1	IRELL & MANELLA LLP		
	Morgan Chu (SBN 70446)		
2	Alan Heinrich (SBN 212782)	FLECTRONICALLY	
3	Iian Jablon (SBN 205458)	ELECTRONICALLY	
,	Abigail Sellers (SBN 342380)	FILED Superior Court of California,	
4	Justin Koo (SBN 351547)	County of San Francisco	
_	Henry White (SBN 351549)	06/05/2024	
5	1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900 Los Angeles, CA 90067	Clerk of the Court BY: WILLIAM TRUPEK	
6	Tel: (310) 277-1010	Deputy Clerk	
_	Fax: (310) 203-7199		
7	mchu@irell.com		
8	aheinrich@irell.com		
	ijablon@irell.com		
9	asellers@irell.com		
10	jkoo@irell.com		
	hwhite@irell.com		
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff Elon Musk		
12			
	(Additional Counsel on next page)		
13			
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
17	COUNTRY OF CAN	J ED A NOIGO	
15	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
16			
10	ELONIMUSE in finite-1	Care No. CCC 24 (1274)	
17	ELON MUSK, an individual,	Case No. CGC-24-612746	
18	Plaintiff,		
10		JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT	
19	VS.	STATEMENT	
20	SAMUEL ALTMAN, an individual, GREGORY	-	
20	BROCKMAN, an individual, OPENAI, INC., a	Dept: 613	
21	corporation, OPENAI, L.P., a limited	Judge: Andrew Y.S. Cheng	
_	partnership, OPENAI, L.L.C., a limited liability	Date Filed: February 29, 2024	
22	company, OPENAI GP, L.L.C., a limited liability company, OPENAI OPCO, LLC, a	Trial Date: None Set	
23	limited liability company, OPENAI GLOBAL,	2 313 1 132 2 3	
	LLC, a limited liability company, OAI		
24	CORPORATION, LLC, a limited liability		
25	company, OPENAI HOLDINGS, LLC, a limited		
	liability company, and DOES 1 through 100,		
26	i inclusive		
- 1	inclusive,		
27	Defendants.		
27 28			

1	JORDAN ETH (CA SBN 121617)
2	JEth@mofo.com
	RAGESH K. TANGRI (CA SBN 159477) RTangri@mofo.com
3	DAVID J. WIENER (CA SBN 291659)
4	DWiener@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
5	425 Market Street
6	San Francisco, CA 94105
7	Telephone: (415) 268-7000 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522
8	WILLIAM SAVITT (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) WDSavitt@wlrk.com
9	BRADLEY R. WILSON (<i>pro hac vice</i> application pending)
10	BRWilson@wlrk.com SARAH K. EDDY (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
11	SKEddy@wlrk.com
	RANDALL W. JACKSON (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
12	RWJackson@wlrk.com WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ
13	51 West 52nd Street
14	New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 403-1000
15	Facsimile: (212) 403-2000
16	Attorneys for Defendants
	SAMUEL ALTMAN, GREGORY BROCKMAN,
17	OPENAI, INC., OPENAI, LP, OPENAI, LLC, OPENAI GLOBAL, LLC, OAI CORPORATION,
18	LLC, and OPENAI HOLDINGS, LLC
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2

Plaintiff Elon Musk ("Plaintiff") and Defendants Samuel Altman, Gregory Brockman, OpenAI, Inc., OpenAI, LP, OpenAI, LLC, OpenAI GP, LLC, OpenAI OpCo, LLC, OpenAI Global, LLC, OAI Corporation, LLC, and OpenAI Holdings, LLC (hereinafter "Defendants") respectfully submit this joint statement in advance of the initial Case Management Conference set for June 12, 2024, at 9:30AM in Department 613.

I. STATUS OF PLEADINGS

Plaintiff filed his Complaint on February 29, 2024, in this Court asserting five claims against each Defendant. Service of the Complaint and summons was effectuated on each Defendant and filed with the Court by March 22, 2024. On March 27, 2024, Defendants filed a demurrer to each cause of action in the Complaint, an accompanying Request for Judicial Notice, and a Motion to Strike several of Plaintiff's requested remedies in his Prayer for Relief. Plaintiff filed his opposition briefing to the Demurrer, Request for Judicial Notice, and Motion to Strike on April 10, 2024. Defendants filed their reply brief in support of their Demurrer, Request for Judicial Notice, and Motion to Strike on April 17, 2024. A hearing is set for June 12, 2024, at 9:30AM in Department 613 regarding the Demurrer, Request for Judicial Notice, and Motion to Strike.

II. SUMMARY OF CASE

<u>Plaintiff's Position</u>: Plaintiff Elon Musk alleges that he founded and contributed over \$40 million and other resources to OpenAI in exchange for and in reliance on promises that those assets were irrevocably dedicated to building AI for public benefit, with only safety as a countervailing concern. Plaintiff is suing Defendants Samuel Altman, Gregory Brockman, and OpenAI, Inc. and several related entities for breach of contract, promissory estoppel, breach of fiduciary duty, unfair business practices, and accounting. Plaintiff is seeking specific performance, declaratory judgment, injunctive relief, restitution, an accounting of monies donated by Plaintiff, compensatory and punitive damages, attorneys' fees, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the maximum legal rate.

<u>Defendants' Position</u>: Defendants deny Plaintiff's allegations and deny that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief in this action. As set forth in Defendants' pending Demurrer and Motion to Strike, the complaint fails to state a claim for breach of contract, as Musk cannot allege the existence

1	of any "Founding Agreement." He attaches no contract by that name to the complaint, and the		
2	documents he does attach contradict his allegations as to the alleged terms of the agreement. Musk's		
3	claims for promissory estoppel, breach of fiduciary duty, violation of the UCL, and accounting are		
4	likewise insufficiently pleaded, as Defendants explain in their pending Demurrer and Motion to		
5	Strike.		
6	III. JURY TRIAL		
7	Plaintiff's Position: Plaintiff Elon Musk is requesting a 10-day jury trial.		
8	<u>Defendants' Position</u> : Defendants have filed a demurrer and motion to strike in this matter.		
9	Because the pleadings are not yet settled, Defendants believe discussions regarding trial scheduling		
0	are premature.		
.1	IV. FACT DISCOVERY		
2	A. Discovery Schedule		
.3	Plaintiff's Position: Plaintiff presently expects for fact discovery to be completed by October		
4	31, 2025.		
.5	<u>Defendants' Position</u> : Defendants have filed a demurrer and motion to strike in this matter.		
6	Because the pleadings—and, accordingly, the appropriate scope of any potential discovery—are not		
.7	yet settled, Defendants believe discussions regarding a discovery schedule are premature.		
.8	B. Summary of Discovery Efforts		
9	<u>Plaintiff's Position</u> : Plaintiff has served initial written discovery requests on all Defendants,		
20	including form interrogatories, special interrogatories, requests for admission, and requests for		
21	production. In response, Defendants have served blanket objections and have refused to		
22	substantively respond to Plaintiff's discovery before a ruling on Defendants' demurrer and motion		
23	to strike.		
24	Plaintiff has also served subpoenas for appearance at deposition and production of		
25	documents to two former OpenAI board members: Natassia McCauley and Helen Toner. At		
26	Defendants' insistence, Plaintiff's depositions of the non-party former board members have been		
27	postponed until after the Informal Discovery Conference scheduled with the Court on June 12, 2024.		

Defendants intend to seek the Court's permission at the Informal Discovery Conference to file a motion to quash the subpoenas.

In addition to the discovery already served, Plaintiff intends to serve additional requests for production, requests for admission, and interrogatories on Defendants. Plaintiff also intends to take depositions of each of the Defendants and select current and former employees and/or directors of Defendants.

<u>Defendants' Position</u>: Defendants timely served objections to the pending discovery on numerous grounds. As a threshold matter, Defendants objected to Plaintiff's discovery requests as premature in light of (1) Defendants' pending Demurrer that seeks dismissal without leave to amend of each cause of action contained in Plaintiff's complaint, and (2) Defendants' pending Motion to Strike that seeks to strike various forms of relief sought in the complaint. Resolution of the Demurrer in Defendants' favor would result in the dismissal of each cause of action contained in the complaint, and partial resolution of the Demurrer in Defendants' favor (or resolution of the Motion to Strike in Defendants' favor) could change the scope of the case significantly and, accordingly, alter the scope of appropriate discovery.

In addition to this overarching timing objection, Defendants also provided specific responses and objections to all of Plaintiff's pending discovery requests. Defendants committed to provide certain discovery promptly if the Court determines that Musk's claims should proceed in whole or in part, offered to meet and confer as to certain other requests based on the Court's rulings on the pending demurrer and motion to strike, and made clear that some of Musk's requests are objectionable regardless of the resolution of the demurrer and motion to strike.

The parties met and conferred on several occasions and reached an impasse on multiple discovery issues. These issues will be addressed at an informal discovery conference that is also scheduled for June 12.

C. Protective Order

Defendants have also objected to many of Plaintiff's discovery requests on the basis that a Protective Order has not been issued by the Court, and Defendants argue the requests seek confidential information. In response, Plaintiff circulated a proposed protective order to Defendants

1	on May 7, 2024. Defendants provided comments on the proposed protective order on June 4, 2024.		
2	The parties will continue to meet and confer regarding the proposed protective order and expect		
3	submit a proposed protective order for the Court's review shortly.		
4	D. Future Discovery Issues		
5	Plaintiff's Position: As noted, Defendants have to date unilaterally refused to provide any		
6	substantive responses to written discovery, and have also refused to produce any responsive		
7	materials whatsoever, pending the Court's ruling on their pending demurrer and motion to strike.		
8	Defendants are also seeking to prevent Plaintiff from obtaining discovery from third-parties before		
9	Defendants agree to participate in discovery. In light of Defendants' discovery positions to date		
10	Plaintiff anticipates there may be multiple discovery motions.		
11	Defendants' Position: As discussed above, the Court has scheduled an informal discover		
12	conference to address, amongst other issues, Defendants' anticipated motion for a protective order		
13	and motions to quash third-party subpoenas served on former members of OpenAI's board of		
14	directors pending resolution of Defendants' Demurrer and Motion to Strike.		
15	V. EXPERT DISCOVERY		
16	<u>Plaintiff's Position</u> : Plaintiff expects for there to be expert discovery in this case. Plaintiff		
17	requests that the Court set the following schedule for expert discovery:		
18	6 weeks following the close of fact discovery: Deadline for service of opening expert		
19	reports on issues for which the party carries the burden of proof;		
20	12 weeks following the close of fact discovery: Deadline for service of rebuttal expert		
21	reports on issues for which the party does not carry the burden of proof;		
22	16 weeks following the close of fact discovery: Deadline for service of reply expert		
23	reports on issues for which the party carries the burden of proof;		
24	20 weeks following the close of fact discovery: Last day to take depositions of expert		
25	witnesses.		
26	<u>Defendants' Position</u> : Defendants have filed a demurrer and motion to strike in this matter.		
27	Because the pleadings—and, accordingly, the appropriate scope of any potential discovery—are no		
28	yet settled, Defendants believe discussions regarding a discovery schedule are premature.		

1	VI.	ADDITIONAL PARTIES	
2	<u> </u>	Plaintiff's Position: Plaintiff does not currently intend to add additional parties, although he	
3	reserves	s the right to do so at a later stage of the case as discovery progresses, and requests that absent	
4	a motion	n to the Court to add an additional party, the deadline to add new parties be set for three	
5	months prior to the close of fact discovery.		
6	<u> </u>	Defendants' Position: Defendants do not currently intend to add additional parties to this	
7	action a	and believe that any deadlines for amendments of pleadings and adding additional parties	
8		be governed by the Code of Civil Procedure.	
9		RELATED ACTIONS	
10	·-	There are no other actions pending in this Court or another state or federal court related to	
11	this case	2.	
12	VIII. I	MEDIATION AND SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE	
13	<u> </u>	Plaintiff's Position: Plaintiff is open to discussing mediation and a settlement conference	
14	following the Court's ruling on Defendants' Demurrer and Motion to Strike.		
15	<u> </u>	Defendants' Position: Defendants are open to productive discussions to resolve this matter	
16	at any la	ater appropriate juncture.	
17	IX.	TRIAL REPRESENTATION	
18	1	Plaintiff Elon Musk will be represented at trial by:	
19	1	MORGAN CHU (SBN 70446)	
20	II	mchu@irell.com ALAN HEINRICH (SBN 212782)	
21	8	aheinrich@irell.com	
	II	IIAN JABLON (SBN 205458) ijablon@irell.com	
22	1	ABIGAIL SELLERS (SBN 342380)	
23		asellers@irell.com HENRY WHITE (SBN 351549)	
24	ŀ	hwhite@irell.com	
25	II	JUSTIN KOO (SBN 351547) ikoo@irell.com	
26	Ĭ	IRELL & MANELLA LLP	
27	II	1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900 Los Angeles, CA 90067	
	II	Telephone: 310-277-1010	
28	l I	Facsimile: 310-203-7199	

	Defendants will be represented at trial by:
l	JORDAN ETH (CA SBN 121617)
	JEth@mofo.com RAGESH K. TANGRI (CA SBN 159477)
	RTangri@mofo.com DAVID J. WIENER (CA SBN 291659)
	DWiener@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
	425 Market Street
	San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 268-7000
	Facsimile: (415) 268-7522
l	WILLIAM SAVITT (admitted pro hac vice)
	WDSavitt@wlrk.com BRADLEY R. WILSON (pro hac vice pending)
	BRWilson@wlrk.com SARAH K. EDDY (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
	SKEddy@wlrk.com RANDALL W. JACKSON (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
	RWJackson@wlrk.com
	WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ 51 West 52nd Street
l	New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 403-1000
	Facsimile: (212) 403-2000
X.	ANY OTHER MATTER COUNSEL WISHES TO RAISE
	The parties have no further matters to raise with the Court at this time.
	8
	Х.

1	Respectfully submitted,		
2	Date: June 5, 2024		
3			
4	By: <u>/s/ Morgan Chu</u>		
5	MORGAN CHU		
6	mchu@irell.com ALAN HEINRICH		
7	aheinrich@irell.com IIAN JABLON		
8	ijablon@irell.com		
9	ABIGAIL SELLERS asellers@irell.com		
10	JUSTIN KOO jkoo@irell.com		
11	HENRY WHITE		
12	hwhite@irell.com IRELL & MANELLA LLP		
13	1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900 Los Angeles, CA 90067		
14	Telephone: 310-277-1010 Facsimile: 310-203-7199		
15			
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff Elon Musk		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	9		
	JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT		

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT CASE No.: CGC-24-612746

1	D . I . 5 2024
2	Date: June 5, 2024
3	By:/s/ David J. Wiener
4	JORDAN ETH JEth@mofo.com
5	RAGESH K. TANGRI
6	RTangri@mofo.com DAVID J. WIENER
	DWiener@mofo.com
7	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street
8	San Francisco, CA 94105
9	Telephone: (415) 268-7000 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522
10	1 addining (112) 200 7022
11	WILLIAM SAVITT
12	(admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) BRADLEY R. WILSON
13	(<i>pro hac vice</i> application pending) SARAH K. EDDY
14	(admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) RANDALL W. JACKSON
	(admitted pro hac vice)
15	WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ 51 West 52nd Street
16	New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 403-1000
17	Facsimile: (212) 403-2000
18	Attorneys for Defendants SAMUEL ALTMAN, GREGORY
19	BROCKMAN, OPENAI, INC., OPENAI,
20	LP, OPENAI, LLC, OPENAI GP, LLC, OPENAI OPCO, LLC, OPENAI
21	GLOBAL, LLC, OAI CORPORATION, LLC, AND OPENAI HOLDINGS, LLC
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	10

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY: STATE BAR NO: 70,446	FOR COURT USE ONLY		
NAME: Morgan Chu	T SIN SSSIN SSE SINEY		
FIRM NAME: Irell & Manella LLP			
STREET ADDRESS: 1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900			
CITY: Los Angeles			
TELEPHONE NO.: 310-277-1010 FAX NO.: 310-203-7199 E-MAIL ADDRESS: mchu@irell.com			
ATTORNEY FOR (name): Plaintiff Elon Musk			
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO			
STREET ADDRESS: 400 McAllister St.			
MAILING ADDRESS: 400 McAllister St.			
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Francisco, CA 94102			
BRANCH NAME: Civic Center Courthouse	CASE NUMBER: CGC-24-612746		
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Elon Musk	CGC-24-012740		
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Samuel Altman, et al.	JUDICIAL OFFICER:		
· ·	Hon. Andrew Y.S. Cheng		
PROOF OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE	DEPARTMENT:		
TROOF OF ELLOTRONIO DERVICE	613		
1. I am at least 18 years old.			
a. My residence or business address is (specify):			
1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900			
Los Angeles, CA 90067			
b. My electronic service address is (specify):			
asellers@irell.com			
 I electronically served the following documents (exact titles): JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT 			
JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT			
The documents served are listed in an attachment. (Form POS-050(D)/EFS-0	050(D) may be used for this purpose.)		
3. I electronically served the documents listed in 2 as follows:			
a. Name of person served:			
On behalf of (name or names of parties represented, if person served is an attorne	v).:		
	.,,		
b. Electronic service address of person served :			
b. Electronic service address of person served.			
c. On (date): 6/5/2024			
The documents listed in item 2 were served electronically on the persons and in the manner described in an attachment.			
(Form POS-050(P)/EFS-050(P) may be used for this purpose.)			
D-1 0/5/0004			
Date: 6/5/2024			
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoin	g is true and correct.		
Ň.	Most Allen		
Abigail Sellers	7		
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF DECLARANT)	(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)		

Date of Electronic Service

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
Elon Musk v. Samuel Altman, et al.	CGC-24-612746

ATTACHMENT TO PROOF OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE (PERSONS SERVED)

(This attachment is for use with form POS-050/EFS-050.)

NAMES, ADDRESSES, AND OTHER APPLICABLE INFORMATION ABOUT PERSONS SERVED:

Electronic Service Address

(If the person served is an attorney, the party or parties represented should also be stated.)		
Jordan Eth on behalf of all defendants	JEth@mofo.com; OpenAl-Musk- Lit@mofo.com	Date: <u>6/5/24</u>
Ragesh Tangri on behalf of all defendants	RTangri@mofo.com	Date: 6/5/24
David Wiener on behalf of all defendants	DWiener@mofo.com	Date: 6/5/24
William Savitt on behalf of all defendants	WDSavitt@wlrk.com	Date: 6/5/24
Sarah Eddy on behalf of all defendants	SKEddy@wlkr.com	Date: <u>6/5/24</u>
Randall Jackson on behalf of all defendants	RWJackson@wlrk.com	Date: <u>6/5/24</u>
Bradley Wilson on behalf of all defendants	BRWilson@wlrk.com	Date: 6/5/24
		Date:

Name of Person Served