSMC employees lived to provide services—were offered only to Taiwanese employees. When Ms. Huizar asked why American workers were being excluded from these medical services, she was specifically told by Victor Yang (who is Taiwanese and at the time worked in HR) that such services were "just for the Taiwanese."

- 80. When Ms. Hsu and Ted Chiang sought to hire a manager for the Wellness Center and posted a job opening, Yiting Hsieh, a Taiwanese doctor from Los Angeles was hired for the role, rather than a local Arizona candidate. This individual was trained in Taiwan, and was not licensed to practice medicine in the United States. Ms. Huizar was told that Ms. Hsieh was hired because the Taiwanese employees at TSMC could understand her. Ms. Huizar was excluded from the interview panel, which was comprised of Ms. Hsu and Chia "Carrie" Yin Tao (who had been at TSMC Arizona for just two months at the time of the interview).
- 81. In addition to seeing members of the Human Resources team replaced by Taiwanese workers, Ms. Huizar also saw this same practice followed with information technology ("IT") workers. At TSMC, it was understood that in order to advance in IT, employees needed to speak and understand Mandarin, despite the fact that there is no Mandarin language requirement at TSMC and business was supposed to be conducted in English.
- 82. Discriminatory comments were also the norm at TSMC Arizona. Americans were called "babies" because, unlike Taiwanese workers, they objected to being yelled

9

8

11

10

1213

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

22

21

2324

2526

27

28

at and did not simply acquiesce to management's verbal abuse.

83. Ms. Huizar was also personally impacted by TSMC's discrimination. She was publicly chastised for minor mistakes by her Taiwanese manager, while her East Asian colleagues' errors went unmentioned. If Ms. Huizar voiced an opinion different than that of her manager in a meeting, Ms. Hsu would immediately start speaking in Mandarin to exclude Ms. Huizar from the business conversation. Ms. Huizar was also excluded from meetings simply because she was not Taiwanese. Her manager, Ms. Hsu, would hold meetings with vendors and not include Ms. Huizar, and then would task Ms. Tao (a Taiwanese colleague who sat in the cubicle in front of Ms. Huizar) with relaying information from the meeting to Ms. Huizar. On one occasion, Ms. Huizar tripped on some flooring at work and fractured her ankle. She immediately sought medical attention and notified her then-manager Sean Lai. Mr. Lai dismissed the incident, and berated Mr. Huizar for missing a conference call with Taiwan HQ during the time she was receiving medical treatment. And each time Ms. Huizar voiced concerns regarding the disparate treatment of non-Taiwanese employees, her complaints were ignored.

84. Taiwan HQ was aware of the harsh treatment to which American employees were subjected, and in mid to-late 2023, Lynette Ng, Senior Director of Global Human Resources, traveled to Arizona from Taiwan HQ to meet with the HR team. At that meeting, she apologized for the treatment that the HR team had received from TSMC's Taiwanese management. While the HR team hoped that there would be a change in

TSMC's culture and management's behavior following that meeting, no such changes took place. And in the months following that meeting, the number of Taiwanese employees hired to replace American workers increased.

85. By 2024, Ms. Huizar was working 60 hours per week for TSMC Arizona. Yet no matter how hard she worked and how much she contributed to the company, she was still not accepted, and was still treated with hostility by her manager.

86. Ultimately, Ms. Huizar was forced to resign from TSMC Arizona on March 3, 2024 due to the company's discriminatory treatment. Prior to her departure, Ms. Huizar had a conversation with Ted Chiang, Senior Director of Human Resources (who is from Taiwan), in which she complained of unfair treatment and asked why every time an American left the company, a Taiwanese national was put in the employee's place. Mr. Chiang responded that it was because TSMC "is an Asian company." At the time Ms. Huizar left TSMC Arizona, there were only two non-Taiwanese employees on her HR team, Ashley Renage and Nate Burt, and approximately 75% of the HR department was comprised of Taiwanese nationals. As of November 4, 2024, only Ashlee Ramage remains from Ms. Huizar's original team.

Mr. Bostick's Experience

87. Lacey Bostick is a Global Security, Compliance, and Firewall Service Delivery Manager with a long career working in governance, risk, compliance, and audit positions. He holds a Master's Degree in Telecommunications Engineering from the University of Colorado at Boulder, and spent 43 years of his career working at IBM

9 10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

with many different cultures and companies. For instance, Mr. Bostick worked in the United Kingdom for 3 years, in Australia for 9 months, and in Holland for 2 years. He also worked with employees across Europe, Asia, Africa, and North and South America (both face-to-face and remotely), and managed employees across the globe.

88. TSMC Arizona recruited Mr. Bostick to work for the company on two occasions given his strong background in risk, compliance, and security. Mr. Bostick at first declined the job offer from TSMC, but ultimately accepted a role with TSMC Arizona in November 2022, based upon TSMC's promise that Mr. Bostick's hours would remain reasonable (no more than 55 hours per week) and that, when Mr. Bostick needed, he could take time off and start working remotely to care for his elderly mother.

89. Mr. Bostick served as TSMC Arizona's Enterprise Governance, Risk, and Compliance Manager from November 2022 to June 2023. This was a high-level job role, just two levels below the Global CFO who worked for Taiwan HQ. Mr. Bostick reported to Tricia Chu, Treasurer of TSMC Arizona who is Chinese. Ms. Chu reported directly to the Global CFO in Taiwan.

90. When Mr. Bostick joined the company in November, his manager, Ms. Chu, refused to meet with him, stating she was "too busy," and telling Mr. Bostick to simply "figure it out" when he asked what was required of his job role. After Mr. Bostick returned from three weeks of training in Taiwan in January 2023, Ms. Chu informed Mr. Bostick that she had hired a TSMC employee from Taiwan to work as a contractor with Mr. Bostick to create the Arizona Compliance Plan for TSMC Arizona. Ms. Chu 42

never gave Mr. Bostick any directive or instruction as to what was expected of him, yet often berated him for not meeting her unarticulated expectations. Ms. Chu's lack of support continued throughout Mr. Bostick's short tenure with TSMC Arizona.

- 91. Mr. Bostick was tasked with implementing a compliance plan that included physical security, IT security, meeting environmental safety and health guidelines, and construction. However, TSMC Arizona's IT security was moved to Taiwan HQ in the first quarter of fiscal year 2023, and Taiwan HQ refused to provide Mr. Bostick with necessary information to develop and implement an Arizona Compliance Plan. Taiwan HQ refused to share business information with anyone outside of HQ, and Mr. Bostick was kept in the dark, despite leading this endeavor. In TSMC's eyes, Mr. Bostick was of no consequence because he was not Taiwanese or Chinese. Upon Mr. Bostick's resignation in June 2023, Ms. Chu had C.W. "Kris" Hsu, a Taiwanese employee from Operations (on assignment in Arizona) who spoke Mandarin, replace Mr. Bostick in his role.
- 92. While with the company, Mr. Bostick was constantly subjected to a hostile work environment by Ms. Chu. Ms. Chu would berate Mr. Bostick in their daily meeting, telling him he was "stupid," and that his "plans [we]re wrong," and "not the TSMC way." Every afternoon, Ms. Chu would yell and scream at Mr. Bostick at their daily meeting, verbal abuse that was audible to the entire office, given the open floor plan. When Mr. Bostick refused to respond to Ms. Chu's hostility, remaining calm during the meetings, Ms. Chu would escalate her beratement, telling Mr. Bostick "I

10

8

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

just hate you. You sit there and you never fight back."

- 93. In addition, Ms. Chu constantly insulted Mr. Bostick in front of his colleagues and peers, telling them not to be like Mr. Bostick. At the department Christmas luncheon in 2022, which Mr. Bostick attended with about twenty of his peers, Ms. Chu publicly humiliated him, telling the group "Don't be like Lacey." Ms. Chiu made similar, public comments at the monthly department meetings, informing TSMC employees "Don't be like Lacey or I'll micromanage you."
- 94. Scott Hollman, TSMC's CHRO in the U.S., was well aware of Mr. Bostick's poor treatment and daily beratement by Ms. Chu. Not only did he overhear Ms. Chu screaming at Mr. Bostick during their daily meetings (as his office was located next to Ms. Chu's), Mr. Bostick would also keep Mr. Hollman apprised of Ms. Chu's treatment of him when they grabbed coffee in the morning. No action, however, was taken to redress Ms. Chu's hostile treatment.
- 95. When Mr. Bostick joined TSMC Arizona, he understood that TSMC was endeavoring to be a global company, and to grow its local footprint. But TSMC reneged on its commitment to diversity, sending approximately 2,000 assignees from Taiwan during Mr. Bostick's tenure to work in the U.S. While TSMC informed the State of Arizona that the assignees (who were on visas) were being brought over to train local, U.S. hires, and would return home after training, additional assignees were brought to the U.S. to replace American workers who resigned and/or were constructively discharged at high rates due to TSMC's hostile work environment. Late

in the second quarter of 2023, TSMC also began shipping mid-level managers and junior directors to the United States, who were also used to displace local managers and directors.

96. Prior to resigning from the company, Mr. Bostick was forced to work fifteen hours (or more) each day, Monday through Friday, and when he sought to take time off to care for his mother, he was told "TSMC pays you enough to pay someone else to take care of her."

97. Mr. Bostick resigned from TSMC Arizona in June 2023 due to the company's hostile work environment, Ms. Chu's constant beratement, and the fact that he was discriminated against simply because he was not a member of TSMC's favored groups. Mr. Bostick's resignation constitutes a constructive discharge.

Ms. Adesemoye's Experience

98. Modupe Adesemoye is a Touch/Display Quality Engineer who specializes in semiconductor fabrication processes and application and properties of materials used in the semiconductor industry. She holds a Bachelor of Science degree in Electrical and Computer Engineering and a Master's of Science degree in Electrical Engineering from Texas A&M University. Ms. Adesemoye has held a number of engineering positions since graduating college in 2017 and is highly skilled in data analytics, research, and development.

99. Ms. Adesemoye worked for TSMC Arizona from March 2021 to June 2022 as a Process Integration Engineer, and was a member of the company's integration team.

Shortly after joining TSMC, Ms. Adesemoye traveled to Taiwan in April 2021 for compulsory training. She was one of the first batches of new hires in the U.S. to travel to Taiwan, and the training was scheduled to last 1.5 years (until October 2022).

- 100. In Taiwan, Ms. Adesemoye served as the lead engineer for her group, given her educational background and prior engineering experience. There were five members in Ms. Adesemoye's immediate group, of whom three were Taiwanese and one was American (of Caucasian race). Ms. Adesemoye was tasked in Taiwan with solving issues that arose with the fab (of which there were many).
- 101. While at training, Ms. Adesemoye heard a number of discriminatory comments made regarding Americans, including that TSMC will not do well in America because Americans are lazy. Ms. Adesemoye sought to disprove this stereotype, and worked hard while in Taiwan, oftentimes staying at the office until 9:00 p.m., and, in line with the company's directive, took very few breaks, and did not take any time off or travel back to the U.S. during her training. While TSMC guaranteed Ms. Adesemoye an additional \$5,000 to \$6,000 for every six months she remained continuously in Taiwan for training, TSMC never paid her any of this money. Ms. Adesemoye was also asked by TSMC to train one of her Taiwanese colleagues, an engineer who had been working for the company for years but lacked Ms. Adesemoye's engineering skill-set. Ms. Adesemoye took pride in her work ethic, and was always the first to complete her trainings and the work assigned to her.
 - 102. While in Taiwan, Ms. Adesemoye was excluded by her Taiwanese

5

9

7

1011

1213

1415

1617

18 19

20

22

21

2324

2526

27

28

colleagues, who did not invite her to social events. As a result, Ms. Adesemoye mostly kept to herself and focused on working hard for the company. On the rare occasions that Ms. Adesemoye spoke up or shared her opinion, it was met with hostility by TSMC's Taiwanese management: "Why are you talking a lot? Why do you have an opinion about things? Just do your job."

In March or April 2022, after Ms. Adesemoye had been with TSMC 103. Arizona for about a year, she received her first performance review. The performance review was completed by a Director, SK Tien, who is Taiwanese. To Ms. Adesemoye's surprise (and dismay), Mr. Tien ranked her the lowest among the integration department, which was comprised of approximately 20 individuals (both Taiwanese employees and local hires from the U.S.), the vast majority of whom were recent college graduates. Of the U.S. hires, Ms. Adesemoye was the only African American, and the only employee with engineering experience. The other members of the team was East Asian, with the exception of one Caucasian individual. When Ms. Adesemoye met with Mr. Tien to discuss her low performance review score, which impacted her bonus, Mr. Tien offered no justification for the score, stating vaguely that Ms. Adesemoye's presentation slides could be improved (a criticism Ms. Adesemoye had never before received). The next day, Ms. Adesemoye approached Mr. Tien with a list of her accomplishments over the past year to better understand why she was ranked lowest of her group, despite the fact that no group member had completed even half of her achievements, and the fact that Ms. Adesemoye had been tasked with training

another engineer given her skill set. Mr. Tien still had no explanation for Ms. Adesemoye's unjustifiably low performance review score. He then referred her to another Director, Charles Chu, who is Taiwanese. Mr. Chu tried to gaslight Ms. Adesemoye, asking how she knew that she was ranked the lowest, but still refused to change her score.

after having worked so hard for TSMC, that she informed the company that she planned to resign, and provided notice of her impending resignation. However, TSMC informed Ms. Adesemoye that she was required to immediately return to the U.S that same day, and forced her to move out of the TSMC dormitory where she had been staying for the past year. Ms. Adesemoye was not given sufficient time to pack, and was forced to leave behind half of her belongings, and to pay for her own return flight home. She was so traumatized by the hostile work environment at TSMC that she took medical leave from her new employer after returning to the U.S. to focus on her mental health.

Mr. Popisteanu's Experience

105. Marc Popisteanu is an IT Infrastructure Manager who specializes in storage virtualization, VMware infrastructure, and incident management. He holds an MBA and a Bachelor of Science degree in Business/Information Systems, along with several relevant certifications (CSM Certified Scrum Master, PSM Professional Scrum Master, and an ITIL Foundation Certificate in IT Service Management). Mr. Popisteanu has extensive experience in service management, project management,

process improvement, and cost optimization, and is skilled in leveraging new and emerging technologies to transform IT infrastructure and align with strategic business initiatives.

- 106. Mr. Popisteanu worked for TSMC Arizona for approximately 1.5 years, from March 2022 to July 2023, as an IT Infrastructure Manager. He was hired by Jason Liu, a Taiwanese Director, to build the company's infrastructure, which included building and running the Arizona fab from an IT perspective with local talent so as to enable TSMC Arizona to establish a local presence in the U.S.
- 107. Mr. Popisteanu's initial experience with TSMC Arizona was positive. When he joined the company, a transition team was brought over from Taiwan, and he hired the equivalent of that team in the United States. Mr. Popisteanu's U.S. team was to learn from the Taiwanese transition team who would then return to Taiwan following the knowledge transfer. While Mr. Popisteanu's U.S. team was comprised mostly of employees of Indian national origin as that was the nationality of the majority of candidates who applied for the open roles, he was forced by his offshore counterpart H.F. Wang (who is Taiwanese) to hire an employee of East Asian descent simply because that individual spoke Mandarin.
- 108. In or around November 2022, there was a significant shift within the company, and TSMC Arizona abandoned its localization efforts. TSMC replaced its then-CEO with C.C. Wei (who is Chinese), and Mr. Liu was transferred back to Taiwan. A new IT Director, Peter Cheng, who is from Taiwan, began working in the

U.S., and under his management, the IT Department at TSMC Arizona assumed the militant operations of its headquarters in Taiwan. While American employees were not fired, so that TSMC Arizona could maintain its façade of being a local, U.S. company, Mr. Cheng began removing responsibility from U.S. workers, meetings shifted from being conducted in English to being conducted in Mandarin, and employee feedback in the U.S. was met with hostility by leadership.

- 109. Following the shift, Mr. Popisteanu would attend hour-long meetings that were conducted in Mandarin, to his exclusion, only to receive a task in English at the end of the meeting with no additional follow-up. He was given no opportunity to contribute or participate in the meetings, and was expected just to sit there quietly. On one occasion, Mr. Popisteanu was yelled at and belittled by Mr. Cheng in a meeting with one of TSMC Arizona's vendors, when the vendor Mr. Popisteanu had hired failed to follow a certain 6S methodology (a workplace organization and management methodology) when it operated in the building. Mr. Cheng made a sarcastic comment to the effect of "dumb Americans, they can't even follow 6S."
- 110. The transition team, which was designed to perform a knowledge transfer to Mr. Popisteanu's U.S. hires before returning to Taiwan, remained in the United States, and all operations and responsibilities shifted to that team. The knowledge transfer to Mr. Popisteanu's U.S. team came to a halt, and assignees from Taiwan were tasked with finishing the IT implementation. Remote control tools were also put in place so that the Taiwanese team could maintain control of IT operations when

returning to their home country.

- 111. Mr. Popisteanu also witnessed during his tenure with TSMC Arizona the company's preference for East Asian, Taiwanese, and Chinese workers. Mr. Popisteanu observed other departments within IT that affirmatively sought out and hired Taiwanese or Chinese nationals who spoke Mandarin. TSMC job descriptions oftentimes listed a preference or requirement that the individual be a native Mandarin speaker, despite the fact that the company conducted—or held itself out to conduct—business in English.
- 112. Mr. Popisteanu was constructively discharged by TSMC Arizona in July 2023. Mr. Popisteanu was forced to resign as TSMC had a clear preference for Taiwanese and Chinese workers, resulting in Mr. Popisteanu's role being diminished, responsibilities removed from his U.S. team, and being excluded from meetings and the overall decision-making process.

Ms. Carrier's Experience

- 113. Nicole Carrier has worked professionally as a volunteer firefighter, corrections officer, and emergency medical technician, and holds a Bachelor's Degree in Criminal Justice and Corrections. Ms. Carrier is skilled in incident management, SAP Environment, health, and safety management, and emergency response.
- 114. Ms. Carrier worked for TSMC Arizona from February 2022 to May 2024 as an Emergency Response Coordinator. In this role, Ms. Carrier was responsible for supervising security for the grounds, monitoring systems, and handling employee

medical issues. Once hired, Ms. Carrier was told that she would receive further training on chemistry and chemicals, and was asked whether she would be willing to travel to Taiwan for eight months of training. Ms. Carrier agreed, as the role sounded like a promising opportunity, and she was excited to be part of TSMC Arizona's growth in the United States.

- 115. When Ms. Carrier arrived in Taiwan, however, her optimism was quickly quashed. All of the company trainings were conducted in Mandarin, and the materials she was provided were written in Chinese, despite the fact that Ms. Carrier did not speak the language, forcing her to use Google Translate to learn TSMC's policies and procedures, and about chemicals and gasses. The living conditions in Taiwan were unsafe, with black mold covering the walls. While Ms. Carrier and other U.S. hires were placed in small, cramped dormitories in Taiwan, TSMC's Taiwanese hires were given beautiful homes and cars once they traveled to the U.S. for work.
- 116. Shortly before moving to Taiwan, Ms. Carrier had her gallbladder removed, and suffered a number of medical complications in Taiwan. On a number of occasions, Ms. Carrier would need to use the bathroom immediately after eating, and when unable to locate a bathroom and discard her protective gear in time, she had accidents. Ms. Carrier was humiliated by her health complications, but her manager, Joy Jones (who is Chinese) showed Ms. Carrier no compassion, and was rude, abrasive, and condescending. On one occasion, when Ms. Carrier had an accident and asked Ms. Jones to call her a cab so that she could return to her dormitory to shower and change,

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Ms. Jones begrudgingly acquiesced, but then made a strange, offhanded comment that she thought Ms. Jones was going through menopause.

- Ms. Carrier returned to Arizona in September 2022 where she worked as 117. part of TSMC Arizona's Emergency Response Center. TSMC staffed only two employees per shift at this center, which was insufficient, resulting in two non-Taiwanese employees being forced to resign due to the harsh working conditions.
- 118. Ms. Carrier's manager, Ms. Jones, reported to Rong-tai Wu in the U.S. who is Taiwanese. Mr. Ru constantly berated and yelled at the American workers, calling them "stupid Americans" and throwing things when he became incensed. While Ms. Carrier reported this hostile treatment to Human Resources, no steps were taken to correct this behavior
- 119. When Ms. Carrier sought medical care in the United States to address her ongoing health issues, her manager, Ms. Joy would belittle and degrade her, making comments like "Oh another doctor's appointment." On one occasion, when Ms. Carrier had an accident while performing a safety audit of the construction site and was unable to make it to a toilet, her manager asked why she couldn't just go back to her car, clean up, and return to work (rather than heading home to shower and change). When Ms. Carrier pushed back on this instruction, Ms. Jones responded that she should "just quit" if she can't do the work.
- Ms. Jones also routinely micromanaged Ms. Carrier's work and 120. humiliated her in front of coworkers, calling her a "stupid American." During Ms.

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

Carrier's one-on-one meetings with Ms. Joy and Ms. Carrier's immediate supervisor Darrell Johnson, Ms. Joy would constantly criticize and belittle Ms. Carrier. Presentations created by Ms. Carrier or the team were met with criticism. On one occasion, Ms. Carrier and her partner were working on a writeup after an electrical cable running through the construction site disconnected from the grounding prong and was dangerously exposed. While Ms. Carrier had two hours to prepare the report, Ms. Jones demanded it immediately, wrongly accused Ms. Carrier of wasting time (when she was, in fact, in the process of preparing the report), and then gave her just fifteen minutes to complete it, loudly counting down in the open office environment each minute remaining: "You now have 14 minutes, now 13 minutes, now 12..." Ms. Jones' conduct was designed to humiliate and embarrass Ms. Carrier in front of her coworkers. This hostile conduct led Ms. Carrier to question her own abilities and self-worth as she was constantly berated and put down by Ms. Joy, and never received any praise or accolades despite her hard work and accomplishments.

- 121. Ms. Carrier complained of Ms. Jones' harassment to HR, citing the hostile work environment at TSMC, lack of leadership and mentoring, and overall disrespect by the Taiwanese and Chinese staff. To Ms. Carrier's knowledge, no actions were taken to improve the work environment at TSMC Arizona.
- 122. When Ms. Carrier was no longer able to manage the ongoing stress and anxiety caused by the hostile work environment at TSMC Arizona, she went out on medical leave in late February or early March 2023. When Ms. Carrier sought to return

to work, she asked TSMC Arizona for an accommodation limiting her shifts to 8-10 hours (she was working four, 12 hour shifts previously) and allowing her full accessibility to a restroom. While TSMC acknowledged Ms. Carrier's need for an accommodation, it refused to provide her with one so that she could return to work, nor did it offer any alternate work for Ms. Carrier while she managed her medical condition. Ms. Carrier attempted to work with HR for a number of months, locating open positions with TSMC that she could fill, but was told that the company could not provide her with an accommodation for those roles. HR then went silent on Ms. Carrier, and refused to respond to her outreach. Ms. Carrier ultimately resigned from TSMC Arizona, having been constructively discharged by the company.

Mr. Winn's Experience

- 123. Mr. Winn is a Recruiting Coordinator who is skilled at building relationships, customer and candidate interactions, managing projects, and multitasking. He graduated from San Jose State University in May 2020 with a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration Management. Prior to joining TSMC, Mr. Winn served as a recruiting coordinator at NVIDIA.
- 124. Mr. Winn worked as a Recruiting Coordinator for TSMC North America and TSMC Technology from August 2022 to January 2024. He learned of the Recruiting Coordinator position through an online job posting, and applied directly to TSMC for the role. Mr. Winn was interviewed by a recruiter, who was an employee of TSMC, and Teressa Harnois, then the Head of HR for TSMC. These individuals made

the ultimate niring decision for the role, and selected Mr. with for employment. While
Mr. Winn was contracted by AppleOne Employment Services ("AppleOne") for the
position, which provides staff to both TSMC North America and TSMC Technology,
he was, in fact, a contractual employee of TSMC. While Mr. Winn received payment
through AppleOne Employment Services, TSMC maintained complete control and
supervision over Mr. Winn's day-to-day work and decided if and when to extend Mr.
Winn's employment contracts (this responsibility first belonged to Ms. Harnois, but
was later transferred to Judy Chiu, who replaced Ms. Harnois). And AppleOne only
hired Mr. Winn after he had been selected for the Recruiting Coordinator role by
TSMC. When the TSMC role ended with Mr. Winn's constructive discharge, so did
Mr. Winn's position with AppleOne.

- 125. Mr. Winn had a hybrid work schedule with TSMC, working three days per week at TSMC North America and TSMC Technology's office in San Jose, California, and two days per week remotely from his home. He reported to Deb Howington, Deputy Director, Talent Acquisition for TSMC, who oversaw Mr. Winn's work. Mr. Winn had little to no contact with AppleOne during his time at TSMC, as TSMC assigned and oversaw his day-to-day tasks, and only interacted with AppleOne when submitting his time cards and reporting sick time. TSMC provided Mr. Winn's work laptop, IT support, and training, and he had access to the TSMC portals and systems necessary to complete his work.
 - 126. As a Recruiting Coordinator, Mr. Winn was primarily responsible for

scheduling interviews for job requisitions across TSMC North America and TSMC Technology, helped launch a preboarding program with another recruiter, assisted with university recruiting tasks, and maintained and organized various recruiting and Human Resources documents. With respect to interview scheduling, Mr. Winn would be provided with resumes that had advanced through the initial screening process by TSMC's recruiters. The vast majority of candidates for whom he was tasked with scheduling interviews, and who were ultimately hired by TSMC Arizona or TSMC Technology, were East Asian and spoke Mandarin. Similarly, all of the student interns hired by TSMC who worked in the office with Mr. Winn were East Asian.

127. When Mr. Winn first joined TSMC, he participated in a meeting with the recruitment team, which included TSMC recruiters and Teressa Harnois (HR Head). During that meeting, the topic of discrimination in favor of Taiwanese candidates was raised. Specifically, an individual on the hiring team complained that one of TSMC's hiring managers was discriminating against Indian candidates and anyone who didn't speak Mandarin.²² Qualified candidates who did not meet TSMC's preferred race or national origin criteria were being rejected by this hiring manager while less qualified Taiwanese candidates were being moved forward in the hiring process. While Ms. Harnois stated that she would address the issue at a later time, given the time constraints

²² Mr. Winn is also aware of a hiring manager who requested to only interview candidates from certain universities where the Taiwanese student population was large (e.g., USC where Chinese students make up the school's largest international school population and UC Berkeley where Chinese students made up around 18% of the new undergraduate class in 2022).

5

8 9

11

12

10

13

15

16

14

17

18 19

2021

22 23

24

26

25

27

28

of the meeting, Mr. Winn is not aware of what steps were taken (if any) to address the issue, and Ms. Harnois was ultimately replaced by Judy Chiu (a Chinese/Taiwanese employee) towards the end of 2023. Ms. Chiu was aggressive, and constantly yelled at and demeaned a White employee, Kathryn Agarpao, who was a Human Resources Program Manager.

- 128. It is Mr. Winn's understanding that he was just one of two contractor employees at TSMC. However, despite his strong performance, TSMC never converted Mr. Winn to a full-time TSMC employee (despite his multiple requests).
- 129. After working for TSMC for approximately fifteen months, Mr. Winn applied for an Organizational Development Specialist role with TSMC North America, a role which would have reported to Annie Hu, a member of the HR leadership team who is Chinese. During an HR leadership meeting, Ms. Hu had proposed Mr. Winn to Ms. Harnois for the role, with 70% of his workload being dedicated to the Organizational Development specialist role and 30% of his workload dedicated to recruiting until he fully transitioned into the Organizational Development Specialist role. Mr. Winn was a good fit for the role, as it would have required him to implement, lead, and support future HR projects (a task he was already assisting with). But after the job posting was made public and Mr. Winn formally applied to the role, Judy Chiu became involved in the hiring process, and Ms. Hu began considering additional candidates beyond Mr. Winn (despite having previously found him to be a fit for the role and encouraging him to apply). Ms. Hu interviewed ten candidates, 90% of whom

were East Asian, and ended up selecting two Taiwanese candidates as finalists for the role, one of whom was ultimately hired for the position.

- America that was located in San Jose. The job posting for the role stated that the ability to speak Mandarin was preferred, but not required for the position. Ms. Howington encouraged Mr. Winn to apply for the role, and spoke with the Director of the group, Robin Wang, who is East Asian, to put in a good word for Mr. Winn. However, during that conversation, Mr. Wang expressed discomfort in hiring Mr. Winn, who is not Chinese or Taiwanese, but reluctantly agreed to speak with him to see if he was a "fit."
- 131. Mr. Winn was then scheduled to interview with the hiring team. However, in advance of his interview date, the hiring manager dropped by his cubicle unannounced with another team member (both of whom were East Asian females) and asked to conduct the interview immediately. Mr. Winn acquiesced and interviewed for the role without being afforded time to prepare. During the interview, Mr. Winn performed well, and was advanced to the next round in the interview process.
- Mr. Winn then participated in the second interview a week later, which was designed to assess his skills in Excel. The interview was attended by the same two mangers as his first interview, along with two new interviewers. After a brief conversation regarding Mr. Winn's background and interests, he was assigned a simple task in Excel, which took him one minute to successfully complete. Mr. Winn was then asked whether he spoke other languages, knew Chinese, or had any issues not speaking

21

22

23

24

26

25

27

28

Mandarin in recruiting. Mr. Winn responded that he did not speak Mandarin, and that it had never posed an issue when working for TSMC. Mr. Winn was then asked what he would do if he had someone on the phone who spoke only Mandarin (the ability to speak Mandarin was not a requirement for the Business Operations role). Mr. Winn responded that he would be willing to learn Mandarin, but that in the interim, he would ask a coworker who spoke the language to assist with the call.

- Mr. Winn was ultimately rejected by TSMC North America for the 133. Business Operations role. A few weeks later, Mr. Winn was at lunch with his teammates, which included Wendy Sun, a Taiwanese recruiter who had been with TSMC North America for six years. During that lunch, he asked his colleagues why he was not selected for the Business Operations role, and the group's consensus was that it was because he was not a woman and did not speak Mandarin, and that everyone on the team was female and spoke the language. It is Mr. Winn's understanding that the Business Operations team in San Jose had a history of interviewing and hiring only East Asian females who spoke Mandarin for their team.
- 134. Mr. Winn left TSMC on January 26, 2024, as he knew the company's discriminatory culture would not change, and that there would be no future growth for him with the company, given that TSMC had rejected his candidacy on two occasions. Mr. Winn was constructively discharged due to TSMC's discriminatory conduct.

Mr. McKinley's Experience

135. Edward McKinley is a Process Engineer who is skilled in process

- engineering, manufacturing operations, sales leadership, and building client relationships across diverse industries. He holds a Bachelor's Degree in Communication and Media Studies from California Baptist University. Over the past decade, Mr. McKinley has served as a Process Engineer, Manufacturing Technician, and Sales Representative, and has worked in Customer Support. Prior to joining TSMC, Mr. McKinley worked as a Manufacturing Technician for Entegris for almost four years.
- 136. Mr. McKinley joined TSMC Arizona on December 7, 2021, moving from Los Angeles, California to Arizona for work. While he was hired as a Manufacturing Operator, he was also tasked with campus recruiting and sat on a board that was responsible for selecting cafeteria food for TSMC Arizona. Mr. McKinley reported to Dieuanh Phan, who is Taiwanese.
- 137. TSMC hired Mr. McKinley because he is African American, and the company needed an employee to showcase its purported commitment to "diversity." TSMC forced Mr. McKinley to be profiled on social media and in internal newsletters, and had him participate in campus recruiting efforts, even though he did not have a background in talent acquisition. When Mr. McKinley assisted in campus recruiting, he would receive resumes ranked in order of priority, and Taiwanese and Chinese students were almost always given the number one ranking. TSMC overwhelming hired students of Taiwanese and Chinese descent.
 - 138. While TSMC used Mr. McKinley as an example of its "diversity," Mr.

24

25

26

27

28

McKinley was consistently treated poorly and subjected to a hostile work environment by his Taiwanese colleagues. Mr. McKinley was constantly yelled at, criticized, and excluded by his team. (Mr. McKinley was the only Black employee on his team of seven and was the only one who was treated with hostility). On one occasion, when Mr. McKinley returned from lunch, he noticed that one of his colleagues had left her computer open with a message from a group chat clearly visible, stating: "Ed McKinley is a spy for HR. Don't trust him." While Mr. McKinley reported the hostile work environment to his manager on multiple occasions between December 2021 and the end of March 2022 (when he left for training in Taiwan), no changes were made to improve Mr. McKinley's treatment and working conditions.

- 139. In April 2022, Mr. McKinley traveled to Taiwan for engineering training, and was part of the initial TSMC Arizona group sent to Taiwan. While he was there, the Taiwanese trainers picked on him and bullied him, and his work was criticized without justification. Mr. McKinley again reported the harassment, this time to Scott Hollman, CHRO, who was located in the United States. Mr. McKinley explained to Mr. Hollman that TSMC was targeting Black employees, including Mr. McKinley, and treating non-Taiwanese employees unfairly.
- 140. After Mr. McKinley complained of the discrimination and hostile work environment at TSMC, his treatment worsened. Mr. McKinley's Taiwanese colleagues constantly yelled at him, trying to get a rise out of him and start physical fights. But Mr. McKinley remained calm and refused to engage. On one occasion, Mr. McKinley's 62

Taiwanese colleague cornered him in the bathroom at a karaoke night, got in his face, and yelled "You want to hit me, don't you?" Mr. McKinley responded "No," and simply walked away. In November 2022, Mr. McKinley was specifically told by one of his Taiwanese colleagues "nobody likes you."

- 141. Mr. McKinley again raised his concerns with Mr. Hollman in October 2022. During that meeting, Mr. Hollman, who is Caucasian, became very upset, as other non-Taiwanese employees had reported the same discriminatory conduct. Mr. Hollman shared with Mr. McKinley that the Taiwanese employees at TSMC were targeting those of other nationalities and trying to get them to quit, and that Mr. McKinley was not alone in his experience.
- that Mr. McKinley began having panic attacks and was unable to sleep or focus at work. Mr. McKinley ultimately sought medical treatment, and was referred by TSMC to one of the company's therapists, who is Taiwanese and was paid directly by TSMC. The therapist spoke mostly in Mandarin, and prescribed Mr. McKinley a medication he had never taken before that made Mr. McKinley feel out-of-body, without inhibitions, and made impulse control difficult. While Mr. McKinley still has the prescription, it is in Chinese, and he was never warned of the side effects from his TSMC doctor.
- 143. TSMC terminated Mr. McKinley in November 2022 while he was still in Taiwan for training.

Ms. Prieto's Experience

- 144. Wendy Prieto is an engineer who holds a Bachelor of Science Degree in Robotics (Engineering) from Arizona State University. She is currently pursuing a Master of Science Degree in Manufacturing Engineering, and will complete her coursework in August 2025. Ms. Prieto joined TSMC shortly after graduating college in May 2022 and currently works as a Mechanical Engineer for Intel.
- 145. Ms. Prieto joined TSMC Arizona as an IT Engineer in August 2022. At the time of her hire, TSMC Arizona's IT Department—the department in which Ms. Prieto worked—was diverse, and employed engineers from a number of different backgrounds. Ms. Prieto first reported to Seven Hsieh, who is Taiwanese.
- 146. Ms. Prieto's initial experience at TSMC was positive. Her manager, Ms. Hsieh, treated her well and worked to create a career path for Ms. Prieto which would allow her to be successful at the company. The IT Department was divided into three sections—2999, which was responsible for customer support, and comprised of mostly technicians, 3999, the fab support system, which was comprised mostly of engineers, and 5999, which was the business application of IT. Ms. Prieto began her career with TSMC training in 2999, but then transitioned to 3999, which matched her skillset and engineering background. Ms. Hsieh informed Ms. Prieto that she wanted her to be the tool owner of that group in two years, and encouraged her to learn as much as she could to advance within the company.
 - 147. However, about six months into Ms. Prieto's employment with TSMC 64

Arizona, there was a change in leadership, which was accompanied by a dramatic shift in her treatment, and the treatment of other non-Taiwanese applicants and employees.

Ms. Hsieh was sent back to Taiwan and was replaced by Lauren Deng (who is Taiwanese). TSMC also transferred Jasper Chien, a Taiwanese manager, to TSMC Arizona.

- 148. Following the change in leadership, new hires to TSMC were all Taiwanese, or East Asians who spoke Mandarin, and trainings began being conducted in Mandarin. The non-Taiwanese members of the IT Department in which Ms. Prieto worked either quit due to the company's harsh treatment, or were moved to other areas within the company.
- efforts were stymied. Ms. Deng was not supportive of Ms. Prieto's efforts to advance within TSMC and to take on more responsibility. Ms. Deng made it clear that because Ms. Prieto did not speak Mandarin, she would not succeed. When Ms. Prieto asked to be sent to Taiwan for training like the Taiwanese workers who were recently hired in the U.S., so that she could learn the language and continue to develop professionally, Ms. Prieto was denied this training, and was told that the Taiwanese workers would train her when they returned from Taiwan. But that approach made little sense, given that Ms. Prieto had been with the company for over a year, and was well versed in TSMC's systems, whereas the new TSMC hires sent to Taiwan had little to no knowledge of TSMC's systems. While Ms. Deng ultimately suggested that Ms. Prieto

7

5

11

12

10

13

15

14

17

16

18 19

20

21 22

23

25

24

27

26 28 try to learn Mandarin using the Duolingo app, she was specifically instructed that any such study of Mandarin was to be conducted during Ms. Prieto's personal time, and outside of work hours. When Mr. Prieto asked if she could dedicate just one hour of her twelve-hour shifts to learning Mandarin, her request was denied.

- 150. Ms. Prieto was given some training manuals while at TSMC, but all were written in Chinese. She was instructed by her manager to use Google to translate the materials into English, but TSMC had security restrictions in place which prohibited her from doing this. Ms. Prieto was thus at a training disadvantage as compared to her Taiwanese colleagues (particularly those that were sent to Taiwan for training).
- 151. Following the change in management, Ms. Prieto's work transitioned from IT engineering tasks (for which she was originally hired), to lower-level technician work, despite Ms. Prieto having asked to take on greater responsibility and despite her degree in engineering. At the same time that Ms. Prieto was being forced to perform lower-level technician work, TSMC continued to hire Taiwanese engineers who spoke Mandarin, and assigned them the technical work that Ms. Prieto had repeatedly asked for (and was well qualified to perform, as she had before for TSMC). Slowly, Ms. Prieto's work and job responsibilities were stripped away, and she was ignored by her colleagues and management because she did not speak Mandarin.
- 152. Ms. Deng ultimately transferred to another role within TSMC, and Ms. Prieto began reporting to Mr. Chien. Mr. Chien treated Mr. Prieto with hostility as she was not Taiwanese, and ignored her, choosing to speak only with team members who

13 14

16

17

15

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

knew Mandarin (despite the fact that Mr. Chien was fluent in English). At her performance review, Mr. Chien rated Ms. Prieto poorly (despite Ms. Prieto being a strong performer), claiming that she didn't have enough practice. But all of the cases and procedures at TSMC that would have allowed Ms. Prieto to have more practice were in Chinese/Mandarin, foreclosing Ms. Prieto from access. Ms. Prieto's Taiwanese colleagues also treated her with disdain, and wouldn't even respond when she would say "good morning."

When it was clear to Ms. Prieto that Mr. Chien's treatment of her would 153. not improve, she attempted to transfer to the Automated Material Handling System ("AMHS") department at TSMC. Ms. Prieto interviewed for an engineering role in that department, performed well, and was told that she would be a great fit for the team. Mr. Chien informed Ms. Prieto, however, that if she was ultimately offered the role, she would be put on probation for one month (as he did not think she could perform the role). While Mr. Chien agreed to release Ms. Prieto, he did so only on the condition that another non-Taiwanese member of the group transfer with her to AMHS, whom Ms. Prieto would be forced to compete against for the engineering role (Ms. Prieto's colleague had not expressed any interest in the role or in a transfer). It was clear that Mr. Chien was eliminating non-Taiwanese employees from his team. Ms. Prieto waited for a month to learn whether she would receive the engineering role with AMHS, but when TSMC still had not made its decision after a month, she resigned from TSMC on or around February 12, 2024. Ms. Prieto was constructively discharged by the 67

1 company.

2

4

5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

14

1516

17

18

1920

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

Mr. Zepeda's Experience

- 154. Luis Zepeda is an experienced IT professional. Prior to joining TSMC, Mr. Zepeda worked as a repair agent in the IT department at Best Buy, as an IT technician at Glendale Community College, and as a data center operations lead at Banner Health. He has also completed coursework at Arizona State University, with a focus in Computer Technology.
- Mr. Zepeda joined TSMC Arizona's IT department in July 2022 in an IT 155. Tech role. (At the time of his departure, his role was titled "IT User Success," but Mr. Zepeda was never given a formal promotion or elevated to a "lead" role.) He was the third hire in the IT department and tasked with building the department from the ground up, which included creating standard operating procedures ("SOPs") and training materials for the department. After about a year, Taiwan HQ became more involved and separated the IT department into three tiers, the highest of which was the Fab Applications team, which provided IT support for fabs, and was comprised of approximately eight people, including Mr. Zepeda. At that time, only two of the eight team members spoke Mandarin, and the majority of the team members were not Taiwanese or Chinese. A few weeks after the division of the IT department, Mr. Zepeda's team was pulled into a meeting at which they were told by Lauren Dang (Deputy Director of IT) that "things are changing soon," that new managers would be coming over from Taiwan to provide training, and that anyone not fluent in Mandarin 68

did not "have a future on the team or the department." It was stated thereafter in job postings for the IT department that Mandarin was a requirement for roles, and from there on out, the only new hires were Mandarin speakers of Taiwanese or Chinese national origin, most of whom were on visas. By the end of Mr. Zepeda's time at TSMC only two members of his eight-person team were not fluent in Mandarin and not of Taiwanese or Chinese national origin (including Mr. Zepeda), and the other left TSMC on the same day as Mr. Zepeda.

After the meeting with Ms. Dang, Mr. Zepeda complained to Brian Mullin (HR Business Partner), and Mr. Mullin held a meeting with the non-Mandarin speakers in the IT department. In that meeting, the employees voiced concerns about Ms. Dang's comments, about the new assignees having been given an opportunity to meet one-on-one with the Director of IT (Peter Cheng)—an opportunity non-assignees had not been given, and about a new policy whereby IT workers would be required to rotate their work scheduled (i.e., work 2-3 months of day shifts, followed by 2-3 months of night shifts, and so on). Following the meeting, the non-assignee IT workers were granted the opportunity to speak with Mr. Cheng, but Mr. Zepeda's meeting with Mr. Cheng only reinforced Mr. Zepeda's suspicion that Mr. Cheng (and TSMC) did not care about U.S. workers. The meeting lasted at most ten minutes—while the Taiwanese and Chinese assignees were able to meet with him for an hour—and Mr. Cheng arrived

²³ This policy was ultimately only enacted as to the Fab Applications team—Mr. Zepeda's team.

1

8

9

6

11

1213

1415

1617

18

19

20

2122

2324

25

26

27

27

twenty minutes late, did not bother to learn Mr. Zepeda's position in advance of the meeting, then proceeded to explain Mr. Zepeda's job to him before answering a phone call and walking out. Mr. Zepeda felt that the meetings were given as a check-the-box activity, so that TSMC could say that the non-assignees were given the opportunity to meet with management in response to their complaints.

- Mr. Zepeda also noticed that many of the new TSMC hires did not have a 157. college degree or experience related to the requirements stated in the job postings for their positions. Where they did have IT work experience (and many had none), it was frequently in an unrelated industry. Even though Mr. Zepeda and those on his original team had more relevant experience (having been at TSMC for over a year) and understood the systems, the new hires were soon given lead roles, managing Mr. Zepeda and others. In practice, Mr. Zepeda still ran things, as the new hires were still learning the ropes and many had never worked an IT job, but his communications with management were filtered through the new hires, which took place largely in Mandarin. For instance, Mr. Cheng, who is from Taiwan, avoided speaking to anyone who was not Taiwanese or Chinese, and many operations were conducted in Mandarin. In at least one instance, there was a meeting that Mr. Zepeda was told not to worry about attending because it would be conducted in Mandarin.
- 158. In addition, the Chinese and Taiwanese hires were given accommodations that Mr. Zepeda and other non-Chinese and non-Taiwanese employees were denied. For instance, those subject to the shift rotation policy found it difficult to switch back

and forth between day and night shifts, and a Taiwanese worker who complained was allowed to change his schedule so that his work schedule was consistent. In contrast, when Mr. Zepeda and another coworker (who was also of non-Taiwanese/ Chinese national origin) requested an arrangement whereby Mr. Zepeda would always take days and the co-worker (a natural night owl) would take nights, the request was denied. As a result of the shift change requirement, Mr. Zepeda was forced to drop out of Arizona State University, where he was pursuing a degree. In addition, the general rule in the IT department was that remote work was not allowed, and this rule was enforced for non-Taiwanese and non-Chinese workers. In contrast, a Taiwanese co-worker was allowed to work from home for three months to care for a sick family member, and a Chinese co-worker whose visa expired was allowed to work remotely from China.

After the management change at TSMC, the responsibilities of the non-Taiwanese and non-Chinese workers on Mr. Zepeda's team were also substantially curtailed, as most operations were conducted in Mandarin. Mr. Zepeda and those on his team who did not speak Mandarin then focused heavily on translating SOPs from Chinese to English using Google Translate. Often the translation did not make sense, so Mr. Zepeda spent time fixing the translated SOPs to make them usable. Mr. Zepeda was called into Ms. Dang's office and told that he was spending too much time on the translations. Mr. Zepeda explained the issues with using Google Translate and explained that he was trying to make the SOPs usable for non-Mandarin speakers. Mr. Zepeda was reprimanded for doing this, which he understood to mean that TSMC did

not care about devoting resources to tasks that would enable non-Mandarin speakers (who were by and large not Taiwanese or Chinese) to be successful and feel welcome at TSMC. The message was received—by the end of his time at TSMC, all five of Mr. Zepeda's original team who were not fluent in Mandarin and not of Taiwanese or Chinese national origin left the company. Prior to the management change, Mr. Zepeda had been told by his manager, Seven Hsieh, that he was being considered for a promotion to Engineer. After the management change, however, it was clear that the prospect of promotion had disappeared.

160. Mr. Zepeda resigned from TSMC in March 2024 due to the hostile work environment described above. He knew the company's discriminatory culture would not change, and that there would be no future growth for him with the company, given Ms. Dang's statement that there was no possibility for upward movement for non-Mandarin speakers. Mr. Zepeda was constructively discharged due to TSMC's discriminatory conduct.

Mr. Sterbinsky's Experience

- 161. Phillip\. Sterbinsky is a U.S. Navy veteran. He was an Electronic Technician and then an Instructional Supervisor in the Navy with substantial responsibilities for leading teams and coordinating training and instruction materials. He has a degree in from Grand Canyon University.
- 162. Mr. Sterbinsky joined TSMC Arizona in June 2022 as a Wet Tech Advanced Technician with responsibilities that included cleaning fab machines. He

1213

1415

1617

18

19 20

21

2223

24

26

25

27

28

was later promoted to Senior Technician. Once he was hired, Mr. Sterbinsky started his training online, and was then sent to Taiwan for 300 days to complete his training. Mr. Sterbinsky's experience training in Taiwan was terrible. He was frequently yelled at by his managers and called stupid and lazy, and he heard Taiwanese employees say that "black people are lazy and smell." His experience was not unique—when he arrived in Taiwan, there were four U.S. workers in his section, but all quit soon after as a result of TSMC's hostile and discriminatory treatment.

163. In the U.S., Mr. Sterbinsky continued to experience hostility that made it difficult for him to perform his job effectively. For instance, when components of Mr. Sterbinsky's job required coordinating with other TSMC departments, his requests to coordinate were met with silence, while his Taiwanese and Chinese counterparts received immediate responses. In one instance, he asked the company to send a technician to help with a leak, and was told that there was nobody to send. Mr. Sterbinsky then asked a Taiwanese counterpart to make the exact same request, and someone was immediately sent to help. In another instance, Mr. Sterbinsky was refused a broom that he needed to clean up debris, and was told to buy one on his own and submit for reimbursement. Often, when Mr. Sterbinsky asked his Chinese or Taiwanese colleagues how to do something, he was told "I don't know," but then he would later see those colleagues performing the activities for which they had disclaimed knowledge. Additionally, TSMC frequently sent Teams messages that employees were required to respond to in a certain amount of time, but they were often

in Chinese such that Mr. Sterbinsky had to scramble to contact another department for a translation within the deadline. It was clear to Mr. Sterbinsky that this culture of hostility and exclusion came from the top down—the head of his department spoke only in Mandarin, despite being fluent in English.

- 164. Mr. Sterbinsky also observed a complete disregard for safety at TSMC. Non-Mandarin speakers (who were largely not Chinese or Taiwanese) were most at risk, because instructions were communicated in Mandarin and were frequently not relayed to non-Mandarin speakers. As a result of the lax safety standards, accidents (including fatal accidents) were frequent. Mr. Sterbinsky submitted two Occupational and Safety Health Administration ("OSHA") complaints and an internal complaint to TSMC's Legal Department, but his complaints were ignored.
- 165. Mr. Sterbinsky understood that East Asian directors and managers had bonus-related KPIs based on maintaining a certain number of U.S. employees in their departments (a requirement that Mr. Sterbinsky understood to be related to the CHIPS Act). It was clear to Mr. Sterbinsky that those KPIs were just for show, given the openly hostile treatment of non-East Asians and culture, and in any event, were entirely ineffective. When Mr. Sterbinsky left TSMC, he was the only non-Chinese and non-Taiwanese hire left on his team.
- 166. Mr. Sterbinsky left TSMC in September 2024 due to the hostile and discriminatory work environment described above. He knew the company's discriminatory culture would not change, and that there would be no future growth for

him with the company. He was constructively discharged due to TSMC's discriminatory conduct.

Mr. Langley's Experience

- 167. Samuel Langley is a Certified Industrial Hygienist and Certified Safety Professional. He is a Professional member of the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists and the American Industrial Hygiene Association. He has a Bachelor of Science degree in Environment Health Science ("EHS") with an emphasis in Industrial Hygiene from Eastern Kentucky University and a Master of Science in Occupational Safety and Health from Southeastern Oklahoma State University. Mr. Langley has over fifteen years of work experience, including as an EHS Manager for Georgia Pacific LLC (a pulp, paper, and container manufacturer), an EHS Engineer for 3M Corporation (a producer of epoxy resins and equipment), and a Senior Health and Safety Engineer for Samsung Austin Semiconductor (a manufacturer of semiconductor wafers).
- 168. Mr. Langley joined TSMC Arizona in June 2022 as a Senior EHS Engineer, relocating from Austin, Texas to Phoenix, Arizona for the position. Mr. Langley was the only process safety engineer supporting the operations and the only industrial hygienist onsite, and his responsibilities included safety inspections, overseeing audits, electrical safety, and overseeing fall protection. When he joined TSMC, the EHS group was largely U.S. hires, including Mr. Langley, an EHS Manager, two EHS engineers, and some first responders. Mr. Langley and one other

EHS engineer were initially the only two employees working in the U.S., and coordinated with the other members of the group who were training in Taiwan. (Mr. Langley was originally supposed to train in Taiwan as well but his visa issuance was delayed.) Things initially ran smoothly as Mr. Langley and his team put together training materials.

- In or around September 2022, leaders from Taiwan began arriving in Phoenix and in the months thereafter, hundreds of Chinese and Taiwanese workers began arriving to staff all departments at TSMC Arizona. In or around October 2022, assignees from Taiwan and China began showing up to staff EHS positions. Mr. Langley would become aware of these new hires when they appeared in meetings, unannounced. Thereafter, a cultural divide became apparent—there would be a team meeting in the morning conducted in English, and a separate meeting afterward, conducted entirely in Mandarin, and it was not clear whether the same information was being passed along to both groups. By the time Mr. Langley left, TSMC those in charge of his department were all assignees from China or Taiwan and there were around 18 people on his team (in addition to about a dozen first responders), at least 30% of whom were assignees from China or Taiwan.
- 170. The employees brought into Mr. Langley's group were junior, and many had little to no work experience. Those who had work prior experience had never worked in the United States, and a substantial component of EHS work required an understanding of United States regulations. Even EHS experience at a fab in another

1

7

10

1112

1314

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

2223

2425

2627

28

country was of limited use—while the fab-specific component of the position was fairly learnable, U.S. regulations were more complex.

- The change in staffing at TSMC Arizona was accompanied by a complete 171. disregard for OSHA standards. Mr. Langley was asked to sign waivers to allow workers to work at heights of 20-30 feet without fall protection—a request he refused. There were numerous injuries and safety violations. Despite being the most experienced person in the department, with the highest education and credentials, Mr. Langley's responsibilities were curtailed and handed to assignees with no experience with U.S. regulations and no business having those responsibilities. Mr. Langley heard assignees say that "Americans were useless," were considered "dumb Americans" and "don't know what they're talking about." Many of Mr. Langley's responsibilities were handed over to an inexperienced Taiwanese assignee on a short-term visa who had planned to return to Taiwan. To convince him to stay, TSMC brought this employee's girlfriend to the U.S. on a visa and gave her the position of EHS Construction Safety Engineer even though she too had minimal experience.
- 172. Mr. Langley also observed that Chinese and Taiwanese employees were preferred and given special treatment in promotion and appraisal decisions. For example, Mr. Langley got a 2.38% raise despite his level of experience and expertise, and is aware of inexperienced assignees receiving 8 and 9% raises. He also observed assignees being promoted at higher rates than local, U.S. workers despite their inexperience, and learned of a U.S. (non-Taiwanese/Chinese) engineer who inquired

about a promotion and was told that he would have to wait until the following year because promotions had already been given to assignees from China and Taiwan.

173. Mr. Langley left TSMC in February 2024 due to the hostile and discriminatory work environment described above. He knew the company's discriminatory culture would not change, and it was becoming increasingly clear that the discriminatory culture was inhibiting from doing his job effectively. He was constructively discharged due to TSMC's discriminatory conduct.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

174. Plaintiffs bring this Class Action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a), (b)(2), (b)(3), and (c)(4), seeking injunctive, declaratory, equitable, and monetary relief for TSMC's systematic pattern and practice of discrimination against individuals not of East Asian race and not of Taiwanese or Chinese national origin/citizenship in the United States and TSMC's hostile work environment impacting these same groups. This action is brought on behalf of the following classes:

All non-East Asian, non-Taiwanese, and non-Chinese individuals who: (1) applied for positions with or within TSMC North America, TSMC Technology, TSMC Arizona and/or TSMC Washington in the United States and were not hired, (2) were employed by one or more of these entities (including contractors), but were not promoted, and/or (3) were employed by one or more of these entities (including contractors) and were involuntarily terminated or constructively discharged.

All individuals who are not Taiwanese or Chinese citizens who: (1) applied for positions with or within TSMC North America, TSMC Technology, TSMC Arizona and/or TSMC Washington in the United States and were not hired, (2) were employed by one or more

8

12 13

11

14 15

16

1718

19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

28

of these entities (including contractors), but were not promoted, and/or (3) were employed by one or more of these entities (including contractors) and were involuntarily terminated or constructively discharged.

- 175. Members of the classes are so numerous and geographically dispersed across the United States that joinder is impracticable. While the exact number of class members is unknown to Plaintiffs, it is believed to number in the thousands. Furthermore, class members are readily identifiable from information and records in TSMC possession.
- There are numerous questions of law and fact common to members of the 176. classes. Among the common questions of law or fact are: (a) whether TSMC has engaged in a pattern or practice of intentional discrimination against non-East Asians, non-Taiwanese nationals, and non-Chinese nationals in its hiring, staffing, appraisal, promotion, and termination decisions; (b) whether TSMC has intentionally favored East Asians, Taiwanese nationals, and Chinese nationals (including those on visas) in hiring, staffing, appraisal, promotion, and retention decisions and/or whether TSMC has intentionally disfavored non-East Asians, non-Taiwanese nationals, and non-Chinese nationals in hiring, staffing, appraisal, promotion, and termination decisions; (c) whether TSMC's employment practices have resulted in a disparate impact on those who are not of East Asian race and not of Taiwanese or Chinese national origin; (d) whether TSMC has created a hostile work environment for non-East Asians and non-Taiwanese and non-Chinese nationals; (e) whether TSMC has violated § 1981;

- (f) whether TSMC has violated Title VII; (g) whether equitable and injunctive relief is warranted for the classes; and (h) whether compensatory and/or punitive damages are warranted for the classes.
- 177. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the classes. Members of the classes were damaged by the same discriminatory policies and practices employed by TSMC in favor of East Asians and Taiwanese and Chinese citizens.
- 178. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interest of other class members because they have no interest that is antagonistic to or which conflicts with those of any other class member, and Plaintiffs are committed to the vigorous prosecution of this action and has retained competent counsel experienced in class litigation to represent Plaintiffs and the classes.
- 179. Plaintiffs and the classes they seek to represent have suffered substantial losses in earnings and other employment benefits and compensation as a result of TSMC's actions.
- Procedure 23(b)(2) because TSMC has acted and/or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the classes, making declaratory and injunctive relief appropriate with respect to Plaintiffs and the classes as a whole. Members of the classes are entitled to declaratory and injunctive relief to end TSMC's systematic, common, uniform, unfair, and discriminatory policies and practices, and resulting hostile work environment.
 - 181. Class certification is appropriate pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil

Procedure 23(b)(3) for determination of the damages claims of individual class members because the issue of liability is common to the class and the common nucleus of operative facts forms the central issue, which predominates over individual issues of proof. The primary questions common to the class are whether TSMC has discriminated on the basis of race, national origin, and citizenship in its employment practices and whether TSMC has created a hostile work environment. These questions are central to the case and predominate over individual issues among the members of the proposed classes. TSMC has engaged in a common course of discriminatory and hostile conduct in a manner that has harmed all of the class members. Class certification under Rule 23(b)(3) would be superior to other methods for fair and efficient resolution of the issues because certification will avoid the need for repeated litigation by each individual class member. The instant case will be eminently manageable as a class action. Plaintiffs know of no difficulty to be encountered in the maintenance of this action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action.

182. Class certification is appropriate pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(c)(4) to litigate Plaintiffs' claims for prospective classwide compliance and affirmative injunctive relief necessary to eliminate TSMC's discrimination and hostile work environment. Certification under this rule is also appropriate to decide whether TSMC has adopted a systemic pattern and practice of racial, national origin, and citizenship discrimination in hiring, staffing, appraisal, promotion, and retention/termination decisions and whether TSMC's conduct creates a hostile work

environment. Certification under this rule is also appropriate to determine classwide damages, including punitive damages.

(Disparate Treatment on the Basis of Race) (Violation of the Civil Rights Act of 1866, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1981) (On behalf of all Plaintiffs and the Class)

- 183. Plaintiffs re-allege each preceding paragraph as though fully set forth herein.
 - 184. This claim is brought by Plaintiffs on behalf of themselves and the class.
- 185. Throughout the class liability period, TSMC has engaged in a pattern and practice of discriminating against individuals who are not of East Asian race by: (a) knowingly and intentionally favoring individuals of East Asian race in employment decisions (i.e., hiring/staffing, appraisal, promotion, and termination decisions), (b) knowingly and intentionally disfavoring individuals who are not of East Asian race (including Plaintiffs) in employment decisions (i.e., hiring/staffing, appraisal, promotion, and termination decisions), and (c) knowingly and intentionally creating and maintaining an overwhelmingly disproportionate workforce in the United States consisting of approximately 90% or more East Asian employees.
- 186. As a direct and proximate result of TSMC's intentional discrimination, Plaintiffs and class members have been denied employment and continued employment with TSMC.
- 187. TSMC's actions constitute unlawful discrimination on the basis of race in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1981.

45

6

7 8

9

- 10
- 1112
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 1617
- 18
- 19 20
- 21

23

22

24

2526

27

28

COUNT II

(Disparate Treatment on the Basis of Citizenship) (Violation of the Civil Rights Act of 1866, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1981) (On behalf of all Plaintiffs and the Class)

- 188. Plaintiffs re-allege each preceding paragraph as though fully set forth herein.
 - 189. This claim is brought by Plaintiffs on behalf of themselves and the class.
- 190. Throughout the class liability period, TSMC has engaged in a pattern and practice of discriminating against individuals who are not Taiwanese citizens or Chinese citizens by: (a) knowingly and intentionally favoring Taiwanese and Chinese citizens in employment decisions (i.e., hiring/staffing, appraisal, promotion, and termination decisions), and (b) knowingly and intentionally disfavoring individuals who are not Taiwanese and not Chinese citizens (including Plaintiffs) in employment decisions (i.e., hiring/staffing, appraisal, promotion, and termination decisions).
- 191. As a direct and proximate result of TSMC's intentional discrimination, Plaintiffs and class members have been denied employment and continued employment with TSMC.
- 192. TSMC's actions constitute unlawful discrimination on the basis of citizenship in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1981.

COUNT III

(Hostile Work Environment on the Basis of Race) (Violation of the Civil Rights Act of 1866, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1981) (On behalf of Plaintiffs and the Class)

193. Plaintiffs re-allege each preceding paragraph as though fully set forth herein.

197. TSMC's hostile work environment constitutes a violation of 42 U.S.C. § 84

195. Throughout the class liability period, TSMC has created a hostile work
environment for individuals who are not East Asian by: (a) constantly yelling at non-
East Asian workers and referring to them as "stupid" or "lazy;" (b) excluding them
from meetings or business-related conversations (which are often conducted in
Mandarin); (c) denying them trainings, as training materials are in written in Chinese;
(d) withholding from them critical information needed to perform their jobs, thereby
thwarting non-East Asian employees' ability to advance within TSMC; (e)
downplaying or discrediting their strong performances; (f) reviewing them more
harshly, resulting in lower appraisal scores, and fewer promotions or opportunities for
advancement; (g) talking to them in a condescending manner; (h) discrediting their
opinions; (i) publicly humiliating them in front of coworkers; and (j) micromanaging
them and stripping them of job responsibilities. As a result of TSMC's hostile work
environment, many non-East Asian employees are forced to take protected FMLA
leave due to the incredible stress and anxiety caused by TSMC's conduct, and are
oftentimes constructively discharged by the company.

196. An objectively reasonable person would find that, as a whole, the environment within TSMC was hostile. And TSMC leadership was on notice of this hostile work environment, having received multiple employee complaints, but took no remedial action.

1981.

COUNT IV

(Disparate Treatment on the Basis of Race and National Origin) (Violation of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2) (On behalf of Plaintiff Howington and the Class)

- 198. Plaintiff Howington re-alleges each preceding paragraph as though fully set forth herein.
- 199. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Howington on behalf of herself and the class.
- 200. Throughout the class liability period, TSMC has engaged in a pattern and practice of discriminating against individuals who are not of East Asian race or Taiwanese/Chinese national origin by: (a) knowingly and intentionally favoring East Asian and Taiwanese and Chinese individuals in employment decisions (i.e., hiring/staffing, appraisal, promotion, and termination decisions), and (b) knowingly and intentionally disfavoring individuals who are not East Asian or Taiwanese or Chinese (including Plaintiff Howington) in employment decisions (i.e., hiring/staffing, appraisal, promotion, and termination decisions), and (c) knowingly and intentionally creating and maintaining an overwhelmingly disproportionate workforce in the United States consisting of approximately 90% or more East Asian employees (of Taiwanese or Chinese descent).
- 201. As a direct and proximate result of TSMC's intentional discrimination,
 Plaintiff Howington and class members have been denied employment and continued

employment with TSMC.

202. TSMC's actions constitute unlawful discrimination on the basis of race and national origin in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, *et seq*.

(Disparate Impact on the Basis of Race and National Origin) (Violation of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2) (On behalf of Plaintiff Howington and the Class)

- 203. Plaintiff Howington re-alleges each preceding paragraph as though fully set forth herein.
- 204. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Howington on behalf of herself and the class.
- 205. Throughout the class liability period, TSMC has engaged in policies and practices relating to hiring, staffing, appraisals, and termination/retention decisions described herein that have resulted in a disparate impact on non-East Asians and non-Taiwanese/Chinese individuals who, as a result, are disproportionately not hired, not selected for positions, appraised poorly (and therefore not promoted), and/or terminated or constructively discharged. These practices are neither job-related for the positions at issue nor consistent with business necessity.
- 206. TSMC's actions constitute unlawful discrimination in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq.

(Hostile Work Environment on the Basis of Race and National Origin) (Violation of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2)) (On behalf of Plaintiff Howington and the Class)

207. Plaintiff Howington re-alleges each preceding paragraph as though fully

56

7

8

1011

1213

14

1516

17

18 19

20

22

21

2324

2526

27

28

set forth herein.

208. This claim is brought by Plaintiff Howington on behalf of herself and the class.

- Throughout the class liability period, TSMC has created a hostile work 209. environment for individuals not of East Asian race or Taiwanese/Chinese national origin by: (a) constantly yelling at non-East Asian and non-Taiwanese/Chinese workers and referring to them as "stupid" or "lazy;" (b) excluding them from meetings or business-related conversations (which are often conducted in Mandarin); (c) denying them trainings, as training materials are in written in Chinese; (d) withholding from them critical information needed to perform their jobs, thereby thwarting non-East Asian and non-Taiwanese/Chinese employees' ability to advance within TSMC; (e) downplaying or discrediting their strong performances; (f) reviewing them more harshly, resulting in lower appraisal scores, and fewer promotions or opportunities for advancement; (g) talking to them in a condescending manner; (h) discrediting their opinions; (i) publicly humiliating them in front of coworkers; and (j) micromanaging them and stripping them of job responsibilities. As a result of TSMC's hostile work environment, many non-East Asian and non-Taiwanese/Chinese employees are forced to take protected FMLA leave due to the incredible stress and anxiety caused by TSMC's conduct, and are oftentimes constructively discharged by the company.
- 210. An objectively reasonable person would find that, as a whole, the environment within TSMC was hostile. And TSMC leadership was on notice of this 87

hostile work environment, having received multiple employee complaints, but took no remedial action.

211. TSMC's hostile work environment constitutes a violation of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq.

COUNT VII

(Retaliation in Violation of the Civil Rights Act of 1866, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1981)
(On behalf of Plaintiffs Howington, Perry, and McKinley)

- 212. Plaintiffs Howington, Perry, and McKinley re-allege each preceding paragraph as though fully set forth herein.
- 213. This claim is brought by Plaintiffs Howington, Perry, and McKinley on behalf of themselves.
- 214. Plaintiffs Howington, Perry, and McKinley engaged in a protected activity under Section 1981 by reporting to TSMC concerns about the corporation's discriminatory practices and hostile work environment, including its preference for East Asians and Taiwanese/Chinese citizens in hiring and other employment decisions.
- 215. Plaintiffs Howington, Perry, and McKinley suffered harm as a result of engaging in this protected activity. Ms. Howington, for example, was given a negative performance review, threatened to be placed on a PIP, subjected to a hostile work environment, and had her responsibilities curtailed, requiring her to take a leave of absence. Mr. Perry was the victim of an anonymous, unsubstantiated complaint by TSMC to his subsequent employer, Oracle, which put his employment at risk, and when Mr. McKinley complained of discriminatory and unfair treatment, TSMC's

5

8

10

1112

13

1415

16

17 18

19

2021

2223

24

25

2627

28

conduct only worsened and Mr. McKinley was ultimately fired by TSMC.

- A causal link exists between the harm Plaintiffs Howington, Perry, and 216. McKinley suffered and the protected activity. TSMC retaliated against Ms. Howington, Mr. Perry, and Mr. McKinley because they engaged in protected activity under Section 1981. Ms. Howington, for example, was given a negative performance review, threatened to be placed on a PIP, and subjected to hostile treatment shortly after complaining about TSMC's discriminatory employment practices, including the company's discriminatory preference for East Asian and Taiwanese citizens and its practice of conducting meetings in Mandarin. Mr. Perry was retaliated against by having a complaint lodged against him by TSMC with his subsequent employer, which put his employment at risk, and Mr. McKinley experienced increasingly hostile treatment shortly after he complained, resulting in his termination. But for TSMC's retaliation, Plaintiffs Howington, Perry, and McKinley would not have been treated in such a hostile manner and subjected to changes in the terms and conditions of their employment.
- 217. TSMC's actions constitute unlawful retaliation in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1981.

(Retaliation in Violation of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2) (On behalf of Plaintiff Howington)

218. Plaintiff Howington re-alleges each preceding paragraph as though fully set forth herein.

- 219. This claim is brought by Plaintiff on behalf of herself.
- 220. Ms. Howington engaged in a protected activity under Title VII by reporting to TSMC concerns about the corporation's discriminatory practices and hostile work environment, including its preference for East Asians and Taiwanese/Chinese citizens in hiring and other employment decisions.
- 221. Ms. Howington suffered harm as a result of engaging in this protected activity. She was given a negative performance review, threatened to be placed on a PIP, subjected to a hostile work environment, and had her responsibilities curtailed, requiring her to take a leave of absence.
- A causal link exists between the harm Ms. Howington suffered and the protected activity. TSMC retaliated against Ms. Howington because she engaged in protected activity under Title VII. Ms. Howington was given a negative performance review, threatened to be placed on a PIP, and subjected to hostile treatment shortly after complaining about TSMC's discriminatory employment practices, including the company's discriminatory preference for East Asian and Taiwanese citizens and its practice of conducting meetings in Mandarin. But for TSMC's retaliation, Ms. Howington would not have been treated in such a hostile manner and subjected to changes in the terms and conditions of her employment.
- 223. TSMC's actions constitute unlawful retaliation in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, *et seq*.

2

4

6

7

5

8

10

1112

1314

15

16

1718

19

21

20

2223

24

25

26

27

28

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs and the classes pray for relief as follows:

- a. Certification of the case as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23;
- b. Designation of Plaintiffs as representatives of the classes;
- c. Designation of Plaintiffs' counsel as counsel for the classes;
- d. A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful and violate the Civil Rights Act of 1866, 42 U.S.C. § 1981 and the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2;
- e. A permanent injunction against Defendants and its officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives, and any and all persons acting in concert with them, from engaging in unlawful policies, practices, customs, and usages set forth herein;
- f. Order Defendants to adopt a valid, non-discriminatory method for hiring, staffing, performance appraisals, termination, and other employment decisions;
- g. Order Defendants to post notices concerning its duty to refrain from discriminating against employees on the basis of race, national origin, and citizenship;
- h. Award Plaintiffs and the Classes compensatory damages for the harm they suffered as a result of Defendants' violations § 1981 and Title VII;
- i. Award Plaintiffs and the Classes pre- and post-judgment interest at the prevailing rate on the compensatory damages as a result of Defendants' discriminating against them in violation of § 1981 and Title VII;
- j. Award Plaintiffs and the Classes front- and back-pay, and such other equitable relief as the Court deems just and appropriate;
- k. Award Plaintiffs and the Classes exemplary and punitive damages;
- 1. Award reasonable attorneys' fees, expert witness fees, expenses, and costs of this action and of prior administrative actions; and
- m. Award Plaintiffs and the Classes such other relief as this Court deems just and appropriate.

JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38, Plaintiffs and the Classes respectfully demand a trial by jury on all issues properly triable by a jury in this action.

DATED: November 8, 2024 Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/Daniel Low
Daniel Low, SBN 218387
KOTCHEN & LOW LLP

1918 New Hampshire Avenue NW Washington, DC 20009 Telephone: (202) 471-1995 Email: dlow@kotchen.com

Attorney for Plaintiffs and the Classes