

**EXHIBIT 30**

# *Strategic Considerations: 2010*

CONFIDENTIAL

HP\_DER3\_00012726

## › Strategic Considerations: 2010

- Software dept. provided summary information regarding AU for 8/10 working session
  - Set out potential gains/risks based on publicly known info



### Aggie Executive Summary

<b>Strategic Rationale</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ideal asset to secure strategic control point, category leadership and market scale in the Information Management market.</li> <li>• Uniquely positioned to transform today's information explosion burden into powerful business asset</li> <li>• Key differentiation is meaning based computing (classification &amp; indexing) to control costs, mitigate risk and harvest value of enterprise information</li> <li>• Revenue and brand-equity leader in high-growth markets (Search, eDiscovery/IG and WCM)</li> </ul>
<b>Operational Considerations and Risks</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Overpaying for business which may stagnate – requires further due diligence</li> <li>• Core search business under attack (Open Source, Microsoft, Google)</li> <li>• To fuel standalone future growth requires continuation of acquisition strategy (war chest of \$756M)</li> <li>• Operating margins already at industry high (40-50%) – removes lever for bottom-line growth</li> <li>• Likely difficult to integrate – requires conservative integration strategy and timeline</li> <li>• Business units operate as separate entities – less than ideal portfolio, GTM and RTM synergies</li> <li>• Customer dissatisfaction – unresponsive account support; arrogant sales force; etc.</li> <li>• Company culture mismatch – top-down controlled; engineering-driven elite culture; etc.</li> <li>• Indirect software sales and service model – scalable model but orthogonal to HP's direct motion</li> <li>• Highly complex pricing and portfolio – no single rep can adequately represent portfolio</li> <li>• HP lacks services skills/capacity to scale breadth of portfolio – significant investment required</li> </ul>
<b>Financial Overview and Valuation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Revenue of \$740M @ 44% operating margin in 2009; projected to grow by 22% to \$905 in 2010</li> <li>• High growth and margins leads to high trading multiples; resulting relatively expensive asset.</li> </ul>
<b>Next Steps</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• .....</li> </ul>

CONFIDENTIAL

*Privileged and Confidential/Attorney Work Product  
January 2, 2014*

*Consideration of Professional Advisors  
(Barclays, Gibson and Morgan )  
Portion of Counsel's Report*

*Brown Rudnick*



*Independent Committee Meeting  
January 6-7, 2014*

---

Proskauer >>

HP\_DER3\_00013501

CONFIDENTIAL

# 2011 Chronology of Barclays' Role

CONFIDENTIAL

**Project Plato Discussion Materials**  
Investment Banking Division  
May 20, 2011

BARC-INT-00013517

CONFIDENTIAL

DRAFT

### A Window of Opportunity to Approach?

While Atlantis has been highly successful as a public company, its fractious relationship with parts of the research community and its UK listing have created a number of issues around the stock

- Unique model presents challenges for the uninitiated
- Move to emphasize Cloud services present new valuation challenges
- Challenges to accounting approach have led to investor concern
- Disclosure not perceived as transparent
- Skepticism around timing and intentions regarding release of additional information
- Lack of engagement with some in research community
- Company frustrated by lack of understanding of the software model
- Peer companies unattractive for UK investors

CONFIDENTIAL  
The research analyst should be aware of the potential for a window of opportunity

- Challenges to accounting approach have led to investor concern
- Disclosure not perceived as transparent

**Accounting and Disclosure**

HP\_DER3\_00013517