UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

APR 6 2023

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 22-10338

Plaintiff-Appellee,

D.C. No. 5:18-cr-00258-EJD-2 Northern District of California, San Jose

v.

ORDER

RAMESH SUNNY BALWANI,

Defendant-Appellant.

Before: PAEZ, WATFORD, and FORREST, Circuit Judges.

Appellant's motions (Docket Entry Nos. 9 & 13) to file an oversized motion for bail pending appeal and oversized reply are granted.

Appellant's motion for bail pending appeal (Docket Entry No. 8) is denied. Appellant has not shown that: (1) the appeal raises a "substantial question" of law or fact that is "fairly debatable," and (2) if that question is decided in appellant's favor, the likely outcome is reversal, an order for a new trial on all counts resulting in imprisonment, a sentence that includes no term of imprisonment, or a sentence with a term of imprisonment less than time served plus the expected duration of the appeal process. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3143(b)(1)(B); *United States v. Handy*, 761 F.2d 1279, 1283 (9th Cir. 1985).

The existing briefing schedule remains in effect.