IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

GENERAL ACCESS SOLUTIONS, LTD.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-CV-00394-JRG
	§	
CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A	§	
VERIZON WIRELESS et al.,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	
	§	
ERICSSON, INC.,	§	
	§	
Intervenor-Defendant.	§	

VERDICT FORM

In answering the following questions and completing this Verdict Form, you are to follow all the instructions that I have given you in the Court's Final Jury Instructions. Your answers to each question must be unanimous. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are defined and explained in detail in the Final Jury Instructions. You should refer to and consider the Final Jury Instructions as you answer the questions in this Verdict Form.

As used herein, the following terms have the following meanings:

- "Plaintiff," "GAS," or "General Access" refers to General Access Solutions, Ltd.
- "Verizon" or "Defendant" collectively refers to Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon
 Wireless, Verizon Services Corp., Verizon Business, Global LLC, Verizon
 Business Network Services LLC, Verizon Corporate Services Group Inc.,
 Verizon Data Services LLC, and Verizon Online LLC.
- The "'931 Patent" refers to U.S. Patent No. 7,230,931.
- The "'794 Patent" refers to U.S. Patent No. 9,426,794.
- The "Asserted Patents" collectively refers to the '931 Patent and the '794 Patent.
- The "Asserted Claims" collectively refers to claims 28 and 29 of the '931 Patent and claims 1, 2, and 5 of the '794 Patent.

IT IS VERY IMPORTANT THAT YOU FOLLOW THE INSTRUCTIONS PROVIDED IN THIS VERDICT FORM

READ THEM CAREFULLY AND ENSURE THAT YOUR VERDICT COMPLIES WITH THEM

QUESTION NO. 1

Did Plaintiff General Access prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Verizon infringed ANY of the Asserted Claims of the following patents?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for EACH Asserted Patent listed below.

'931 Patent	Yes	No
'794 Patent	Yes	No

QUESTION NO. 2

Did Verizon prove by clear and convincing evidence that the Asserted Claims of the Asserted Patents are invalid due to lack of written description and/or that they are not fully enabled?

For each Asserted Patent below, please answer "Yes" or "No."

Claims 28 and 29 of the '931 Patent Yes _____ No ____

Claims 1, 2, and 5 of the '794 Patent Yes _____ No ____

Answer Question No. 3A ONLY as to the '931 Patent if you have found it to be BOTH infringed and not invalid—i.e., ONLY if you answered "YES" in Question No. 1 AND "NO" in Question No. 2 for the '931 Patent.

QUESTION NO. 3A

What sum of money, if paid now in cash as a reasonable royalty, has General Access proven by a preponderance of the evidence would compensate General Access for its damages from Verizon's infringement of the '931 Patent?

Answer in United States Dollars and Cents, if any:

\$ 583 MILLION

Answer Question No. 3B ONLY as to the '794 Patent if you have found it to be BOTH infringed and not invalid—*i.e.*, ONLY if you answered "YES" in Question No. 1 AND "NO" in Question No. 2 for the '794 Patent.

QUESTION NO. 3B

What sum of money, if paid now in cash as a reasonable royalty, has General Access proven by a preponderance of the evidence would compensate General Access for Verizon's infringement of the '794 Patent?

Answer in United States Dollars and Cents, if any:

\$ Z64 MILCION

FINAL PAGE OF THE JURY VERDICT FORM

You have now reached the end of the Verdict Form and should review it to

ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The Jury Foreperson

should then sign and date the Verdict Form in the spaces below. Once this is done,

notify the Court Security Officer that you have reached a verdict. The Jury

Foreperson should keep the Verdict Form and bring it when the jury is brought back

to the court room.

I certify that the jury unanimously concurs in every element of the above

verdict.

Signed this $\frac{28 \text{ M}}{\text{day}}$ of $\frac{1}{2}$, 2024.

Jury Foreperson:_

8