

REDACTED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC and)	
INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	Civ. No. 11-908-SLR
)	
MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

VERDICT SHEET

Dated: March 24, 2015

We, the jury, unanimously find as follows:

'144 PATENT

1. Has Intellectual Ventures proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Motorola Mobility has directly infringed claim 41 of U.S. Patent No. 7,810,144 (the '144 patent)?

"Yes" is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. "No" is a finding for Motorola Mobility.

Yes No

2. Has Intellectual Ventures proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Motorola Mobility has infringed claim 41 of the '144 patent under the doctrine of equivalents?

"Yes" is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. "No" is a finding for Motorola Mobility.

Yes No

3. Has Intellectual Ventures proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Motorola Mobility contributed to the infringement of claim 41 of the '144 patent?

"Yes" is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. "No" is a finding for Motorola Mobility.

Yes No

4. Has Intellectual Ventures proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Motorola Mobility induced the infringement of claim 41 of the '144 patent?

"Yes" is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. "No" is a finding for Motorola Mobility.

Yes No

5. Has Motorola Mobility proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that claim 41 of the '144 patent is invalid based on obviousness?

"Yes" is a finding for Motorola Mobility. "No" is a finding for Intellectual Ventures.

Yes No

6. Has Motorola Mobility proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that claim 41 of the '144 patent is invalid for failing to satisfy the written description requirement?

"Yes" is a finding for Motorola Mobility. "No" is a finding for Intellectual Ventures.

Yes _____ No

'450 PATENT

7. Has Intellectual Ventures proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Motorola Mobility has literally infringed any asserted claim of U.S. Patent No. 7,409,450 (the '450 patent)?

"Yes" is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. "No" is a finding for Motorola Mobility.

- (A) Claim 1 Yes _____ No
- (B) Claim 5 Yes _____ No
- (C) Claim 8 Yes _____ No
- (D) Claim 9 Yes _____ No

8. Has Intellectual Ventures proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Motorola Mobility infringed claim 8 of the '450 patent under the doctrine of equivalents?

"Yes" is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. "No" is a finding for Motorola Mobility.

Yes _____ No

9. Has Intellectual Ventures proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Motorola Mobility contributed to the infringement of any asserted claim of the '450 patent?

"Yes" is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. "No" is a finding for Motorola Mobility.

- (A) Claim 1 Yes _____ No
- (B) Claim 5 Yes _____ No
- (C) Claim 8 Yes _____ No

(D) Claim 9 Yes _____ No

10. Has Intellectual Ventures proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Motorola Mobility induced the infringement of any asserted claim of the '450 patent?

"Yes" is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. "No" is a finding for Motorola Mobility.

(A) Claim 1 Yes _____ No

(B) Claim 5 Yes _____ No

(C) Claim 8 Yes _____ No

(D) Claim 9 Yes _____ No

11. Has Motorola Mobility proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the asserted claims of the '450 patent are invalid based on obviousness?

"Yes" is a finding for Motorola Mobility. "No" is a finding for Intellectual Ventures.

(A) Claim 1 Yes No _____

(B) Claim 5 Yes No _____

(C) Claim 8 Yes No _____

(D) Claim 9 Yes No _____