QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP					
claudestern@quinnemanuel.com					
Redwood Shores, California 94065					
Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100					
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP					
davideiseman@quinnemanuel.com					
San Francisco, CA 94111					
Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700					
Attorneys for Defendant					
Gerard Williams III					
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA					
APPLE INC.,	CASE NO. 19-CV-3	52866			
Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT WIL				
V.	APPLE'S COMPLAINT				
GERARD WILLIAMS III,	Action Filed:	August 7, 2010			
Defendant.	Judge:	August 7, 2019 Hon. Thang N. Barrett 21			
	_ op				
	WW	Case No. 19-cv-352866			
	Claude M. Stern (Bar No. 96737) claudestern@quinnemanuel.com 555 Twin Dolphin Dr., 5th Floor Redwood Shores, California 94065 Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVA David Eiseman (Bar No. 114758) davideiseman@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700 Attorneys for Defendant Gerard Williams III SUPERIOR COURT OF TH COUNTY OF STAPPLE INC., Plaintiff, v. GERARD WILLIAMS III,	Claude M. Stern (Bar No. 96737) claudestern@quinnemanuel.com 555 Twin Dolphin Dr., 5th Floor Redwood Shores, California 94065 Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP David Eiseman (Bar No. 114758) davideiseman@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700 Attorneys for Defendant Gerard Williams III SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFO COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA APPLE INC., Plaintiff, v. GERARD WILLIAMS III, Defendant. Defendant. Action Filed: Judge: Department:			

WILLIAMS' ANSWER TO APPLE'S COMPLAINT

10

11 12 13

17 18

19

15

16

20 21

24

25

23

26

27

28

Apple Inc. ("Apple").

Defendant Gerard Williams III ("Williams") hereby answers the Complaint of Plaintiff

GENERAL DENIAL

Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 431.30(d), Williams hereby denies each and every material allegation in the Complaint and further denies that Apple has been damaged in the manner alleged, in any manner, or in any amount.

FACTS SUPPORTING GENERAL DENIAL AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

1. Apple's lawsuit against Williams is an improper and anticompetitive attempt to stifle its former employee's innovative work at a startup engaged in the development of novel server technology for use in data centers—technology that Apple itself does not design or develop. Apple's complaint wrongly tries to suffocate the creation of new technologies and solutions by a new business, and to diminish the freedom of entrepreneurs to seek out more fulfilling work. In choosing to file this lawsuit, Apple is flexing its power and relying on its unlimited resources in an effort to restrict the freedom of its former employees to pursue their careers outside of Apple, in contravention of longstanding California public policy.

Williams Is A Highly Sought-After Engineer

- 2. After obtaining his Master's Degree in Electrical and Computer Engineering, Williams started his career at Texas Instruments, where he served as a Design Team Lead.
- 3. In 1998, Williams joined ARM, where he worked for over 12 years, and became a key ARM employee. Williams was an ARM Fellow and served on the ARM Architectural Review and Technical Advisory Boards. He also served as a technical advisor for ARM architecture and CPU development to many key ARM partners. Williams' work at ARM furthered the widespread adoption of ARM CPUs found in almost every smartphone today.
- Apple has long relied on ARM's instruction set when designing its own processors for use in Apple's computers, mobile phones and other consumer products. Hiring the best and brightest engineers from ARM, due to their experience and expertise in creating chip designs based on ARM's technology, has thus long been in Apple's interest. Apple identified Williams as one such engineer.

5. Williams joined Apple in 2010 and rapidly became indispensable to Apple's chip-development efforts. In particular, Williams, as Apple's Chief CPU Architect, led the design of every Apple processor core from the A7, which debuted in 2013, through the A12X Bionic, which drove Apple's 2018 mobile devices.

- 6. Williams' work grew in scope and complexity throughout his tenure at Apple. By 2017, Williams was overseeing Apple's system-on-a-chip ("SOC") architectural development effort, which permits integration of functions on a single chip. Apple's development of its own mobile chips is a key element of its competitive advantage; Android mobile phone developers, for example, rely on processors designed by Qualcomm Inc. (Snapdragon), Samsung (Exynos), or Huawei (Kirin).
- 7. As a result of his innovative work at Apple, Williams is listed as an inventor on nearly 40 Apple patents and pending patent applications relating to a variety of technologies.
- 8. Williams was widely recognized as a key Apple employee and a top innovator during his employment at Apple. For example, CNET described Williams as one of Apple's "key semiconductor engineers" and said Williams' departure was a "loss for Apple." Yahoo! Finance recognized Williams' role in "spearhead[ing]" the development of Apple's processor cores and allowing Apple's chips to "le[a]d the industry." It further acknowledged that, under Williams' direction, Apple's chips developed "faster CPUs and GPUs as well as more power-efficient designs," and his departure "could make it tougher for Apple to maintain th[e] slim lead" it

Shara Tibken, Apple Loses Engineer Who Oversaw iPhone, iPad Processors, *CNET* (Mar. 29, 2019), https://www.cnet.com/news/apple-loses-engineer-overseeing-the-processors-powering-iphones-ipads/.

² Leo Sun, Apple Loses One of Its Most Important Engineers, *Motley Fool* (Apr. 1, 2019), https://finance.yahoo.com/news/apple-loses-one-most-important-

 $^{010000687.}html?guccounter=1\&guce_referrer=aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cuZ29vZ2xlLmNvbS8\&guce_referrer_sig=AQAAAIcRd-referrer_sig=AQAAAICRd-referrer_s$

 $hNtLPAXJluEFWfMg4w6iMX4g3XbHAyjOlo8HR3x0zaA8hjeWDcIkcNKHmaIVc1Z_Uhlmb6\\ EPIaRbfvX_0piaTQLfCGnAxOTT2f3hqiqCJs5FSgqvb0pDT_GJMQrcaJU5ewe08geI5mAJhfd-pqvTW-4V31xHwp2wfcfJpq.$

maintains over competitors.³

- 9. Through their work at Apple, Williams and his co-worker Jim Keller saw the potential value in building a server chip for use in data centers. In 2010, Williams and Keller raised this idea with Mike Culbert, their former supervisor at Apple. Culbert suggested that they put together a presentation for Steve Jobs pitching the idea of Apple building a server chip. Williams and Keller did so, and Culbert presented that opportunity to Jobs. Following the meeting, Culbert reported to Williams that Apple would not be pursuing the server chip project because Jobs was only interested in pursuing Apple's development of consumer-focused products.
- 10. Consistent with Jobs' views, various senior Apple personnel, including Senior Vice President of Hardware Technologies Johny Srouji, who led the division in which Williams worked, and Tim Millet, Williams' direct supervisor, repeatedly emphasized to Williams and, on information and belief, other Apple employees, including at a division all-hands meeting after Williams left Apple, that Apple was a consumer-focused company and not in the business of developing servers for enterprise use. As recently as May 2019, Chief Executive Officer Tim Cook stated that Apple was viewed as a "consumer company" and that Apple "work[s] at the intersection of technology and the liberal arts and the humanities, and so we make products for people, and so the consumer's at the center of what we do."⁴

Williams Is Recruited By Google And Intel

- 11. From February 2018 through late March 2018, Google LLC ("Google") approached Williams to see if he would be interested in joining Google's mobile chip development team. Williams declined to pursue this role.
- 12. From March 2018 through May 2018, Intel Corporation, via Intel employees Raja Koduri and Williams' former co-worker Jim Keller, also approached Williams regarding a chip development role that would have entailed leading Intel's processor development efforts.

Case No. 10 av 2529

 $^{^3}$ Id

⁴ Matthew J. Belvedere, Tim Cook Says Warren Buffett's Investment Shows that Apple Isn't Really a Tech Company Anymore, *CNBC* (May 6, 2019), https://www.cnbc.com/2019/05/06/tim-cook-buffett-stake-shows-that-apple-is-not-really-a-tech-firm.html

Williams declined to pursue this opportunity as well.

Gulati And Bruno Prepare To Launch A Server Project

- John Bruno, both of whom were former Apple employees who had worked with Williams at Apple, decided to pursue the formation of a company to design and develop server technology for use in data centers. The idea for the company, which arose from Gulati and Bruno's work at Google, was Bruno's. Bruno convinced Gulati that the idea could be viable, and Gulati approached Amarjit Gill, an early-stage investor and a close friend, with the concept. Gill also found the idea appealing and introduced Gulati to Lip-Bu Tan, a potential investor.
- 14. On information and belief, in October 2018, Gill arranged a meeting with Tan, Gulati, and himself to discuss funding for the proposed company. Tan likewise found the idea appealing.
- 15. During this time period, Gulati and Bruno communicated with Williams regarding their plan to form a company. Although skeptical, Williams agreed to attend a meeting in October 2018 with Gulati, Bruno, Gill, and Tan. The meeting occurred after working hours. At the conclusion of the meeting, Tan indicated that he was prepared to provide financing.
- 16. Shortly after the meeting, Williams' interest in Bruno and Gulati's potential company grew, and he informed Bruno and Gulati that he might be interested in participating. On information and belief, Gulati and Bruno were reluctant to leave Google to launch the company before Williams departed from Apple, as they were concerned that the project would not succeed without Williams' involvement and also suspected Williams had multiple offers of employment available to him. Accordingly, Gulati and Bruno agreed that they would not start the company unless and until Williams left Apple.

Williams Resigns From Apple

- 17. On December 15, 2018, Williams informed Millet that he planned to leave Apple. Millet asked Williams to speak to Srouji. Given Williams' value to Apple, Srouji offered Williams generous incentives to remain at Apple, including a fully paid six-month sabbatical.
 - 18. After the holidays, Williams returned to Apple and informed Srouji and Millet that

his last day would be January 15, 2019. Srouji begged Williams to stay and urged him to take the previously offered sabbatical, suggesting that Williams refrain from making a final decision about leaving Apple until his return. Williams declined to take the sabbatical but agreed to remain at Apple until February 1, 2019.

- 19. On Williams' last day, Apple threw a going-away party for Williams, at which various senior Apple personnel, including Srouji, Millet, and Vice President of Silicon Engineering Sribalan Santhanam, praised Williams' work at Apple. As a parting gift, Williams was presented with a personally engraved iPad signed by various Apple vice presidents and senior executives. The memento, engraved with the words "Thanks for making us fast," was the first of its kind given to an employee in Srouji's division.
- 20. After the event, Williams turned in his Apple-issued laptop to Human Resources and ensured that it was secured in a locked drawer. Williams also deleted every text message conversation, document, and photo with Apple personnel on his mobile device, except for a personal conversation with Ali Sazegari, a close friend who had attended Williams' wedding, and a personal conversation with Mike Culbert, Williams' former supervisor, who had passed away during Williams' tenure at Apple.

NuVia Is Launched And Begins Hiring Former Apple Employees

- 21. On February 5, 2019, NuVia, Inc. ("NuVia") was incorporated by Amit Parikh, NuVia's new Chief Financial Officer. NuVia's mission is to design and develop novel server technology for use in data centers.
- 22. Williams joined NuVia on February 5, 2019. Gulati and Bruno joined shortly thereafter, after winding down and transferring their respective responsibilities at Google.
- 23. After NuVia was formed, numerous engineers began joining it, including various former Apple engineers. NuVia has hired more than 100 engineers in its first year of operation, a majority of whom previously worked at companies other than Apple. These engineers chose to join NuVia due to the strong reputations of Gulati, Bruno, and Williams, and because they were interested in joining a startup focusing on the design and development of new technology. On information and belief, in June 2019, Santhanam contacted Gill regarding NuVia's recruiting

efforts. At a meeting that followed, Santhanam warned Gill that consequences would result if NuVia continued hiring Apple employees. During this same time period, however, Apple had attempted to recruit NuVia employees, including at least Bruno, to join Apple. Indeed, in March 2019, when a small team of seven employees comprised the entirety of NuVia's engineering staff, Apple recruited away engineer Kulin Kothari, who had joined NuVia only a week previously.

- 24. NuVia did not stop recruiting Apple personnel in response to Apple's threat, as it was under no obligation to do so under California law.
- 25. Additionally, current Apple employee Anand Shimpi sent Williams text messages on numerous occasions after Williams' departure from Apple. In an April 4, 2019 conversation, Shimpi included slides and other material designated "Apple Confidential" that Shimpi had prepared for a future meeting with Srouji. Williams indicated that this material was inappropriate and unwelcome, as Williams was no longer an Apple employee.

Apple Files This Lawsuit

- 26. On August 7, 2019, five months after NuVia's launch and less than two months after Santhanam's meeting with Gill, Apple filed this lawsuit, accusing Williams of breaching his Apple Intellectual Property Agreement ("IPA") and his duty of loyalty to Apple.
- 27. Apple's breach of contract claim is meritless. To begin, Apple's IPA is unenforceable under both California and federal law. But even if it were enforceable, NuVia is not competitive with Apple, as Apple is avowedly a consumer-focused company, whereas NuVia's focus is on server technology for use in data centers. Additionally, Williams did not recruit away any Apple employees prior to his departure from Apple, and any recruitment that may have occurred subsequent to his last day is protected under Section 16600 of the Business & Professions Code. Finally, Apple—which contends that "Williams [] failed to disclose and assign [] inventions to Apple"—fails to allege that Williams invented anything at all.
- 28. Apple's breach of the duty of loyalty claim is similarly meritless. Williams did not "start" NuVia during his employment at Apple, and NuVia is not a "competitive business" with respect to Apple. Apple's claim aims to improperly deter its employees from making even preliminary and legally protected preparations to form a new business—whether competitive or

otherwise.

29. Rather, Apple is using this lawsuit as a means to prevent Apple employees from forming an idea for a new business, and leaving Apple to pursue that goal. Under Apple's interpretation of the IPA, Apple owns not just any *invention* created by an Apple employee during his or her tenure at Apple, but also any *idea* formed during an employee's time at Apple. Apple's interpretation amounts to an end-run around California law and policy protecting employee mobility and ingenuity. It also sends a clear message to current Apple employees: leave Apple and risk being embroiled in costly litigation against a powerful adversary with unlimited resources.

- 30. This lawsuit is not the first time that Apple has engaged in anti-competitive conduct. On March 17, 2011, Apple stipulated to a final judgment with the U.S. Department of Justice, in which Apple was prohibited from, among other things, "pressuring any person in any way to refrain from soliciting, cold calling, recruiting, or otherwise competing for employees" of its industry competitors. Having lost the ability to enter into agreements not to compete with its competitors to improve employee retention, Apple has now resorted to interpreting its IPA to similarly deter employee mobility.
- 31. Additionally, Apple's Complaint reveals a program of systematic employee surveillance and an utter disregard for employee privacy. The Complaint is rife with references to text messages and telephone calls Williams and others transmitted and received both during and after business hours. And Apple's monitoring of Williams' communications with other Apple employees continued even after his departure from Apple. Furthermore, on information and belief, Apple has engaged in a heavy-handed campaign to discourage its engineers from even speaking with NuVia employees, with Apple engineers receiving calls from NuVia-affiliated persons being summoned for interviews with Apple human resources and security personnel. Although Tim Cook has stated publicly that Apple wants to "help [] keep [data] private and safe" 5

⁵ Gabriela Barkho, Apple CEO Tim Cook Says the Tech Giant Doesn't Want Your Data, *Observer* (May 6, 2019), https://observer.com/2019/05/tim-cook-apple-data-privacy-crusade/.

1	and Apple regards privacy as "one of [its] core values," Apple evidently does not follow those		
2	lofty principles when it comes to Apple's own employees.		
3	32. As the foregoing paragraphs make clear, Apple's Complaint lacks any factual basis.		
4	Rather, through this lawsuit, Apple is attempting to stifle hard work, innovation, and competition		
5	in the marketplace by deterring its employees from leaving Apple to pursue success elsewhere, in		
6	contravention of established California public policy.		
7	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES		
8	By alleging the affirmative defenses set forth below, Williams does not agree or concede		
9	that he bears the burden of production or persuasion on any of these defenses, whether in whole of		
0	in part. Williams asserts the following affirmative defenses to the Complaint:		
1	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
2	Apple has failed to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action.		
3	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
4	Apple's causes of action are barred because they seek to enforce purported contract		
5	provisions that are against public policy and are therefore void and unenforceable, including under		
6	Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 16600 et seq.		
7	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
8	Apple's cause of action for breach of contract is barred, in whole or in part, because there		
9	is no enforceable contract, including because there was no mutual assent or exchange of valuable		
20	consideration between the parties to the alleged contract.		
21	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
22	Apple's cause of action for breach of contract is barred, in whole or in part, because the		
23	purported contract underlying the claim is unconscionable.		
24	<u>FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>		
25	Apple's causes of action were brought and maintained in bad faith, as Apple has no		
26	evidence of wrongdoing but seeks to maintain this lawsuit for anticompetitive purposes.		
27	6 https://www.apple.com/privacy/.		
28			

- 1			
1	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
2	Apple's causes of action rely on text messages and telephone records that were illegally		
3	recorded or intercepted without the parties' consent, rendering use of them improper under		
4	Sections 632 and 632.7 of the Penal Code.		
5	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
6	Apple's causes of action are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of unclean hands.		
7	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
8	Apple's causes of action are barred, in whole or in part, because by virtue of its own		
9	conduct, Apple is estopped from recovering from Williams.		
10	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
11	At all relevant times, Apple consented to and approved all the purported acts and		
12	omissions about which Apple now complains.		
13	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
14	Apple released, relinquished, waived, and/or abandoned any right to any of the causes of		
15	action upon which Apple now seeks relief.		
16	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
17	Apple's causes of action are barred, in whole or in part, by any and all applicable statutes		
18	of limitations, including Sections 343, 337(a), and/or 338(a) of the Code of Civil Procedure.		
19	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
20	Any alleged conduct or omission by Williams was not the cause in fact or proximate cause		
21	of any injury alleged by Apple.		
22	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
23	Apple's causes of action are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of laches.		
24	FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
25	Williams has not committed the wrongs alleged in the Complaint. Thus, Apple is barred		
26	from recovery, in whole or in part, to the extent that recovery by Apple would constitute unjust		
27	enrichment and a windfall to Apple.		
28			

1	<u>FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>		
2	Apple is barred from recovery, in whole or in part, because the actions taken by Williams,		
3	if any, with respect to Apple were based on an honest, reasonable, and good faith belief in th		
4	facts as known and understood at the time.		
5	SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
6	Apple's prayer for a constructive trust is barred, in whole or in part, because Williams'		
7	alleged profits are not the result of any conduct complained of by Apple.		
8	SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
9	Apple's prayer for injunctive relief is improper as there is no likelihood of future injury to		
10	Apple and there exists an adequate remedy at law, if Apple is entitled to any remedy, to addre		
11	the causes of action set forth in the Complaint.		
12	EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
13	Apple voluntarily and with knowledge assumed the risk of all damages of which Appl		
14	complains.		
15	<u>NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>		
16	Apple failed to take reasonable efforts or make reasonable expenditures to mitigate and/o		
17	avoid the damages of which Apple complains.		
18	RESERVATION OF RIGHTS		
19	Williams reserves the right to assert additional defenses, including based on additional		
20	information learned or obtained during discovery.		
21			
22	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
23	Wherefore, Williams prays for relief as follows:		
24	1. That the Complaint be dismissed with prejudice and that Apple take nothing		
25	thereby;		
26	2. That judgment be entered in favor of Williams and against Apple;		
27	3. For Williams' reasonable attorneys' fees, including under Cal. Code. Civ. Proc.		
28	§ 1021.5;		

1	4.	For Williams' costs of suit; and
2	5.	
3	J.	Tot such outer and farmer feller as the court may deem proper.
4	DATED:	February 13, 2020 Respectfully submitted,
5		By /s/ Claude M. Stern
6		QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
7		SULLIVAN, LLP
8		Claude M. Stern David Eiseman
9		Attorneys for Defendant
10		Gerard Williams III
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		-11- Case No. 19-cv-352866 WILLIAMS' ANSWER TO APPLE'S COMPLAINT

1					
	PROOF OF SERVICE				
2	I am employed by Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan in the County of San Mateo, State of				
3	California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this action. My business address is: 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor, Redwood Shores, CA 94065. On February 13, 2020, I served the				
4	following document(s):				
5	DEFENDANT WILLIAMS' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO APPLE'S				
6	COM	COMPLAINT			
7	T 7	By electronic service. Based on a co	ourt order, an agreement of the parties to accept		
8	X	service by electronic transmission and	d/or pursuant to CRC 2.251(b)(1)(B), I caused		
9		the above-referenced document(s) to address(es) listed below.	be sent to the person(s) at the electronic		
ļ					
10	Joshus	a H. Lerner (Bar No. 314018)	Ilissa Samplin (Bar. No. 314018)		
11	GIBS	ON DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP	GIBSON DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP		
12	555 Mission Street, Suite 3000		333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071		
13	San Francisco, CA 90071 Telephone: (415) 393-8254		Telephone: (213) 229-7354		
14		l: jlerner@gibsondunn.com	E-Mail: isamplin@gibsondunn.com		
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff				
16			Apple Inc.		
17	I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court whose direction the service was made. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that				
18	the ab	ove is true and correct. Executed on Feb	bruary 13, 2020, at Redwood Shores, California.		
19					
20			/s/ Alex Wolinsky		
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
			-12- Case No. 19-cv-352866		
			WILLIAMS' ANSWER TO APPLE'S COMPLAINT		