IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

SPRINT CC COMPANY	OMMUNICATIONS L.P.,)
	Plaintiff,	
v.) Case No. 11-2686-JWL
TIME WAR	NER CABLE, INC., et al.,)
· · ·	Defendants.	
	<u>VERDIC'</u>	Γ FORM
	the jury, impaneled and sworn in the llowing answers to the questions p	the above-entitled case, upon our oaths, do propounded by the Court:
Please answ	ver each of the subparts of Questio	ons 1 through 19.
1. <u>Clain</u>	n 1 of the '084 Patent	
a.		ved, by a preponderance of the evidence, sed system literally infringed Claim 1 of the
	Yes	No
b.		ved, by a preponderance of the evidence, sed system infringed Claim 1 of the '084 nivalents?
	Yes	No

c.	•	rner Cable has proved, by clear and convincing he '084 Patent is invalid because it does not ion requirement?
	Yes	No
d.		rner Cable has proved, by clear and convincing he '084 Patent is invalid as anticipated?
	Yes	No _
e.	*	rner Cable has proved, by clear and convincing he '084 Patent is invalid as obvious?
	Yes	No
a.	Do you find that Sprint has	s proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, accused system infringed Claim 7 of the '084
	Yes V	No
b.	Do you find that Time Wa	rner Cable has proved, by clear and convincing he '084 Patent is invalid because it does not
	Yes	No V
c.		rner Cable has proved, by clear and convincing he '084 Patent is invalid as anticipated?
	Yes	No L
d.		rner Cable has proved, by clear and convincing he '084 Patent is invalid as obvious?
	Yes	No

2.

3.	Claim	1 of the '561 Patent	
	a.	Do you find that Sprint has proved, by a that Time Warner Cable's accused syste Patent?	
		Yes	No
	b.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has evidence, that Claim 1 of the '561 Paten satisfy the written description requirements	t is invalid because it does not
		Yes	No
	c.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has evidence, that Claim 1 of the '561 Paten	
		Yes	No
	d.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has evidence, that Claim 1 of the '561 Paten	
		Yes	No
1.	<u>Claim</u>	3 of the '561 Patent (dependent claim to	independent Claim 1)
	a.	Do you find that Sprint has proved, by a that Time Warner Cable's accused syste Patent?	
		Yes	No
	b.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has evidence, that Claim 3 of the '561 Paten satisfy the written description requirement	t is invalid because it does not
		Yes	No

c.

5.

Do you find that Time Warner Cable has proved, by clear and convincing

	evidence, that Claim 3 of the '561 Patent is invalid as obvious?		
	Yes	No	
Claim	n 15 of the '561 Patent (de	pendent claim to independent Claim 1)	Ī
a.	that Time Warner Cable Patent?	as proved, by a preponderance of the estaccused system infringed Claim 15 c	
	Yes	No	
b.		Varner Cable has proved, by clear and copf the '561 Patent is invalid because it ption requirement?	
	Yes	No	
c.		arner Cable has proved, by clear and of the '561 Patent is invalid as anticipa	
	Yes	No	
d. ,		Varner Cable has proved, by clear and cof the '561 Patent is invalid as obvious	_
	Yes	No _1	

<u>Clai</u> a.	 Claim 23 of the '561 Patent (dependent claim to independent Claim 1) Do you find that Sprint has proved, by a preponderance of the eviden that Time Warner Cable's accused system infringed Claim 23 of the 'Patent? 	
	Yes	No
b.		ner Cable has proved, by clear and convincing the '561 Patent is invalid because it does not on requirement?
	Yes	No
c.		ner Cable has proved, by clear and convincing the '561 Patent is invalid as anticipated?
	Yes	No
d.		ner Cable has proved, by clear and convincing the '561 Patent is invalid as obvious?
	Yes	No
<u>Clai</u>	m 24 of the '561 Patent	*
a.	that Time Warner Cable's a Patent?	proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, accused system infringed Claim 24 of the '561
	Yes	No
b.		ner Cable has proved, by clear and convincing the '561 Patent is invalid because it does not on requirement?
	satisfy the written descripti	1

	c.	evidence, that Claim 24 of the '561 Pate	
		Yes	No
	d.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has evidence, that Claim 24 of the '561 Pate	
		Yes	No
8.	Claim	a 26 of the '561 Patent (dependent claim t	to independent Claim 24)
	a.	Do you find that Sprint has proved, by a that Time Warner Cable's accused syste Patent?	
		Yes	No
	b.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has evidence, that Claim 26 of the '561 Pate satisfy the written description requirements	ent is invalid because it does not
		Yes	No
	c.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable ha evidence, that Claim 26 of the '561 Pate	
	•	Yes	No

9.	Claim	38 of the '561 Patent (dependent claim to	o independent Claim 24)
	a.	Do you find that Sprint has proved, by a that Time Warner Cable's accused syste Patent?	
		Yes	No
	b.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has evidence, that Claim 38 of the '561 Pate satisfy the written description requirement	nt is invalid because it does not
		Yes	No V
	c.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has evidence, that Claim 38 of the '561 Pate	<u>-</u>
		Yes	No V
	d.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has evidence, that Claim 38 of the '561 Pate	
		Yes	No
10.	<u>Claim</u>	n 1 of the '052 Patent	
	a.	Do you find that Sprint has proved, by a that Time Warner Cable's accused syste Patent?	
		Yes	No
	b.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has evidence, that Claim 1 of the '052 Paters satisfy the written description requirements	nt is invalid because it does not
		Yes	No

	c.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has evidence, that Claim 1 of the '052 Pater	<u>-</u>
		Yes	No V
	d.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable ha evidence, that Claim 1 of the '052 Pater	
		Yes	No
11.	<u>Clain</u>	n 3 of the '052 Patent (dependent claim to	independent Claim 1)
	a.	Do you find that Sprint has proved, by a that Time Warner Cable's accused system Patent?	
		Yes	No
	b.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has evidence, that Claim 3 of the '052 Pater satisfy the written description requirements.	nt is invalid because it does not
		Yes	No
	c.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has evidence, that Claim 3 of the '052 Pater	· · ·
		Yes	No
	d.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable ha evidence, that Claim 3 of the '052 Pater	-
		Yes	No

12.	<u>Claim</u>	4 of the '052 Patent (dependent claim to	independent Claim 1)
	a.	Do you find that Sprint has proved, by a that Time Warner Cable's accused syste Patent?	
		Yes	No
	b.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has evidence, that Claim 4 of the '052 Pater satisfy the written description requirement	it is invalid because it does not
		Yes	No
	c.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable ha evidence, that Claim 4 of the '052 Pater	- · · ·
		Yes	No
	d.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable ha evidence, that Claim 4 of the '052 Pater	
		Yes	No
13.	<u>Claim</u>	a 5 of the '052 Patent (dependent claim to	independent Claim 1)
	a.	Do you find that Sprint has proved, by a that Time Warner Cable's accused system Patent?	
		Yes	No
	b.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has evidence, that Claim 5 of the '052 Pater satisfy the written description requirement	nt is invalid because it does not
		Yes	No

14.

c.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has evidence, that Claim 5 of the '052 Paten	
	Yes	No
Claim	1 of the '429 Patent	
a.	Do you find that Sprint has proved, by a that Time Warner Cable's accused syste '429 Patent?	* ~
	Yes	No
b .	Do you find that Sprint has proved, by a that Time Warner Cable's accused syste Patent under the doctrine of equivalents'	m infringed Claim 1 of the '429
	Yes	No
c.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has evidence, that Claim 1 of the '429 Paten satisfy the written description requirement	t is invalid because it does not
	Yes	No
d.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has evidence, that Claim 1 of the '429 Paten	
	Yes	No
e.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has evidence, that Claim 1 of the '429 Paten	
	Yes	No V

15.	Claim	5 of the '429 Patent (dependent claim to	independent Claim 1)
	a.	Do you find that Sprint has proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Time Warner Cable's accused system infringed Claim 5 of the '429 Patent?	
		Yes	No
	b.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has evidence, that Claim 5 of the '429 Paten satisfy the written description requirements	t is invalid because it does not
		Yes	No
	c.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has evidence, that Claim 5 of the '429 Pater	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Yes	No
	d.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has evidence, that Claim 5 of the '429 Pater	- · ·
		Yes	No
16.	Claim	7 of the '429 Patent (dependent claim to	independent Claim 1)
	a.	Do you find that Sprint has proved, by a that Time Warner Cable's accused syste Patent?	1 1
		Yes	No
	b.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable ha evidence, that Claim 7 of the '429 Paten satisfy the written description requirement	t is invalid because it does not
		Yes	No

17.

c.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has proved, by clear and convincing evidence, that Claim 7 of the '429 Patent is invalid as obvious?	
	Yes	No
Claim	1 of the '064 Patent	
a.	Do you find that Sprint has proved, by a that Time Warner Cable's accused system '064 Patent?	* *
	Yes	No
b.	Do you find that Sprint has proved, by a that Time Warner Cable's accused system Patent under the doctrine of equivalents?	n infringed Claim 1 of the '064
	Yes	No
c.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has evidence, that Claim 1 of the '064 Patent satisfy the written description requirement	t is invalid because it does not
	Yes	No
d.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has evidence, that Claim 1 of the '064 Patent	
	Yes	No
e.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has evidence, that Claim 1 of the '064 Patent	- · ·
	Yes	No

18.	Claim 3 of the '064 Patent (dependent claim to independent Claim 1)			
	a.	Do you find that Sprint has proved, by a preponderance of the evidence that Time Warner Cable's accused system infringed Claim 3 of the '06 Patent?		
		Yes	No	
	Ь.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has proved, by clear and convincing evidence, that Claim 3 of the '064 Patent is invalid because it does not satisfy the written description requirement?		
		Yes	No	
	c.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has proved, by clear and convincing evidence, that Claim 3 of the '064 Patent is invalid as anticipated?		
		Yes	No 🛂	
	d.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has proved, by clear and convince evidence, that Claim 3 of the '064 Patent is invalid as obvious?		
		Yes	No	
19.	Claim	n 26 of the '064 Patent (dependent claim t	to independent Claim 1)	
	a.	Do you find that Sprint has proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Time Warner Cable's accused system infringed Claim 26 of the '06-Patent?		
		Yes	No	
	b.	Do you find that Time Warner Cable has proved, by clear and convincing evidence, that Claim 26 of the '064 Patent is invalid because it does not satisfy the written description requirement?		
		Yes	No	

c.

Do you find that Time Warner Cable has proved, by clear and convincing

	evidence, that Claim	26 of the '064 Patent is invalid as obvious?
	Yes	No
that T AND : Quest	ime Warner Cable did infrin that the claim is not invalid (n addressed in Questions 1 through 19 above, you found ge (either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, Tunder any theory of invalidity), please answer lease sign and date the form below, and your
20.	· -	ved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the othetical royalty negotiation between the parties is
	Yes	No
21.	——————————————————————————————————————	es that Sprint proved by a preponderance of the asonable royalty for Time Warner's infringement of the
		\$ 139.8 million
22.	Do you find that Sprint has Warner Cable's infringeme	proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Time nt was willful?
	Yes	No
	deliberations are complete. and notify the Court that you	Please have the foreperson sign and date this verdict have reached a verdict.
3	-3-17	
Date	<u> </u>	Foreperson